LAW OFFICES OF

700

BISHOP, LIBERMAN, COOK, PURCELL & REYNOLDS

1200 SEVENTEENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 (202) 857-9800

TELEX 440574 INTLAW UI

DOCKETED USNRC

*85 FEB 22 ~ AIO .: 27 --

BISHOP, LIBERMAN & COOK

OFFICE DEVELOPE OF THE AMERICAS DOCKETING & SERVIGEODO BRANCHELEX 222167

> WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL (202) 857-9817

February 21, 1985

Peter B. Bloch, Esq. Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Elizabeth B. Johnson Oak Ridge National Laboratory Post Office Box X, Bldg. 3500 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830 Dr. Kenneth A. McCollom Dean, Division of Engineering, Architecture & Technology Oklahoma State University Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074

Dr. Walter H. Jordan 881 West Outer Drive Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Subj: Texas Utilities Electric Company, et al. (Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2); Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446

Dear Administrative Judges:

Mindful of our obligation to keep the Board apprised of matters which may bear on issues before it, attached for your information is a letter to the NRC Staff from Applicants dated February 14, 1985. The letter submitted a report, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. §50.55(e), of actions taken to correct a deficiency regarding anchor bolts in steam generator upper lateral supports. Although this report is not directly relevant to the question raised by the intervenor regarding the adequacy of design of the upper lateral supports, we think it appropriate to serve the Board And parties.

Sincerely Nichola 5 S. Reynolds Counsel for Applicants

Attachment

cc: Service List

8502250367 850221 PDR ADOCK 05000445 PDR ADOCK 05000445

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING COMPANY

SKYWAY TOWER . 100 NORTH OLIVE STREET, L.B. MI . DALLAS, TEXAS 75201

BILLY R. CLEMENTS

February 14, 1985 TXX-4415

Mr. D.R. Hunter, Chief Reactor Project Branch 2 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Inspection & Enforcement 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, TX 76012

Docket No.: 50-445

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION THREAD ENGAGEMENT OF BOLTS FOR UNIT 1 STEAM GENERATOR UPPER LATERAL SUPPORT BEAMS QA FILE: CP-85-03, SDAR-163 FILE NO.: 10110

Dear Mr. Hunter:

In accordance with 10CFR50.55(e), we are submitting the enclosed report of actions taken to correct a deficiency regarding anchor bolts in steam generator upper lateral supports that were found to have less than the 2 1/4" engagement as required by the design drawings.

Supporting documentation is available at the CPSES site for your Inspector's review.

Very truly yours,

BulgRillimet

BRC:tlg

Attachment

cc: NRC Region IV - (0 + 1 copy)

Director, Inspection & Enforcement (15 copies) U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 TXX-4415 2/14/85

1

ATTACHMENT

THREAD ENGAGEMENT OF BOLTS FOR UNIT 1 STEAM GENERATOR UPPER LATERAL SUPPORT BEAMS

Description

During investigation by the NRC-TRT of an allegation regarding the unauthorized shortening of anchor bolts, installation and inspection records were requested for the Unit 1 steam generator upper lateral support beams from the records vault. Upon failing to locate the records, a site nonconformance report was issued. The disposition of the non-conformance specified inspection including ultrasonic measurement of the anchor bolt lengths.

The results of the ultrasonic testing indicate insufficient thread engagement to provide adequate design bolt engagement into the embedded plate. Evaluation of the as-constructed data by the A/E has concluded the structures dc not meet design requirements without replacement of the bolts to design engagement.

Safety Implications

In the event the condition had remained undetected, failure of the beams could adversely affect safety-related systems, components, and operations under accident conditions.

Corrective Actions

Anchor bolts for the upper lateral support beams will be replaced to conform with design requirements. Construction and installation activities are scheduled to begin in early February, 1985, and will be performed in accordance with established project procedures.

Our response to Technical Review Team (TRT) issue V.b will address the generic implications and root cause for this deficiency.