Omaha Public Power District 1623 Harney Omaha, Nebraska 68102 402/536/4000

> November 17, 1983 LTC-83-286

Mr. J. T. Collins, Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, Texas 76011

NDs - 1 1983

Reference: Docket No. 50-285

Dear Mr. Collins:

Fort Calhoun Station 1983 Emergency Preparedness Exercise

The Commission's letter to Omaha Public Power District, dated January 14, 1982, requested the District provide the controller/prompter information and instructions, which will be supplied to the observers for the subject exercise, 20 days prior to the exercise date. Accordingly, the subject information is provided in Attachments I through 3. Please note that the exercise player cue cards have been previously transmitted to the Commission by the District's letter dated October 24, 1983.

Sincerely.

W. E. Miller

Assistant General Manager

It. E. miller

Attachments

cc: Mr. Patrick J. Breheny
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Region VII
911 Walnut Street
Room 300
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

8502190329 831117 PDR ADOCK 05000285 PDR JE PAT

# OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT FORT CALHOUN STATION 1983 EMERGENCY EXERCISE EVALUATOR-CUNTROLLER EXERCISE INSTRUCTIONS

#### Table of Contents

Attachment 1 - General Sequence of Events

Attachment 2 - Evaluator-Controller General Instructions

Attachment 3 - Evaluator-Controller Station Record Sheet

#### General Sequence of Events

Date	Time	Event
12/06/83	8:00 a.m.	NRC interview at the Emergency Operations Facility
12/06/83	1:00 p.m.	Observer briefing at the Emergency Operations Facility
	11:00 p.m.	Notification of Unusual Event emergency
12/07/83	6:00 a.m.	Alert emergency
	9:30 a.m.	Site Area emergency
	11:30 a.m.	General emergency
	4:30 p.m.	Drill termination (may be earlier if all objectives have been demonstrated)
12/08/83	10:00 a.m.	OPPD meeting at Emergency Operations Facility
12/08/83	1:00 p.m.	NRC critique/report/exit meeting at the Emergency Operations Facility

#### Evaluator-Controller General Instructions

- Each evaluator-controller station shall be manned by a person trained in the following basic information:
  - a. Objectives of the exercise.
  - b. Initiating events and exercise scenario.
  - c. Expected corrective actions.
  - d. Assumptions and limitations of the exercise.
  - e. Duties of the evaluator-controller.
  - f. Compilation of an evaluator-controller critique report.
- 2. An evaluator-controller shall be stationed at each of the following locations approximately 15 minutes prior to the start and/or resumption of the exercise:
  - a. Control room.
  - b. Emergency Operations Facility.
  - c. Operations Support Center.
  - d. Technical Support Center.
  - e. Plant exit and assembly areas.
  - f. Offsite monitoring stations.
  - g. Security and plant access areas.
  - h. Auxiliary building.
  - i. Media Release Center.
- 3. One evaluator-controller shall be stationed in the control room, TSC, or OSC and designated "Exercise Controller." This person shall determine and approve changes authorized in the scenario time, data, and actions in order to reasonably demonstrate all exercise objectives.
- Evaluator-controllers shall provide prompter or cue cards to participants at the appropriate time as the means of supplying new and changing exercise events.
- 5. Evaluator-controllers shall <u>not</u> offer information, advice, or assistance not contained in <u>cue</u> cards or approved by the Exercise Controller. However, evaluator-controllers shall not mislead participants or permit major deviation from the approved scenario.
- Neither the NRC, FEMA, nor any other exercise observer shall be allowed to alter scenario events or introduce additional complications.

#### Evaluator-Controller General Instructions (Continued)

- 7. Time deviations shall be allowed in order to demonstrate onsite, recovery, and de-escalation responses during the exercise.
- 8. Simulation of actions shall be allowed to fulfill items detailed in Attachment 3 or, as necessary, to better demonstrate personnel emergency performance.
- 9. Each evaluator-controller shall maintain a written record which shall be the basis of critique comments and/or proposed corrections. This record shall be submitted during the critique session.

I. Noti	fication/Activation
1.	Note the time at which the drill began.
2.	Note the time the Unusual Event was de-escalated.
3.	Note the time the drill was resumed.
4.	Note the time at which Operations recognized and declared the emergency classifications:
	a. Notification of Unusual Event
	b. Alert
	c. Site Area
	d. General Emergency
5.	At what time was the nuclear emergency alarm sounded?
6.	Was the announcement made properly? (Conditions to be announced twice.)
7.	Was a phone talker designated? (Note time.)
8.	At what time did the control room notify the following groups:
,00,	a. OPPD Management
NE	b. Nebraska State Patrol (15 minute limit) (Note if notification forms were completed.)
9	At what time was the control room area monitored for habitability?  Note type of radiological survey (contamination, radiation, etc.) performed. Was monitoring equipment with visual and audible alarms provided to detect airborne radioactivity?  Note any instrument failures.
10	force?
11	. At what time was the Shift Supervisor notified that personnel accountability was complete?
12	. At what time was the injury reported to the control room?
13	Which means of communications was used in reporting the injury?

14.	At what time was the rescue Squad notified?
15.	At what time was UNMC Radiation Health Center notified?
16.	At what time was OPPD Management notified of the injury?
17.	Were communications with the Operations Support Center effective?
18.	Were communications with the Technical Support Center effective?
19.	Was technical support provided to the control room accurate and timely?
Staff	fing
1.	Is the shift Operations group staffed according to the Radiological Emergency Response Plan?
	a. Shift Supervisor (SRO) - 1
	b. Reactor Operator (SRO) - 1
	c. Assistant Reactor Operator (RO) - 1
	d. Equipment Operator - 2 (1 RO and 1 non-licensed)
	e. Auxiliary Operator - 1
2.	Is personnel staffing (control room) adequate?
3.	Were the following items met during the transition from the initial EDO (Shift Supervisor) to another EDO and/or the Recovery Manager:
	a. Use of EPIP-EOF-13 or EPIP-EOF-14
	b. Efficient transition
	c. Pertinent data transmitted to new EDO/Recovery Manager
	d. Notification made to the TSC and EOF

II.

4.	Were the following emergency procedures available?
	a. FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan
	b. Emergency Plan Implementing Procedures
	c. Emergency Operating Procedures
5.	Were the above procedures used? List some of the other procedures used.
6.	Does the operational staff appear to be performing duties in accordance with procedures in a timely manner?
7.	Note any problems encountered by the control room staff in trying to correct problems (i.e., no tools, equipment failure, etc.).
8.	Were efforts made by the Operations staff to determine the cause of and/or to resolve the following conditions:
	a. Threat of attack on facility

b. Explosion

- 8. Were efforts made by the Operations staff to determine the cause of and/or to resolve the following conditions: (Cont'd)
  - c. Reactor trip

d. Increasing RCS activity

e. Increasing levels of radiation in containment

f. Status of containment purge valves

- 8. Were efforts made by the Operations staff to determine the cause of and/or to resolve the following conditions: (Cont'd)
  - g. Loss of offsite power

h. High radiation monitor readings

 Shutting off auxiliary building fans and determining containment leakage

j. PPLS

- 8. Were efforts made by the Operations staff to determine the cause of and/or to resolve the following conditions: (Cont'd)
  - k. Diesel trouble alarm

1. Fire alarm

m. Loss of all A/C power

n. Restoration of A/C power

8.	Were	effo	rts	made	by	the	Oper	ations	staff	to	determi	ne the	1
	cause	of	and/	or to	1	esolv	re th	e foll	owing	cond	itions:	(Cont'd	1

Damaged pressure relief line 0.

- Were responses by the Operations staff effective in 9. a. responding to operational problems? Describe.
  - Were responses by the Operations staff effective in b. responding to radiological/emergency problems? Describe.

#### III. Communications

1.	At what times were communications established between:
	a. Control room/OSC and TSC
	b. Control room/OSC and EOF
2.	Was flow of information timely, efficient, and effective between the groups?
3.	Was Shift Supervisor informed of occurrences within the plantin a timely manner?
4.	Note any communication problems.

IV.	Observations,	Comments,	and	Recommendations
-----	---------------	-----------	-----	-----------------

1. General Observations:

2. Items of Particular Interest/Concern:

3. Recommendations:

Observer Date

## Evaluator-Controller Record Station #2a - Emergency Operations Facility Administration

Noti	fication/Activation
1.	Record time that the EOF was activated.
Staf	fing
EDO:	
1.	Did Recovery Manager request the EDO to respond to the EOF?
2.	List the name of the reporting EDO.
Reco	overy Organization:
1.	Did the following members of the Recovery Organization report to the EOF?
	a. Recovery Manager
	b. Recovery Manager's Secretary
	c. Licensing Administrator
	d. Emergency Coordinator
	e. Dose Assessment Coordinator
	f. Dose Assessment Operator
	g. Environmental Survey and Analysis Coordinator
	h. Radiochemical Analysis Coordinator
	i. HP/Chemistry Technician
	j. Administrative Logistics Manager
	k. EOF Communicator
	1. Communication Specialist
	m. Clerical Assistant
	n. Computer Specialist
	o. EOF Radio Operator
	p. EOF Information Specialist

# Evaluator-Controller Record Station #2a - Emergency Operations Facility Administration (Continued)

II. Staffing (Continue	d)
------------------------	----

Reco	very Or	ganization:
1.	Did to th	he following members of the Recovery Organization report e EOF? (Continued)
	q.	EOF Technical Liaison
	r.	Scheduling/Planning Manager
	s.	Advisory Support Group (including NRC)
2.	List	the name of the reporting Recovery Manager
	a.	Was the EDO to Recovery Manager transition carried out in accordance with Implementing Procedure EPIP-EOF-14?
	b.	Did this transition progress smoothly?
	с.	Was the control room, TSC, and OSC notified of the transition?
	d.	Record time of transition.
3.	Are	the following emergency procedures available:
	a.	Fort Calhoun Radiological Emergency Response Plan
	b.	Fort Calhoun Emergency Plan Implementing Procedures
	c.	Iowa's Emergency Plan
	d.	Nebraska's Emergency Plan
	е.	Technical Data Book
	f.	Operating Emergency Procedures
4.	Are	these procedures being used?

Are logs being maintained properly? (EOF)

# Station #2a - Emergency Operations Facility Administration (Continued)

III.	Communi	cations

1.

2.

nicaci	Olis
Speci	fic:
a.	Report the time that the EOF was notified of any injury by the control room.
b.	Report the time that the EOF established contact with:
	Nebraska State EOC What all what all local EOC's: Washington County
	Iowa State EOC
	Local EOC's: Washington County Harrison County Pottawattamie County
с.	Report the time that the Blair Rescue Squad was notified and requested to provide transporation.
d.	Report the time that the UNMC Regional Radiation Health Center was notified that a contaminated injured man was enroute.
e.	Report the time that the Recovery Manager declared a General emergency.
Gene	eral:
a.	Were communications adequate to insure that the flow of information was timely, efficient, and effective?
	(1) Radio communications with monitor teams
	(2) Dedicated lines between EOF and MRC
	(3) Communications between the EOF and TSC
	(4) Commmunications between the EOF and OSC/CR
	(5) Communications between EOF and state and local EOC's
	(6) Communications within the EOF
	(7) Communications with offsite Recovery Organization support personnel

# Station #2a - Emergency Operations Facility Administration (Continued)

2.	General: (Continued)
	b. Were approved initial and follow-up notification forms used?
	c. Note any communications or equipment problems.
Perf	ormance of Duties
1.	Did the Recovery Manager provide information to the EOF Information Specialist for press release?
2.	Did the Recovery Manager provide information to the EOF Information Specialist for press release?  Were monitoring team survey results being forwarded to the Emergency Coordinator?
	Information Specialist for press release?  Were monitoring team survey results being forwarded to the
2.	Information Specialist for press release?  Were monitoring team survey results being forwarded to the Emergency Coordinator?  Is information being forwarded to the Recovery Manager in a
2.	Information Specialist for press release?  Were monitoring team survey results being forwarded to the Emergency Coordinator?  Is information being forwarded to the Recovery Manager in a timely manner?  Did the Recovery Manager have final approval regarding the

# Evaluator-Controller Record Station #2a - Emergency Operations Facility Administration (Continued)

1.	General Observations:	
2.	Items of Particular Interest/Concern:	
3.	Recommendations:	

Observer

Date

## Station #2b - Emergency Operations Facility Emergency Coordinator Station

Ι.

II.

3.

1.	When	n and under what I	Emergency fied:			and the second second
				Time	Emerg	. Class.
2.	Who	and under which I	Emergency was activ	Classific ated/notif	ation th	
				Name		Class
	а.	Dose Assessment Coordinator				
	b.	Environmental Survey & Analysi Coordinator	s			
	с.	Radiochemical Analysis Coordin	ator			
	d.	Dosimetry Coordinator				
	e.	Dose Assessment Operator				
	f.	Site Repre- sentative				
Staff	fing					
1.	res	the Emergency Co spective locations No	oordinator to cond	have all uct an eff	of his ective a	staff at the ssessment?
	If	no, then explain	the situa	ation or c	ircumsta	nces

Did the Site Representative call the Emergency Coordinator after reporting to his emergency location? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_

# Station #2b - Emergency Operations Facility Emergency Coordinator Station (Continued)

III.	Radiologi	cal	Eva	luation
		and the latest designation of the latest des	-	

If no, describe
If no, describe
Was the meteorological and radiological data transmitted dose assessment personnel every 15 minutes? Yes N
If no, describe
Were appropriate forms or procedures utilized by dose ass
ment personnel to calculate the Q values? Yes N
If no, describe
It no, describe
Was the Emergency Coordinator kept informed every 15 minu
or more frequently about dose rates and doses by the Dose Assessment Coordinator?
Was the radiological data posted on the board at least even 15 minutes?
Was the interface between the dose assessment group and
State assessment representative effective? Yes!
How was information exchanged?
Was the Recovery Manager kept informed of the dose assess
by the Emergency Coordinator?
Was the data regarding plant status and radiological data
transmitted to the Site Representative by the Emergency
Coordinator as frequently as appropriate? Yes
Was the service of the Environmental Survey and Analysis
Coordinator utilized for any sample or TLD collections of
Emergency Coordinator? Yes
Comments

# Station #2b - Emergency Operations Facility Emergency Coordinator Station (Continued)

10.	Was the service of the Dosimetry Coordinator utilized by the Emergency Coordinator?  Yes No
	Comments
11.	Was communication establi ed and maintained by the Emergency Coordinator with designated offsite authorities for relating accident information?  Yes No
12.	Were the updates on the radiological data provided to the TS OSC?  Yes No
13.	Did the Emergency Coordinator maintain control over personne assembled at the EOF? Yes $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ No $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$
	Comments
14.	Did the Emergency Coordinator inform the Recovery Manager about the PAG's and make recommendations to the State authorities for for appropriate action?  Yes No
15.	At what time(s) were protective actions recommended to the State EOC's?
	Were they correct?
16.	When and under what conditions was the General Emergency (if appliable) declared by the Recovery Manager and the Emergence Coordinator?
17.	Who else, other than the Recovery Manager and the Emergency Coordinator, was involved (within and outside OPPD) in the decision making process for declaring a General Emergency (applicable)?

Date

# Station #2b - Emergency Operations Facility Emergency Coordinator Station (Continued)

0bser	vations, Comments, and Recommendations
	General Observations:
2.	Items of Particular Interest/Concern:
3.	Recommendations:

Observer

#### Evaluator-Controller Record Station #3 - Operations Support Center

1.	Was	EPIP-EOF-13 used in the transition of responsibility om Shift Supervisor to EDO?		Yes	No	
2.		EPIP-OSC-14 used by the EDO and Shift Supervisor as pasis for immediate actions?				
3.		cord the time the following augmentation positions re staffed at the Alert classification:		Yes	No	
	TSC		Time		Person	
	a.	Emergency Duty Officer (EDO)			Line of the second	
	b.	TSC Manager	A NE			
	С.	Core Physics Coordinator				
	α.	System Analysist/Proc Coordinator				
	e.	I & C Support Coordinator				
	f.	Security & Tech Support Admin Supv.				
	g.	Phone Talker				
	h.	NRC				
		NRC				
		NRC				
		NRC				_
		NRC				
	OSC					
	a.	Reentry Team #2				_
	b.	Reentry Team #3				-
	С.	Dose Assessment Operator		_		_
	d.	Offsite Monitor #5				
	e.	Offsite Monitor #6				
	f.	Offsite Monitor #7				
	9.	Offsite Monitor #8				

Person

Time

#### Station #3 - Operations Support Center (Continued)

Gate Monitor #9 Gate Monitor #10 Onsite Monitor #11 Onsite Monitor #12 1. Onsite Monitor #13 Onsite Monitor #14 Monitor Team Coordinator Radio Operator Message Distrib./Clerical Support Rescue Squad Monitor #18 Personnel Decontamination Sample Count Dosimetry Issuance Outside Coordinator Shift Operations Supv. Rad Waste Mgnt Manager w. I & C Supervisor Yes No Remarks Did plant operation move from normal operation, to abnormal operation, to emergency operation, and into the EPIP's in a timely and effective () manner? List EPIP's used:

## Station #3 - Operations Support Center (Continued)

			Yes	No	Remarks
5.	Was adequate respiratory equipment availa and used to perform emergency actions?	able			
	and used to perform smergency actions.	TSC	( )	( )	
		OSC	( )	( )	
6.	Did the Plant Operations Manager provide important information to the Recovery Manager	nager?	( )	( )	
	List time and events:				
7.	Was the Plant Operations Manager consult to de-escalation of the emergency classi	ed prior fication?	()	( )	
	List time and events:				
8.	Were the requests of the Plant Operation Manager fulfilled in sufficient time?	ns	( )	( )	
	List time of request:				
	List time fulfilled:				
9.	Was the need for additional operators ev	valuated?	( )	()	
	Time:				

# Station #3 - Operations Support Center (Continued)

		Yes	No	Remarks
10.	Were emergency maintenance activities coordinated between the QC Maintenance Supervisor and the craftsmen?	( )	( )	
	List activities:			
11.	Were adequate communications available for members of the operation support staff?	( )	( )	
12.	Were offsite radiological assessments performed by the HP/Chemistry group until the Recovery Organization was established?	( )	( )	
13.	Were ALARA and radiation protection recommendations provided to the emergency repair group prior to entry into radiation areas?	( )	( )	
	List entries:			
14.	. Was authorization provided for exceeding normal operation exposure limits?	( )	( )	
15	. Were adequate training and special procedures provided to personnel entering the auxiliary building during the emergency?	( )	( )	

## Evaluator-Controller Record Station #3 - Operations Support Center (Continued)

		Yes	No	Remarks
16.	How well did operations support personnel coordinate with their counterparts in the Technical Support Center?	( )	( )	
17.	Were damage control personnel properly selected, briefed, and directed?	( )	( )	
18.	Was one person designated as the leader for the damage control team?	( )	( )	
19.	Were decontamination, bioassay, and exposure evaluations performed after the work was completed?	( )	( )	
20.	Did the damage control team leader provide a summary report after completion of the work?	( )	( )	
21.	Was the TSC checked for habitability?	( )	( )	
	Record Time			
	a. Were radiation surveys performed and documented?	()	( )	
	b. Were contamination surve, s performed and documented?	( )	( )	
	c. Were airborne surveys performed and documented?	()	( )	
22.	Report the time that monitoring teams were dispatched.			
23.	Is the Emergency Team functioning within the Recovery Organization?	( )	( )	
	a. Are survey instruments properly calibrated?	()	( )	

Date

### Station #3 - Operations Support Center (Continued)

1.	General Observations:
2.	Items of Particular Interest/Concern:
3.	Recommendations:

Observer

#### Evaluator-Controller Record Station #4 -Technical Support Center

I.

force for accountability?  3. Note the time at which communications were established with:  a. Control room/OSC  b. EOF  c. Offsite Advisory Groups (name the groups)  4. Note any communication problems.  5. Was the TSC staffed in accordance with Radiological Emergency Response Plan?  6. Was the TSC staff kept abreast of emergency conditions?  7. a. Were the following reference materials available for use by the TSC staff?  i) FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan  ii) EPIP's  iii) Emergency Operating Procedures  iv) Technical Specifications  v) Operating Manual  vi) Station Drawings	Noti	fication/Activation
force for accountability?  3. Note the time at which communications were established with:  a. Control room/OSC  b. EOF  c. Offsite Advisory Groups (name the groups)  4. Note any communication problems.  5. Was the TSC staffed in accordance with Radiological Emergency Response Plan?  6. Was the TSC staff kept abreast of emergency conditions?  7. a. Were the following reference materials available for use by the TSC staff?  i) FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan  ii) EPIP's  iii) Emergency Operating Procedures  iv) Technical Specifications  v) Operating Manual  vi) Station Drawings	1.	Note the time of TSC activation.
a. Control room/OSC  b. EOF  c. Offsite Advisory Groups (name the groups)  4. Note any communication problems.  5. Was the TSC staffed in accordance with Radiological Emergency Response Plan?  6. Was the TSC staff kept abreast of emergency conditions?  7. a. Were the following reference materials available for use by the TSC staff?  i) FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan  ii) EPIP's  iii) Emergency Operating Procedures  iv) Technical Specifications  v) Operating Manual  vi) Station Drawings	2.	At what time were names of the TSC staff given to the security force for accountability?
b. EOF  c. Offsite Advisory Groups (name the groups)  4. Note any communication problems.  5. Was the TSC staffed in accordance with Radiological Emergency Response Plan?  6. Was the TSC staff kept abreast of emergency conditions?  7. a. Were the following reference materials available for use by the TSC staff?  i) FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan  ii) EPIP's  iii) Emergency Operating Procedures  iv) Technical Specifications  v) Operating Manual  vi) Station Drawings	3.	Note the time at which communications were established with:
c. Offsite Advisory Groups (name the groups)  4. Note any communication problems.  5. Was the TSC staffed in accordance with Radiological Emergency Response Plan?  6. Was the TSC staff kept abreast of emergency conditions?  7. a. Were the following reference materials available for use by the TSC staff?  i) FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan  ii) EPIP's  iii) Emergency Operating Procedures  iv) Technical Specifications  v) Operating Manual  vi) Station Drawings		a. Control room/OSC
4. Note any communication problems.  5. Was the TSC staffed in accordance with Radiological Emergency Response Plan?  6. Was the TSC staff kept abreast of emergency conditions?  7. a. Were the following reference materials available for use by the TSC staff?  i) FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan  ii) EPIP's  iii) Emergency Operating Procedures  iv) Technical Specifications  v) Operating Manual  vi) Station Drawings		b. EOF
4. Note any communication problems.  5. Was the TSC staffed in accordance with Radiological Emergency Response Plan?  6. Was the TSC staff kept abreast of emergency conditions?  7. a. Were the following reference materials available for use by the TSC staff?  i) FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan  ii) EPIP's  iii) Emergency Operating Procedures  iv) Technical Specifications  v) Operating Manual  vi) Station Drawings		
5. Was the TSC staffed in accordance with Radiological Emergency Response Plan?  6. Was the TSC staff kept abreast of emergency conditions?  7. a. Were the following reference materials available for use by the TSC staff?  i) FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan  ii) EPIP's  iii) Emergency Operating Procedures  iv) Technical Specifications  v) Operating Manual  vi) Station Drawings	4.	Note any communication problems.
Response Plan?  6. Was the TSC staff kept abreast of emergency conditions?  7. a. Were the following reference materials available for use by the TSC staff?  i) FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan  ii) EPIP's  iii) Emergency Operating Procedures  iv) Technical Specifications  v) Operating Manual  vi) Station Drawings		
7. a. Were the following reference materials available for use by the TSC staff?  i) FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan  ii) EPIP's  iii) Emergency Operating Procedures  iv) Technical Specifications  v) Operating Manual  vi) Station Drawings	5.	Was the TSC staffed in accordance with Radiological Emergency Response Plan?
i) FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan ii) EPIP's iii) Emergency Operating Procedures iv) Technical Specifications v) Operating Manual vi) Station Drawings	6.	Was the TSC staff kept abreast of emergency conditions?
ii) EPIP's  iii) Emergency Operating Procedures  iv) Technical Specifications  v) Operating Manual  vi) Station Drawings	7.	
iii) Emergency Operating Procedures  iv) Technical Specifications  v) Operating Manual  vi) Station Drawings		i) FCS Radiological Emergency Response Plan
<pre>iv) Technical Specifications v) Operating Manual vi) Station Drawings</pre>		ii) EPIP's
v) Operating Manual vi) Station Drawings		iii) Emergency Operating Procedures
vi) Station Drawings		iv) Technical Specifications
		v) Operating Manual
b. Were any reference materials required but unavailable?		vi) Station Drawings
		b. Were any reference materials required but unavailable?

### Station #4 -Technical Support Center (Continued)

Ι.	Notification/Activation	(Continued)

- 8. What support did the TSC provide the control room/OSC in the following areas:
  - a. Assessment of core damage

b. Source of containment leakage

c. Calculation of amount of containment leakage

d. Identify "fixes" for leaking pressure relief line

### Station #4 -Technical Support Center (Continued)

- I. Notification/Activation (Continued)
  - 8. What support did the TSC provide the control room/OSC in the following areas: (Continued)
    - e. Support of natural circulation cooldown

f. Restoration of A/C power

g. Refilling the reactor vessel

## Station #4 -Technical Support Center (Continued)

9.	Was support presented to the OSC in an expeditious manner
10.	Was the TSC monitored for radiation annd contamination quantities?
Obser	rvations, Comments, and Recommendations
1.	General Observations:
	Items of Particular Interest/Concern:
2.	Items of Particular Interesty States
3.	Recommendations:
	Observer

#### Evaluator-Controller Record Station #5 - Exits/Assembly Areas

I.	Outsi	de of North Exit
	1.	At what time did the nuclear emergency alarm sound?
	2.	Was the emergency exit controlled?
		For what period of time?
		(A security guard and a C/RP Technician are assigned access control duties at this location.)
	3.	At what time did the Rescue Squad arrive at the emergency exit?
	4.	Did the members of the re-entry and rescue emergency team enter building properly (i.e., monitor for dose rate at the door, have respirator donned prior to opening door, etc.)?
	5.	Was the injured person removed and placed in the Rescue Squad vehicle in an efficient, expeditious manner including contamination control?
	6.	Was the injured person monitored prior to entering the vehicle?
	7.	Did the re-entry and rescue emergency team exit the building properly (i.e., remove shoe covers and other necessary clothing prior to exiting)?
	8.	If other re-entries are made, note time and use same criteria as stated in 5 and 8.
II.	Asse	mbly Area
	1.	Is the assembly area adequate for the number?
	2.	Are personnel orderly?
	3.	Are personnel abiding by the instructions provided by the outside coordinator?
	4.	If asked for assistance, are the personnel willingly offering their assistance?

### 

5.	Have surveys been taken of the assembly area?
6.	Report the time the decontamination room was activated.
Onsi	te Monitoring Team
1.	Verify that survey instruments are operable. (Note problem area(s).)
2.	Was area outside of auxiliary building monitored?
	a. Radiation levels
	b. Contaminatin levels
	c. Time of survey
3.	Was area outside of auxiliary building posted (contaminated area, radiation/high radiation area, airborne radioactivity etc.)? Note time of posting.
4.	Was perimeter of site boundary surveyed?
	Note time of the survey.
5.	List other areas surveyed by the onsite monitor team.

Date

### Evaluator-Controller Record Station #5 - Exits/Assembly Areas (Continued)

1.	General Observations:
2.	Items of Particular Interest/Concern:
3.	Recommendations:

Observer

#### Evaluator-Controller Record

#### Station #6 - Offsite Monitors

I.	Noti	Notification/Activation												
	1.	Report	time	that	offsite	monitor	teams	(Tags	5,	6,	7,	and	8)	

	assembled at the TSC.
2.	Were offsite monitoring teams briefed as to what sectors they are required to take samples?
3.	Are the monitoring teams properly equipped with the following:
	a. Monitoring kit
	b. Air sampler
	c. Water sampling bottles
	d. SAM-2 iodine monitor and vehicle
4.	Have communications been tested and verified with the EOF (mobile radio channel #1)?
5.	Has equipment been checked prior to dispatching offsite monitoring teams?
	a. Are instruments calibrated?
	b. Have instrument checks been made prior to use?
	c. Is the converter power supply adequate to run the SAM-2 monitor?
6.	Were the following samples taken:
	a. Airborne samples
	(1) Particulate
	(2) Iodine
	b. Contamination smears
	c. Water samples
	d. Radiation readings
7.	Have samples been documented as to location, date, and time samples were obtained?
8.	Has a survey map been labeled to reflect sample point lo-

### Evaluator-Controller Record Station #6 - Offsite Monitors (Continued)

	9.	Do the survey teams have predetermined sample point locations?  If not, were subsequent samples taken at previously documented locations?  Comment:
	10.	Have survey results been reported to the EOF via radio?
	11.	Did survey teams follow procedures and health physics practices?
	12.	Did the monitor teams locate the plume?
	13.	Were adequate precautions taken to prevent personal contamination and cross-contamination of samples?
II.	<u>Obse</u>	rvations, Comments, and Recommendations
	1.	General Observations:
	2.	Items of Particular Interest/Concern:
	3.	Recommendations:

### Station #7 Evaluator-Controller Record - Security, Access, and Accountability

I.

Did	
rece	the security guard follow the proper procedures in iving the attack threat?
Did	the security force respond properly to the explosion?
Was	the search of the area properly carried out?
At v	what time did the nuclear alarm sound?
fol	e security guards dispatched to the areas listed below lowing the declaration of an alert? (Note time that they e dispatched.)
a.	Security work area
b.	Northwest corner of protected area
c.	Railroad gate
d.	Entrance to site
(No EPI	e security procedure(s) adhered to? (If not, elaborate.) te: Security procedures to be followed are EPIP-EOF-9 and P-EOF-12.)
At	what time following the declaration of an alert were
At	what time following the declaration of an alert were munications established with the groups listed below:
At com	what time following the declaration of an alert were munications established with the groups listed below:  Control room
a.	munications established with the groups listed below:
a.	munications established with the groups listed below:  Control room
a. b.	Control room  EOF
a. b.	Control room  EOF Security guard #2 Security guard #3
com a. b. c. d. At	Control room  EOF Security guard #2 Security guard #3
com a. b. c. d. At	Control room  EOF Security guard #2 Security guard #3 Security guard #4 what time did the control room (OSC) transmit the personne

## Station #7 - Security, Access, and Accountability (Continued)

Notif	ication/Activation (Continued)
10.	Was microwave zone 1 rearmed immediately after evacuation of personnel from the plant? (Note the time.)
11.	Were consoles closely monitored?
12.	Were logs properly maintained?
13.	At what time did the security force barricade the entrance to the plant?
14.	Was traffic controlled in an orderly manner?
15.	How was access controlled (i.e., OPPD personnel identify themselves using ID cards; others (except the Rescue Squad members) require approval from EDO/Recovery Manager)?
16.	Was accountability performed in the owner controlled (exclusion) area?
17.	Were offsite monitor team members monitored upon arrival at Gate 3?
18.	During the course of the exercise, were personnel "milling" about (outside of necessary duties)?
19.	Were personnel returning into the assembly area monitored for contamination?

# Station #7 - Security, Access, and Accountability (Continued)

IV. Observa	tions,	Comments,	and	Recommendations
-------------	--------	-----------	-----	-----------------

1. General Observations:

2. Items of Particular Interest/Concern:

3. Recommendations:

Observer Date

#### Evaluator-Controller Record

#### Station #8 - Auxiliary Building

I.	Notification/Activation	1

1.	Note the time of day the nuclear emergency alarm was sounded and the announcement information (i.e., 0800 hours, control room declared an "Alert" emergency).
2.	Observe auxiliary building evacuation. Note if evacuation was prompt and orderly; and whether personnel know immediate actions.
3.	Are any workers remaining in the auxiliary building 30 minutes after nuclear emergency alarm sounded? Identify.
4.	How and at what time was the control room notified of the personnel injury?
5.	What first aid methods are being applied while waiting for the Rescue Squad?
6.	Time of Rescue Squad arrival.
7.	What time did rescue personnel arrive to the injured person inside of the auxiliary building?
8.	Did the rescue team monitor for radiation levels?  If so, at what time? What was the reading?  (Verify instrument operability.)
9.	Did the Rescue Squad team members enter the auxiliary building?
10.	Was the injured person placed in a nuclear emergency personnel carrier or wrapped in plastic?
11.	Was the injured person and carrier monitored prior to leaving the auxiliary building?
12.	What actions were taken with the contaminated technician (i.e. was he provided with additional clothing prior to exit, etc.)?
13.	Note the time and purpose of the entries into the auxiliary building.
14.	Does the re-entry team have a RWP?

#### Evaluator-Controller Record Station #8 - Auxiliary Building (Continued)

15.	Are they dressed in accordance with the RWP?
16.	Are they using instruments stated on the RWP?
17.	Do they have dosimetry in accordance with the RWP?
18.	Was the emergency entrance to the auxiliary building adequately controlled? (A C/RP Technician and a security guard are to man this area.)
19.	Were radiation and contamination surveys taken and evaluated prior to emergency repairs?
20.	Was communication between the damage control team and an emergency response facility maintained? Identify the applicable ERF.
21.	Were sufficient tools and equipment identified and available to complete the equipment repairs?
22.	Note the sampling, transport, and analysis of the emergency reactor coolant sample. Were proper health physics practices demonstrated?
23.	Were decontamination supplies and procedures adequate?
24.	Is a controlled copy of the OI-PAP's maintained in the chemistry area?
Obse	ervations, Comments, and Recommendations
1.	General Observations:
2.	Items of Particular Interest/Concern:
3.	Recommendations:
	Observer

II.

#### Evaluator-Controller Record Station #9 - Media Release Center

Ι.

1	fication/Activation
	At what time was the Media Release Center activated?
	Was staffing in accordance with the applicable EPIP for public information?
	Was staffing adequate?
	Were communications established between the EOF and MRC?
	Was notification made by the MRC to personnel listed in Appendix A of the MRC emergency implementing procedure?  (Note personnel not notified or could not be reached.)
	Were communication systems adequate?
	What reference materials were used by the MRC staff? (List below.)
	What additional reference materials were available? (List below.)
	Were news releases made in accordance with procedures?
	Which news groups were kept informed by the MRC staff? (List below.)
	Did information from the EOF to the MRC flow in an efficient manner?
	How were rumors handled?
	How did the MRC staff interface with the groups listed below:
	a. State and local civil defenses
	h. News groups
	Were technical liaison personnel knowledgeable and effective
	Did the final news release fully summarize and terminate the

Evaluator-Controller Record Station #9 - Media Release Center (Continued)

2. Items of Particular Interest/Concern:	
2. Items of Particular Interest/Concern:	
3. Recommendations:	

Observer

Date