

50-35201-35301

EXHIBIT
3085

'84 DEC 17 11:32

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Helen Hoyt, Chairperson
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Docket #50-35201
Washington, D.C. 20555

SERVED DEC 17 1984

Dear Ms. Hoyt:

We are extremely concerned about the inadequacy of the proposed evacuation plans for the area surrounding the Limerick nuclear plant. Serious questions remain about the availability of personnel, protection of the handicapped, and whether there would be sufficient time for safe evacuation, especially if road conditions are not optimal.

We hope that you have recognized these facts as you consider this case. Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Karen Cohen
Michael M. Cohen

Karen Cohen
Michael M. Cohen, O.D.

M. Cohen
1212 Rosemont Lane
Abington, PA 19001

8502150118 841210
PDR ADOCK 05000352
T PDR

DS03

PEOPLE FOR THE PUMP
Hatboro, Pa.

RECEIVED

December 10, 1984.

'84 DEC 17 AM 11:35

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

HELEN F. HOYT, CHMN,
Atomic Safety and Licencing Board,
U. S. Regulatory Commission,
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Madame:-

Re: Limrick Nuclear Reactors.

God has given us the atom for a servant. It is doing well, where people do not get involved.

Limrick started out with a proposed cost of 850 million. It was originally to have commenced service in 1979. It would reduce the cost of heating energy for power production from 11.00 for oil heat, from 9.00 for coal heat, to .48 for the same amount of heat. Also the nuclear can out-produce other forms of energy tremendously. The biggest coal plant produces around a quarter of a million kw per day, while the nuclear produces over a million.

Also it is by far the cleanest and safest source of energy. The nuclear has it all over the conventional types of energy, and is used all over the world, except in the U. S. where activists, motivated by our enemies, are doing their best to destroy our nuclear facilities, and to cause them to be delayed so they can up the price to the point where the government must take over. They want a socialistis operation of these plants.

In America we have found that privately owned industry has been the best answer. SEPTA is a good current illustration. When owned privately it was efficient and tax-paying. Now it is not efficient, and costs the tax-payers 80 million dollars per year. This year it cost us about 80 million dollars.

The best way for us who pay for electricity to reap benefits from Limrick, is for the Commission to turn a deaf ear to all the criticisms, and pseudo-experts, and let the Philadelphia Electric have a mandate to complete its plant and finish its work at the earliest possible moment. Had it been unopposed in 1979, it would have cost only 850 million, and our prices would have been far less for our electric bills.

Sincerely,
Alvin J. Paice
REV. ALVIN J. PAICE

242 Thornridge Drive
Levittown, Pa. 19054
10 December 1984

Helen Hoyt, Chairperson
U. S. NRC (#50-352)
Washington, D.C. 20555

'84 | 17 | 11:35
OFFICE
DOCK

Dear Helen Hoyt:

Re: "Adequate" emergency evacuation plans for
the Limerick Nuclear plant.

A serious accident at Limerick, such as a core meltdown could kill nearly 6 million people within a 50-mile radius and make an area the size of Pennsylvania uninhabitable for many years. Smaller scale accidents could endanger future generations in the region since radioactive particles affect the genetic material from which life is created. There are grave doubts as to the adequacy of Philadelphia Electric's evacuation plans now under consideration by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Can PECO guarantee there will never be an accident?

The projected life of the Limerick plant is only 25-35 years at best. Decommissioning the site represents a complex, hazardous and costly program. More likely, the way to dismantle the reactors, according to a U.S. energy agency report, is to "place the shutdown reactor in protective storage for about 100 years"---that is to, entomb it. It is doubtful if after this period the site could ever be reclaimed. My complaint Limerick nuclear plant will be a dangerous costly white elephant for the next generation. Can the commission deny this?

Peace, Ethel M. Field

ROBERT D. REBER, JR., MEMBER

DISTRICT OFFICE (146)
424 KING STREET
POTTSTOWN, PA 19464
PHONE: (215) 326-9563

HARRISBURG OFFICE:
HOUSE POST OFFICE BOX 157
315 MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING
HARRISBURG, PA 17120
PHONE: (717) 787-2924



COMMITTEES

CONSERVATION
SELECT COMMITTEE ON SAFE DRINKING
WATER
ETHICS
JUDICIARY
SUB-COMMITTEE ON CRIMES AND
CORRECTIONS
EMINENT DOMAIN TASK FORCE

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
HARRISBURG

December 7, 1984

Mr. Karl Abraham
Public Affairs Officer
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dear Mr. Abraham:

I would like to extend my sincerest thanks to you and the various members of the licensing board of panels for the courtesies shown to me during the Limerick Hearings held at the Limerick Information Center last month.

Additionally, I appreciate the consideration given to my request to hold an additional hearing in the local Pottstown Area. It is my understanding that this is being done some time during the week of December 10, 1984.

Again, please extend my sincerest thanks to the three members of the board.

With warm personal regards, I remain.

Sincerely,

Robert D. Reber, Jr.
Robert D. Reber, Jr.

RDR, JR/kz