

December 23, 1970

J. P. O'Reilly, Chief, Reactor Inspection and Enforcement Branch, Division of Compliance

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY (FORKED RIVER NO. 1)
Docket No. 50-363

The attached report of the initial quality assurance inspection of the Jersey Central Power and Light Company's Forked River Unit No. 1, performed on November 2 through 4, 1970, by T. F. Westerman, W. M. Hayward, J. H. Tillou, and R. F. Heishman, is forwarded for action.

The General Public Utilities Corporation, which is the parent organization, and Jersey Central Power and Light Company quality assurance program was found to meet the intent of Appendix B, 10 CFR 50, except for three areas. The JCP&L/GPU quality assurance program is incomplete, in that procedure development is not scheduled and formal approval has not yet been accomplished. GPU has assumed responsibility for 20% of the total design but has not provided procedures for review of design generated by JCP&L/GPU. The program for procurement document control appears to meet the intent of Criterion IV with the exception of specific guidelines as to what purchase documents will be reviewed by JCP&L/GPU. There was insufficient evidence to make an objective finding under Criterion XVIII in that there was no evidence that audits would be performed or performed to a definite period.

The consensus of opinion from the inspectors is that JCP&L/GPU have an understanding of the intent of the 18 criteria and the areas found deficient appear to be associated with the early inspection with the exception of Criterion III which appears to be the subject of JCP&L/GPU in-house discussion.

E. M. Howard
Senior Reactor Inspector

Enclosure:
CO Report No. 363/70- , by R. Heishman,
W. Hayward, J. Tillou, and T. Westerman,
dated 12/23/70

9604170197 960213
PDR FOIA
DEKOK95-258 PDR

433
B/373

OFFICE ▶	CO				
SURNAME ▶	Howard/jd				
DATE ▶	12/23/70				

9604170197