

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation
Wolf Creek Generating Station

Docket: 50-482
License: NPF-42

During an NRC inspection conducted on February 11 through March 23, 1996, two violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions" (60 FR 34381; June 30, 1995) the violations are listed below:

- A. Technical Specification 6.11 requires that procedures for personnel radiation protection be prepared consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20 and adhered to for all operations involving personnel radiation exposure.

Radiation Protection Procedure RPP 02-215, "Posting of Radiological Controlled Areas," Revision 11, Step 9.1.2, required that posted areas be clearly and conspicuously marked at all accessible sides and entrances.

Contrary to the above, the following 3 examples of failure to follow Procedure RPP 02-215 were identified:

1. On February 28, 1996, the inspector found that the high radiation area/contaminated area posting at the door of the Residual Heat Removal Pump B room was not conspicuously visible.
2. On February 26, 1996, the inspector found that the Residual Heat Removal to Accumulator Injection Line Check Valve EP 8818B, a highly contaminated area, was not effectively posted as the valve could be approached and worked on without seeing the posting.
3. On February 26, 1996, the inspector found that one of the three duct openings on a ventilation riser which provides cooling for rod cluster control assembly operating mechanisms, a highly contaminated area, was not posted.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement IV) (482/9604-01).

- B. Technical Specification 6.11 requires that procedures for personnel radiation protection be prepared consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20 and adhered to for all operations involving personnel radiation exposure.

Administrative Procedure AP 25B-300, "RWP Program," Revision 4, Step 6.2.2, required that all workers read, understand, and follow the provisions set forth on their radiation work permit.

Radiation Work Permit 96-2050, Revision 1, required that a health physics, full-face shield be worn in highly contaminated areas.

Contrary to the above, the following examples of failure to follow the requirements of Radiation Work Permit 96-2050 were identified:

1. On March 18, 1996, an health physics technician signed onto Radiation Work Permit 96-2050 entered each of the containment sumps without a face shield. The containment sumps were both posted as highly contaminated areas.
2. On March 18, 1996, two workers signed onto Radiation Work Permit 96-2050 entered the Train B containment sump without face shields. The containment sumps were posted as highly contaminated areas.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement IV) (482/9604-02).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Your response may reference or include previous docketed correspondence, if the correspondence adequately addresses the required response. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Because the response will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room, to the extent possible, it should not include any personal privacy, proprietary, or safeguards information so that it can be placed in the Public Document Room without redaction. However, if it is necessary to include such information, it should clearly indicate the specific information that should not be placed in the PDR, and provide the legal basis to support the request for withholding the information from the public.

Dated at Arlington, Texas
this *11th* day of *April* 1996