V2B-L

 \cap

DISTRIBUTION Docket File (ENVIRON) RO(3) J. Cok AEC PDR John F. O'Leary Local PDR S. D. Reed, EP-4 DR Reading G. Ertter (DR-67 L Reading M. Groff EP-4 Reading R. Bevan, EP-4 W. Regan, EP-4 G. Knighton, EP-1 G. Dicker, EP-2

B. Youngblood, EP-3

A. Giambusso, RP

E. Hughes, RP

Docket No. 50-219

0404170115 940213

Mr. Russell A. Cookingham, Director Division of Fish, Game & Shell Fisheries D. Muller, EP Department of Environmental Protection P. O. Box 1809 Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Dear Mr. Cookingham:

APR

This is in response to your letter to Mr. Muntzing of February 19, 1974, regarding the licensing of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station in Ocean County, New Jersey.

3 1974

The Commission is aware of the problems you cite that are associated with operation of the Oyster Creek Station. These were identified and addressed in the Draft Environmental Statement on Oyster Creek, issued in July 1973, and are presently being considered in the preparation of the Final Environmental Statement scheduled to be issued in April 1974.

The problems associated with operation of the Oyster Creek plant that you mention arise largely from the estuarine nature of the site. In the intervening ten years since the siting decision was made for this plant, the need to weigh more carefully the commitment of ecologically valuable estuarine resources to power production has become much more evident.

The Commission has responsibility, under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, to identify significant environmental effects of plant operation at the site, and to evaluate, on a cost-benefit basis, the alternatives, including closed-cycle cooling, that can be directed toward mitigating the undesirable consequences of plant operation. The staff's final recommendation for an appropriate course of action will be reflected in the Final Environmental Statement.

We recognize the concerns expressed in your letter, and appreciate your communicating to us your position on the matter. 1582

Sincerely, Original signed by: Roger S. Boyd

DEKOK95-258 PDR			A. Giambusso, Deputy Director for Reactor Projects		
OFFICES	L:EBTA	L:EP-47	Directorate of Licensing LiEP		L:RP
CURNAMEN	RBBevan:pc	WHRegan		DRAMer	AGiambusso
burname ge	3/29/74	91/174		411174	/ /74

Jersey Central Power & Light Company

MADISON AVENUE AT PUNCH BOWL ROAD . MORRISTOWN, N. J. 07920 . 201-539-8111

Public Utilities Corporation

VZA

P NO WER U COMPANIES General SUSTEM

WEMBER OF THE

April 2, 1974

Mr. A. Giambusso Deputy Director for Reactor Projects Directorate of Licensing United States Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C. 20545

Dear Mr. Giambusso:

Subject: Oyster Creek Station Docket No. 50-219 Hydraulic Shock and Sway Arrestor Inspection -January 1974

The purpose of this letter is to forward to you supplementary information regarding the seals of five "failed" hydraulic shock and sway arrestors (snubbers) found in the drywell during the plant shutdown which began on January 12, 1974. The initial report on the January inspection of the snubbers in the drywell was forwarded by letter dated February 19, 1974.

A visual inspection of the seals removed from the five failed snubbers indicated that several types of material were used in each unit. To determine which of the materials had failed, the seals were sent to the GPU System Laboratory for material identification analyses using infrared scans and microscopic examinations. The results of the material analysis can be summarized as follows:

- Only three seals in each snubber were of the recommended 1. polvethylene-propylene (PEP) material.
- None of the PEP seals showed any sign of failure. 2.
- 3. Two types of polyurethane were used in the failed snubbors. These were the millable gum and the cast polyurethene.
- 4. One fiber seal was also discovered in two of the snubbers. Both of these units were of an old series which could not be rebuilt completely. The fiber seals had probably never been 01583 changed out at the time the snubbers were rebuilt or they were cut from new unidentified gasket material.

Mr. Giambusso

- 5. One other seal used in the two old series snubbers could not be identified. The material is believed to be teflon.
- 6. The seals which, because of their physical characteristics, had visually appeared to be fuiled before the laboratory analysis are now identified to be millable gum polyurethane.

We believe the results of the laboratory analyses provide further evidence that ethylene-propylene is the material best suited for replacement seals in the hydraulic snubbers located in the drywell and in the reactor building.

Enclosed are forty copies of this submittal.

Very truly yours,

Tonald & Ross

Donald A. Ross Manager, Nuclear Generating Stations

CS Enclosures

cc: Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, Director Directorate of Regulatory Operations, Region I