

STATEMENT

PLACE: CORPS

DATE: 12/2/82

I, WILFRED T. DUNHAM, hereby make the following voluntary statement to Mr. D. D. Driskill, who has identified himself to me as an investigator with the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. I make this statement freely with no threats or promises of reward having been made to me.

WTD I am currently employed by Ebasco as a Coatings QC Inspector at CORPS. I have been employed in this capacity for about 22 months.

On 8/24/82 I attended a meeting at which two Ebasco Coatings Engineers explained the results of their review of CORPS coatings operations and QC procedures. They also wanted to present to Coatings QC personnel some of the changes they were going to recommend in our program. The format for their presentation encouraged us to ask questions.

During their presentation numerous people asked questions and made comments regarding topics they were discussing. One of these comments was Bill Thompson. At one point Dunham pointed out that technical difficulties were not the only problem coatings inspectors have. He said a serious problem was the measurement and cost of support we receive from our QC management when we attempt to document deficient conditions we find during inspections. One of the engineers said

What sort of situation would not exist if he (the Engineer) was in charge of the program. There were several other issues which Dunham brought up and the Engineer(s) would also comment on. A couple of times someone ~~will~~ would say something to the effect "we're getting off the subject." I do not believe his (Dunham's) comment was in anyway disruptive or unprofessional. I have attended about 20 meetings which Dunham attended and have never seen him disruptive. Dunham is a good inspector and is well respected and liked by his coworkers.

I would like to point out that following the meeting no one I knew even suspected that Dunham would be reprimanded or fired for his conduct because it was not unusual. It came as a total surprise when he was fired.

I feel intimidated as a result of what happened to Dunham. His being terminated in the manner which Dunham was makes me feel ~~more~~ vulnerable ~~if~~ if I speak out in meetings or otherwise regarding program matters which concern me. I feel that what happened to Dunham affects the way I see all aspects of my job. I feel it limits my freedom to properly do my job as a CIC Inspector. we

I have read over, understood and initialed errors in  
this 3 page statement. I swear this statement  
is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.  
Initiated on 9/03/83

→ W.E. (Walter) Elliott

Subscribed and sworn before me this 25<sup>th</sup> day of Sept 1983  
@ CPSES - Green Rose TV.

Cassie Duleck  
In NR

witness:

FBI - U.S.A.