

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1) Sequoyah, Unit 1 DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 2 7 1 OF 0 2

TITLE (4) Surveillance Instruction Not Performed Within Technical Specification Time Limits

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)		
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES		DOCKET NUMBER(S)
01	16	85	85	004	000	02	15	85	Sequoyah, Unit 2		05000328
									05000		

OPERATING MODE (9) 1 THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more of the following) (11)

20.402(b)	20.405(c)	50.73(a)(2)(iv)	73.71(b)
20.405(a)(1)(i)	50.36(c)(1)	50.73(a)(2)(v)	73.71(c)
20.405(a)(1)(ii)	50.36(c)(2)	50.73(a)(2)(vii)	OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 165A)
20.405(a)(1)(iii)	XX 50.73(a)(2)(i)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)	
20.405(a)(1)(iv)	50.73(a)(2)(ii)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)	
20.405(a)(1)(v)	50.73(a)(2)(iii)	50.73(a)(2)(ix)	

POWER LEVEL (10) 100

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME: Glenn Duggin, Compliance Section Engineer TELEPHONE NUMBER: 615 870-6548

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPDOS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPDOS

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) NO EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)

MONTH	DAY	YEAR

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

During a review by maintenance of their surveillance instructions (SI), it was discovered that SI-261, "Visual Inspection of Fire Doors," had not been performed within the technical specification time limits. Upon discovery, January 16, 1985, the SI was performed and fifty four (54) deficiencies were found. Thirty seven (37) deficiencies have been corrected, and seventeen (17) are still outstanding. The outstanding deficiencies will be completed as soon as needed material is received. This report is required per 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i) and special report requirements of technical specification 3.7.12.

There was no effect on public health or safety.

JE22
4/1

8502210385 850215
PDR ADOCK 05000327
S PDR

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1) Sequoyah, Unit 1	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 2 7	LER NUMBER (8)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
		8 5	— 0 0 4	— 0 0	0 2	OF	0 2

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

During a review by maintenance personnel of their surveillance instructions (SI), it was discovered that SI-261, "Visual Inspection of Fire Doors," had not been performed within the technical specification time limits. Upon discovery, January 16, 1985, the SI was performed, and fifty four (54) deficiencies were found. This event was discovered at 1530C on January 16, 1985, while unit 1 was in mode 1 (100 percent power, 2235 psig, 578 degrees F), and unit 2 was in mode 5 (0 percent power, 325 psig, 205 degrees F).

SI-261 is a periodic 18-month surveillance which was scheduled to be performed on July 11, 1984, with an administrative deadline of August 10, 1984 and a technical specification (TS) maximum extension date of December 2, 1984. The surveillance time interval is allowed to be extended up to 25 percent of the surveillance interval for any one performance, while the combined time interval for any three consecutive performances should not exceed 3.25 times the specified surveillance interval. A conditional SI may be performed any time maintenance is done to verify operability before returning equipment to service. A conditional SI package is identical to a periodic SI package except the whole SI is not completed, only that part addressing the piece of equipment on which maintenance is performed.

SI-261 was performed late because the periodic package was issued to maintenance personnel to be performed on the scheduled date, but the package was inadvertently used as a conditional SI on a postmaintenance test. Since this SI package was not marked as conditional performance, the maintenance supervisor and scheduling staff assumed that this was the required completed periodic performance they expected. A conditional SI completes the cover page, but all equipment in the SI is not necessarily addressed. The only difference between periodic and conditional SIs is the stamping of conditional performance on the routing slip of the conditional SI and the checking of the required by schedule block on the SI data cover sheet for a periodic SI. Upon discovery of this error, the SI performance was started on the same day (January 16, 1985) and completed on January 25, 1985.

To prevent a similar event in the future, a letter has been written to Mechanical Maintenance personnel explaining this event and stressing the importance of correct performance of SIs. All periodic and conditional SI packages will be closely monitored by supervision prior to and after performance of the SI. Personnel will be instructed to ensure the package received is a conditional or a periodic SI package. Future personnel errors of this nature will be handled through appropriate progressive disciplinary action.

During the performance of SI-261, fifty four (54) fire doors required by technical specifications were found to have a deficiency which made them inoperable as fire barriers. Seven days is allowed by technical specifications to repair a fire barrier. Seventeen (17) fire doors not previously reported have been inoperable more than seven days due to the fact that materials needed for repair have been unavailable. The materials needed are on order, and the fire doors are expected to be repaired by May 17, 1985. Fire watch coverage is being maintained on an hourly basis as required.

This event is required per 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i) and special report requirements of technical specification 3.7.12. There was no effect on public health or safety.

This is the third report dealing with a late SI. Previous occurrences - SQRO-50-327/85001 and SQRO-50-327/85003.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Sequoyah Nuclear Plant
Post Office Box 2000
Soddy Daisy, Tennessee 37379

February 15, 1985

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555


Gentlemen:

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY - SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT UNIT 1 - DOCKET NO.
50-327 - FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-77 - REPORTABLE OCCURRENCE REPORT
SQRO-50-327/85004

The enclosed licensee event report and special report provide details concerning the failure to perform a surveillance instruction within the technical specification time limits. This event is reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73, paragraph a.2.i and the special report requirements of technical specification 3.7.12.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY



P. R. Wallace
Plant Manager

Enclosure
cc (Enclosure):

James P. O'Reilly, Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Suite 2900
101 Marietta Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Records Center
Institute of Nuclear Power Operations
Suite 1500
1100 Circle 75 Parkway
Atlanta, Georgia 30339

NRC Inspector, NUC PR, Sequoyah

IE22
1/1