	INSTRUCTION REVISION ISSUE PAGE				
	QI-QP-2.1-4 6 JUL 28 1983 1 of				
PROTECT	ICATION OF TIVE COATING TION PERSONNEL APPROVED BY: Configure 1/27/82 APPROVED BY: Configure 1/27/82 APPROVED BY: Configure 1/27/82 DATE				
1.0	REFERENCES				
1-A	CP-QP-2.3, "Documentation Within QA/QC Personnel Qualification File"				
1 . B	CP+QP+2.1, "Training of Inspection Personnel"				
2.0	GENERAL FOR INFORMATION ONLY				
	The purpose of this instruction is to define specific inspection functions and capabilities for protective coatings inspection personnel. TUGCO Quality Engineering will assure that the required training is accomplished. The requirements contained herein are not applicable to material, parts or components under the jurisdiction of the ASME Code, Section III, Division I.				
3.0	INSTRUCTION				
3.1	PROTECTIVE COATING QC TECHNICIAN INSPECTION FUNCTION				
	Inspection functions may include, but are not limited to, the following:				
	a. Performing surface preparation inspections				
	 Perform surveillance of storage and handling of protective coating materials, 				
	c. Performing protective coating mixing inspections				
	 Performing in-process inspections of protective coating applications 				
	e. Preparing reports that give the results of the above tests				
8502210 PDR AL	0289 850213 ООСК 05000445 PDR				

and the second well the second of the second

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING CO. CPSES	INSTRUCTION NUMBER	REVISION	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
	QI-QP-2.1-4	6	JUL 2 8 1983	2 of 7

3.1.1 Training and Examination

Protective Coating QC Technicians shall have knowledge and skill to adequately perform their assigned task. The following shall be used as a guide for training and examination of Protective Coating QC Technicians:

- a. Construction specifications and procedures
- b. Basic inspection plans and procedures
- c. Specific instructions, checklist and reports used in performance and documentation of inspections and tests
- d. "Hands on" experience using inspection and test equipment
- e. Familiarization with required measuring and test equipment
- f. Minimum on the job (OJT) requirements are defined on the Protective Coating Technical Outline (Figure 1)

3.2 PROTECTIVE COATING QC INSPECTOR

Inspection functions may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. May perform duties as a Protective Coating QC Technician in the activities for which qualified '___rtified
- b. Prepares and interprets reports
- Provides technical direction to Protective Coating QC Technician(s)

3.2.1 Training and Examination

Form No. 1

1. 1. Sec. 31 4.

Protective Coating QL Inspectors shall have sufficient knowledge and skill to dequately perform their assigned tasks. The following shall be used is a guide for training and examination of Protective Lating QC Inspectors:

a. Construction specifications and procedures

- TUGCO OA -

- b. Basic inspection plans and procedures
- c. Specific instructions, checklist and reports used in performance and documentation of inspection and test

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING CO.		INSTRUCTION NUMBER	REVISION	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
CPSE		QI+QP+2.1+4	6	JUL 2 8 1983	3 of 7
	d. "Hands	on" experience	using ins	pection and	test
	instructi				
	e. Familiari equipment	ization with i t	required me	asuring and	test
	f. Preparati	ion and interpre	tation of te	st results.	
3.3	TRAINING AND D	OCUMENTATION			
		of Protective mented in accord			onnel
	Protective Co On completion Supervisor or sign and date Coating Gene Instructions for each Prote	ing Outline (req ating General of the Gener his designee s the Outline. ral Technical have been deve active Coating in of information action.	Technical Ou ral Training hall intervi In addition Outline, loped by Qu nspection act	atline (Figure Outline, the ew the trainee to the Protect specific Qua ality Engineer tivity. These	I). e QC and tive lity ing, form
	inspection fun (Figure I) d given inspect	bating personne action/activity. locumenting qual tion function/ac he cognizant QA/(A Technica ification r tivity will	al Training Out equirements for be completed	line or a
3.4	QUALIFICATION	MATRIX (WORD PR	OCESSOR PRINT	гоит)	
	Supervisor t individual i	l be maintained to identify t s qualified hall be indexed	he specific to perform.	inspections The speci	an fied
3.5	TRAINING CERTI	FICATION			
		ertification pe		e 1+B certif	

.

and when the second descent and and a second s

TUGCO CA -

Form No. 1 and and a second second and a second second and a second second

1.1

14.14 *

4

ŝ, ŝ.

Acres &

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING CO.	INSTRUCTION NUMBER	REVISION	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
CPSES	QI+QP+2.1+4	6	JUL 2 8 1982	4 of 7

.

1

Form No. 1

 Certification in a particular inspection function will be for a period of 1 year.

and the second second

ex. The second sha

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING	CO.	REVISION	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
CPSES	QI-QP-2.1-4	6	JUL 2 8 1983	5 of
	FTOUDE			
	FIGURE			
	CPSES QUALITY CONTRI	DE DEPARTMENT		
1	ROTECTIVE COATING GENERAL		NE	
NAME :		DATE C	OMMENCED :	
	the following documents			-
	n AS-31. "Protective Coa			
Trainee	Date	e Lead	Inspector Date	-
2. CP-QP-2.0, "Impl	ementation of CPSES Prog	"am"		
Trainee	Date	e Lead	Inspector Date	
3. CP-QP-3.0, "CP5É	S Site QA/QC Organization	۱"		
Trainee	Date	Lead	Inspector Date	-
4. CP-OP-11.4, "Ins	pection of Protective Coa	itings"		
Trainee	Date	e Lead	Inspector Date	
5. QI-QP-11.4-1, "I A	nspection of Steel Substr pplication"	ate Surface Prep	aration and Primer	
Trainee	Date	Lead	Inspector Date	-
	nspection of Steel Substr oat Application and Repai		r and Seal and Fini	sh
Trainee	Date	Lead	Inspector Date	-24
7. QI-OP-11.4-8, "1	nspection of Special Coat	ing Operations"		
Trainee	Date	Lead	Inspector Date	-
8. QI-QP-11.4-9, "I	nspection of Shop Primed	Equipment"		
Trainee	Date	Lead	Inspector Date	
	Inspection of Concrete Su Coatings Application & Re		Preparation &	:
Trainee	Date	Lead	Inspector Date	-
	TUGCO OA -			

a and an and a second sec

1,**

-

1.1.1. April

.... ----Interesting the second s

TEXAS UTILIT	IES GENERATING CO.	INSTRUCTION NUMBER	REVISION	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
	CPSES	QI-QP-2.1-4	6	JUL 2 8 1983	6 of
		FIGURE 1 (C	ont.)		
					1,
	OTECTIVE COATINGS GENERAL GE 2 OF 3	TECHNICAL OUTLINE			
10	. QI-QP-11.4-17, "Surveil	llance of Storage and	i Handling of Pr	otective Coatings"	
	Trainee	Date	e Lead In	spector Date	
11	. QI-OP-11.4-20, "Final 1	Inspection of Steel 3	Substrate Finish	Coats"	
	Trainee	Date	Lead In	spector Date	
. 12	. QI-QP-11.4-21, "Final I	inspection of Concret	e Substrate Fin	ish Coats"	
	Trainee	Date	Lead In	spector Date	
13.	. QI-QP-11.4-22, "QC Veri Number Transfer"				
	Trainee	Date	Lead In	spector Date	
14.	QI-0P-11.4-23, "Reinspe	ection of Coatings Ap	oplied on Steel :	Substrates"	
	Trainee	Date	Lead In	spector Date	
15.	OI-QP-11.4-24, "Reinspe for which Documentation			rete Substrates	
	Trainee	Date	Lead In	spector Date	
16.	. CCP-30, "Coating Steel	Substrates Inside Re	actor Building	Radiation Areas*	
	Trainse	Date	Lead Ins	spector Date	
17.	CCP-30A, "Coating Steel	Substrates Inside R	eactor Building	& Radiation Areas"	
	Trainee	Date	Lead Ins	spector Date	
. 18.	CCP-40, "Protective Coa	ting of Concrete Sur	faces"		
	Trainee	Date	Lead Ins	spector Date	
	CP-OP-13.0, "Control of	M&TE"			
19.					

and the second second

Contraction and second second in the

...

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING CO.	INSTRUCTION NUMBER	REVISION	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
CPSES	QI-QP-2.1-4	6	JUL 2 8 1983	7 of 2
PROTECTIVE COATINGS GENERAL PAGE 3 OF 3 20. CP-QP-15.0, "Tagging Sy Trainee 21. CP-QP-16.0, "Nonconform Trainee 22. CP-QP-18.0, "Inspection	vstem" Dat mançes and Deficienci Dat	e Lead Inst		•
B. Perform a minimum of 10		e Lead Ins; training in th OC Superviso	is activity.	
 C. Demonstrate proficiency D. Demonstrate proficiency 		tion. QC Superviso		
E. Attend formal training	session for this act	QC Superviso		
F. Examination completed. Score: Concrete Steel Backfit		90 Superviso		
Comments:		QC Superviso		
Training Completed:	ervisor	Date		

.....

+

- - - - - -

...

QUESTIONS RELATIVE TO ALLEGATION NO. 21

A. Adhesion Tests

At the July 11, 1984 site meeting, CPSES briefed the NRC Coating Allegation Team members on the overall scope of the Coating Backfit Program. R. Tolson (TUGCO) informed the team of a discrepancy in calibrating Elcometers used for the coating adhesion test that was discovered <u>after</u> most of the Backfit Program adhesion tests were completed. This discrepancy would allow in-plant test results to be in error by 200 psi in the non-conservative direction.

CPSES should revise and correct the original adhesion test data based on dead weight calibration records for each Elcometer used to provide the original test data. The corrected data should then be statistically re-evaluated to establish the fraction (%) of total coated area that passes the 200 psi acceptance level with the stated confidence level. This re-evaluated data should be separately reported for: concrete, containment liner and miscellaneous steel. Describe the method and basis for re-constituting the original test data and establishing the confidence level. Also, describe how the area fraction was established.

In providing the above requested information, the following specific information should be supplied.

- a. For each adhesion test sample area in which at least one test reading is below 400 psi, provide:
 - All test readings for the sample area. If sample area is reworked, give test readings before and after repair.

QUESTIONS RELATIVE TO ALLEGATION NO. 21

- PCR numbers for all adhesion tests, the area sampled (e.g., 100 ft²), date and Elcometer number.
- Calibration readings for that Elcometer at nearest calibration dates before and after testing the sample area.
- Corrected readings for the sample area (Field reading largest positive deviation during calibration period).
- b. For each Elcometer used in the Backfit program, provide a table or curve showing calibration deviations (at the 200 psi point value) as a function of date for the complete Backfit period. In case the instrument zero required adjustment show deviations before and after adjustment.
- c. For each of the three surface types, containment liner surface, concrete surfaces and miscellaneous steel surfaces, provide:
 - 1. Total area and total area tested for adhesion.
 - Total area which failed the pull test before repair. (Sum of sample areas represented by at least one failed pull test before repair).
 - Fraction of total area tested which failed the pull test before repair.
 - Number of sample areas tested and average number of tests per sample area.

TXX-4249

August 10, 1984

QUESTIONS RELATIVE TO ALLEGATION NO. 21

5. Using the pull test data after correcting for instrument bias (calibration), provide a statistical evaluation of the fraction of the painted area failing the adhesion test, not including the exempted area. Where calibration data are not available, assume an instrument bias of 200 psia. Provide the standard deviation associated with the estimate of the fraction of the total painted area which failed the pull test, based on the corrected data. Construct a 95% upper confidence limit for the proportion of the area which would fail the pull test.

- Describe how the sample areas (.e.g., grids) were selected. Indicate the degree to which the spots actually tested were representative of each sample area.
- 7. For each item on the Coating Exemption Log involving an area of 1000 ft.² or more, describe in detail the method of estimating the area. Provide the total exempted area for each of the three main types of surface.

B. Dry Film Thickness Tests

For each of the three surface types, provide:

 Total area tested for DFT (a) of primer, and (b) of complete coating systems.

QUESTIONS RELATIVE TO ALLEGATION NO. 21

- Total area which failed the DFT test before repair (a) of primer and
 (b) for complete coating system.
- Fraction of total area tested which failed to meet DFT specifications before repair (a) for primer and (b) for total coat.
- Number of sample areas tested and average number of DFT tests per sample area (a) for primer and (b) for the complete coating system.

Response:

We will respond to this question at a later date.

. .

QUESTIONS RELATIVE TO ALLEGATION NO. 22

Provide information requested for allegation #19 above.

Response:

See our response to question 19.

QUESTIONS RELATIVE TO ALLEGATION NO. 26

- Describe the system and the requirements to revise the coating specifications to incorporate DCA's.
- b) Describe the system utilized to control DCA's used by personnel applying or inspecting coatings, as described in the first paragraph of your 06/22/80 response.

Response:

- a) When engineering determines that the specification requires revision, outstanding generic DCA's are incorporated. A copy of procedure CP-EP-4.6 is attached.
- b) Design documents used by construction and QC personnel are controlled by Document Control. A copy of DCP-3 is attached.