



UNITED STATES
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

V-2B.C

RO

JUN 3 1974

Docket No. 50-219

Jersey Central Power & Light Company
ATTN: Mr. Ivan R. Finfrock, Jr.,
Vice President
Madison Avenue at Punch Bowl Road
Morristown, New Jersey 07960

Gentlemen:

By letter dated December 27, 1973, in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, you submitted a proposal for our approval for the requalification of the licensed operators and senior operators at the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. We have reviewed your proposed program and we conclude that the revisions identified in Attachment A are necessary to bring your program into conformance with previously approved requalification programs. The items in Attachment A are referenced to the appropriate sections of your submittal. Attachment B contains a list of acceptable control manipulations for meeting the 10 reactivity control manipulations required in paragraph 3a of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 55. In order that we may complete our review, please submit a revised program within 30 days from the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

Paul F. Collins, Chief
Operator Licensing Branch
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosures:

1. Attachment A
2. Attachment B

cc: See next page

B/S24

JUN 3 1974

cc: G. F. Trowbridge, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts, Trowbridge
and Madden
910 - 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 2006

GPU Service Corporation
ATTN: Mr. Thomas M. Crimmins
Safety & Licensing Manager
260 Cherry Hill Road
Parsippany, New Jersey 07054

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esquire
Berlin, Roisman and Kessler
1712 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Paul Rosenberg, Esquire
Daniel Rappoport, Esquire
2323 S. Broad Street
Trenton, New Jersey 08610

Ocean County Library
15 Hooper Avenue
Toms River, New Jersey 08753

ATTACHMENT A
OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION
DOCKET NO. 50-219

- I. We believe it is inappropriate to commit the Atomic Energy Commission to supply specifics of our examination results to facility management. Therefore, the applicable sentences in the middle of Page 3 should be deleted.

Following the requalification training administered to a licensed individual who has not carried out the functions of his license for a period in excess of four months, a certification of a satisfactory rating must be made to the AEC prior to the individual's return to licensed duties.

- II.B The FSR program is described as consisting of self-study assignments, possible tutorial sessions with designated technical instructors and evaluation quizzes. Appendix A of 10 CFR Part 55 specifically requires the indicated subjects to be part of a preplanned lecture series. In order to comply with the requirements of Appendix A a statement should be included that no more than 50% of the FSR program maybe accomplished through the means of films, videotapes and/or individual study.
- III. The list of reactivity manipulations must be more definitive. Item 1 must be more accurately described. Item 2 is acceptable only if it includes a startup to the point of adding heat and states this. Items 4 & 5 are not considered acceptable reactivity manipulations. An acceptable list of manipulations for BWR's appears in Attachment 3.

It should be specifically stated that the additional operations listed are not considered to be reactivity manipulations for credit.

The program, as described, is designed to be administered on site. However, the program states that a simulator may be used in meeting the on-the-job training section of the program. We would consider the use of a simulator to be significant change pursuant to Section 10CFR Part 50.54(i-1). Consequently, we would have to review the plan prior to implementation.

- V. The program indicates that records of all on-the-job activities shall be maintained. These records must include documentation that each licensed operator and each licensed senior operator reviews the contents of all abnormal and emergency procedures on a regularly scheduled basis.

VI. Item 3 under Lecture Attendance should be revised to a grade criterion of 80% instead of 70%.

Item 4 under Lecture Attendance should be revised to show a range of 80% to 85% rather than a range of 70% to 85%.

Item 6 under Lecture Attendance must be revised to show a passing grade of 80% on the evaluation quizzes covering either the OR lecture series or FSR program.

Item 1 under Evaluations must include a specific commitment that if an individual receives a grade of less than 70% overall on the annual written examination it will be mandatory that he be placed in an accelerated requalification program. His participation in the accelerated program should be such that it would preclude performing licensed duties. The duration and course content, of course, must be determined in each case.

ATTACHMENT B
ACCEPTABLE REACTIVITY MANIPULATIONS FOR A BWR
OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION
DOCKET NO. 50-219

1. Plant or reactor startups to include a range such that reactivity feedback from heat addition is noticeable.
2. Plant shutdowns
3. Control rod sequence changes
4. Shutdown margin checks
5. Control rod scram insertion time tests.
6. Any reactor power change of 10% or greater including testing of equipment where load changes are performed with control rods, "load selector" of EHC system or where the recirculation system is in manual speed control.
7. Plant and reactor operation that involves emergency or transient procedures where reactivity is changing.
8. Refueling operations where fuel is moved over the core.