UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

INTERVIEW

OF

HARRY WILLIAMS

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

November 7, 1983

Appearances:

H. BROOKS GRIFFIN, NRC Investigator

DONALD D. DRISKILL, NRC Investigator

LeBOEUF, LAMB, LEIBY & MacRAE By: JOHN S. KINZEY, JR., ESQUIRE Counsel for Dravo Constructors, Inc. and Harry Williams

EXHIBIT (8)

TAYLOE ASSOCIATES Registered Professional Reporters Telephone (804) 461-1984 Norfolk, Virginia

8410310091 831107 PDR ADUCK 05000445 T PDR

I N -C , S X	
WITNERS	
Examination by:	Page
Mr. Griffin	5

i

Harry Williams

1 Sworn statement of HARRY WILLIAMS, taken before 2 Marcia B. Hall, a Registered Professional Reporter, commencing at 2:30 p.m., on the 7th day of November 1983, at 3 the office of Dravo Constructors, Inc., 32nd Floor, One 4 Oliver Plaza, Pittrburgh, Pennsylvania. 5 6 7 MR. GRIFFIN: For the record, this is an 8 9 interview by H. Brooks Griffin of Harry Williams who is 10 employed by Drave Constructors, Inc. 11 MR. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. 12 MR. GRIFFIN: And you are presently located in El Centro, California. 13 14 The location of this interview is the 32nd floor 15 of One Oliver Plaza, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Present at this interview are, for the NRC, H. 16 Brooks Griffin and Don D. Driskill. We are interviewing Mr. 17 18 Harry Williams. And for Dravo, what is your first name? 19 20 MR. KINZEY: John Kinzey. I am also representing Mr. Williams in 21 22 connection with this interview. 23 MR. GRIFFIN: Dravo and Mr. Williams? 24 MR. KINZEY: Yes. MR. GRIFFIN: This interview is being 25

	transcribed by a court reporter.
2	There are a variety of subjects that are going
	그는 것은 것 같은 것 같은 것은 것은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은
3	to be covered in this interview. One of them being some QC
4	records, and intimidation, are the basic issues I want to
5	talk to you about.
6	Mr. Williams, if you will please stand, I want
7	to swear you to the contents of this statement.
8	Would you stand and raise your right hand?
9	
10	(The witness was first duly sworn.)
11	
12	MR. KINZEY: Before we begin, I understand there
13	are certain agreements that have been reached prior to going
14	on the record with the interview.
15	Some weeks ago, Mr. Williams gave an interview
16	and a statement to Mr. Driskill, who is present here today,
17	at a time when Mr. Williams was not represented by counsel.
18	As I understand, he was promised a copy of that
19	signed statement which he has not yet received.
20	It was my position at the outset today that we .
21	were not prepared to go forward with that interview on the
22	record until Mr. Williams has been provided a copy of that
23	statement.
24	So I will allow the interview to go forward. I
25	believe it is the agreement of those of us present that the

83

ø

ł

ŧ

.

.

.

subject matter as to which Mr. Williams was interviewed in 1 2 the prior interview, and which was the subject of that statement, will not be covered during the course of today's 3 interview; and that statement which he signed previously will 4 not be used in any way to impeach him. 5 MR. GRIFFIN: That's correct. 6 7 MR. KINZEY: I further understand that we will 8 be provided a copy of that signed statement by mail after Mr. Griffin and Mr. Driskill have had a chance to go back to 9 their office. 10 11 MR. GRIFFIN: That's correct, also. 12 MR. KINZEY: Let's go ahead. 13 MR. GRIFFIN: Harry, before I start talking to 14 you I want to go into, for a moment --MR. KINZEY: Do you want this on the record? 15 16 MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, I do. MR. KINZEY: I didn't mean to interrupt. Go on. 17 MR. GRIFFIN: Before I start the interview, I 18 want to go into the relationship that exists between Mr. 19 20 Kinzey and you for the record. Mr. Kinzey, I pose some of the questions to you. 21 22 Do you presently represent Dravo Constructors, 23 Inc.? 24 MR. KINZEY: Yes. 25 MR. GRIFFIN: In this instance, you say you also

-

ti.

Horry Williams

1	represent Mr. Williams; is that correct?
2	MR. KINZEY: Yes.
3	MR. GRIFFIN: Do you represent any of the other
4	parties involved, like Tugco, Brown & Root?
5	MR. KINZEY: I do not represent any other party,
6	including those two.
7	
8	HARRY WILLIAMS, being previously duly sworn, was
9	examined and testified as follows:
10	
11	EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
13	Q. Mr. Williams, the NRC allows an interviewee, an
14	employee of a contractor, or representative in the nuclear
15	industry that is being interviewed by the NRC, an opportunity
10	to have with him or present with him in the interview a
17	representative.
18	That doesn't necessarily mean counsel. It just
19	means maybe that you want the intent was I believe to make
20	you feel more comfortable in the interview and not to direct
21	his testimony or to tell him what to say or what not to say
22	or where to start and stop.
23	When I interviewed or when I called you on the
24	phone earlier, you indicated that you could not be
25	interviewed by the NRC until you had a Dravo attorney present,

÷.,

i illians

13

and I believe you indicated this was company policy; is that 1 2 true? 3 A. Uh-huh. That's true. MR. KINZEY: Harry? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, Excuse me. BY MR. GRIFFIN: 6 7 Q. In this instance, are you adhering to company 8 policy, or is it your personal preference that your 9 representative be Mr. Kinzey? 10 In other words, are you doing it because Dravo says you will, or are you doing it because he is your 11 12 ... personal representative? A. He is my representative. 13 Q. So he speaks not for you because that's not our 14 intent here today, but he is your representative under the 15 16 term representative, as put forward by the NRC; is that your 17 understanding? 18 Α. Yes. 19 MR. GRIFFIN: Mr. Kinzey, I do not know how 20 involved you will become in these proceedings, but when you sp. ak, will you be speaking for Mr. Williams, or for Dravo? 21 22 MR. KINZEY: I will be speaking for Mr. Williams. MR. GRIFFIN: Mr. Kinzey, you said that, I 23 believe, you indicated that when the NRC interviews Dravo 24 employees, it is their company policy that they be 25

5

Harry Williams

	물건에 참여하는 것은 것 같아요. 이 것
1	represented by Dravo counsel; is that correct?
2	MR. KINZEY: That is not their stated policy, no.
3	MR. GRIFFIN: Would you explain it to me
4	briefly?
5	MR. KINZEY: My understanding of the policy is
6	that prior to speaking to any attorney for another party or
7	any enforcement agency, it is Dravo Company policy that the
8	potential witness or interviewee is to contact corporate
9	legal department here in Pittsburgh who will advise the
10	witness at that point as to whether he needs a lawyer, to
11	give him a choice of lawyer, if he wants one, or to represent
12	him if that is what seems appropriate in the circumstances.
13	MR. GRIFFIN: You say if that is what seems
14	appropriate.
15	Tell me this, did Mr. Williams before the outset
16	or before today have a choice as to whether he could have a
17	Dravo attorney or not? Does he have a choice?
18	MR. KINZEY: He most certainly does. We spoke
19	with Mr. Williams last night and told him what his options
20	were, and under Dravo bylaws, he had the right to retain any
21	counsel he chose, and the company would reimburse him for
22	that expense.
23	MR. GRIFFIN: It is your understanding that Mr.
24	Williams it is his choice that you be present here today?
25	MR. KINZEY: Yes.

Harry Xilliams

5

. .

1	MR. GRIFFIN: I think you told me earlier, Mr.
2	Kinzey, who implemented this policy. Do you know?
3	MR. KINZEY: I don't personally know, no.
4	It is my understanding Mr. Glen Burns, who is
5	Dravo's Corporate attorney, initiated this policy some period
6	of time back.
7	MR. GRIFFIN: And it is your understanding that
8	this policy will be uniformly applied?
9	MR. KINZEY: Yes.
10	MR. GRIFFIN: To other employ es as these issues
11	come up as they relate to the nuclear industry and contact
12	with the NRC and others?
13	MR. KINZEY: Yes. It is not limited to the NRC.
14	MR. GRIFFIN: Okay.
15	Mr. Kinzey, have you been given any instructions
16	that relate to a potential conflict between what Mr. Williams
17	might be saying in his testimony and what Dravo Company's
18	policy might be? Do you understand my question?
19	MR. KINZEY: No.
20	MR. GRIFFIN: Has anybody instructed you as to
21	how to represent Mr. Williams in this matter?
22	MR. KINZEY: No.
23	MR. GRIFFIN: No?
24	MR. KINZEY: No. I am not quite sure what your (
25	point is, but I would simply observe as a lawyer if a

TAYLOE ASSOCIATES

.

	Harry Williams
1	conflict arises and there is an attorney, he has an ethic
2	obligation to deal with that.
3	I am here today because we don't perceive an
4	conflict between Mr. Williams and the company.
5	MR. GRIFFIN: The NRC does not have an offic
6	policy on this that I am aware of. We have already discu
7	this. But the NRC does see a potential conflict between
8	company attorney representing an interviewee, and the com
9	has its own interests in mind during the interview.
10	We are proceeding with this interview in spir
11	of my concerns in that area.
12	MR. KINZEY: If we are going to go into this,
13	which I think is burdening the record unnecessarily, I jus
14	observe that, as I understand it, Mr. Williams has the rig
15	in any informal administrative procedure to have counsel o
16	his choice.
17	If there is a potential conflict between the
18	witness and another entity that the lawyer may represent,
19	really, it isn't necessarily something of the NRC's concern
20	MR. GRIFFIN: The NRC is concerned about wheth
21	the testimony received is an accurate recreation or portray
22	of the events and knowledge of the witness, rather than a
23	reflection of the company's perceived or desired portrayal
24	recreation of the events, and that's where the concern lies
25	MR. KINZEY: I can understand that is a concer

Harry Williams

0

1 A. Supervisor. The term, supervisor, is that the same as, like, 2 Q. 3 superintendent? Were you a superintendent part of that time? 4 I don't feel like -- I was a supervisor most of 5 A. 6 the time. You were a Dravo supervisor. Did you supervise 7 0. 8 Brown & Root employees? 9 Α. Yes. Were you employed in other than coatings, QC 10 0. inspections? Did you have other jobs also? 11 Well, supervisor with another group, yes. 12 Α. 13 What other group was that? 0. 14 Α. Concrete. QC. Q. Did you hold that position at the same time you 15 held the position as coatings QC supervisor? 16 17 Α. Yes. Did you hold that same position during the 18 Q. entire time you were employed there? 19 A. . With the concrete, yes. 20 And coatings is just a portion of the title? 21 Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 When did you start or when did you become a Q. 24 supervisor over coatings? A. I believe it was June '81. 25

	Barry Williams
1	
	Q. And did you hold that position until you left?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And when did you leave Comanche Peak?
4	A. September, let me think, the first of September.
5	Q. 1983?
6	A. '83.
7	Q. What is your present position?
8	A. QA at the Heber site.
9	Q. H-e-b-e-r?
10	A. H-e-b-e-r.
11	Q. What type facility is that?
12	A. That's a geothermal system.
13	Q. All right.
14	In the fall of 1982, among the other groups that
15	you supervised, did you supervise the QC coatings group at
16	Comanche Peak in which Robert Hamilton was the supervisor or
17	the foreman?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you recall an instance which occurred in
20	March of 1982, in which I believe Mr. Hamilton, Mr. Krolack,
21	Mr. Shelton, were asked by you, I believe, to perform an
22	inspection at, I believe they described it as high on the
23	ring in the containment, Unit I? Do you recall that incident?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. Were you the supervisor of Mr. Hamilton at that

	Hör	iams	13
1	time?		
2	۸.	Yes.	
3	Q.	And Mr. Shelton and Krolack, were they on Mr	
4	Hamilton's		
5	А.	Yes.	
6	Q.	Were Krolack, Hamilton and Shelton subsequen	tly
7	terminated	as a result of their unwillingness to perform	an
8	inspection	on that ring?	
9	Α.	Yes.	
10	Q.	Whose decision was it to terminate them?	
11	Α.	My supervisor.	
12	٥.	Who was Liat?	
13	Α.	Tom Brandt.	
14	Q.	Were you the one that instructed Hamilton to	
15	perform the	e inspection?	
16	Α.	I gave word that he needed to go up there, hi	im
17	or he and h	nis people.	
18	Q.	Who originated this inspection? Who decided	
19	this inspec	ction had to be made? Was it routine?	
20	Α.	Construction.	
21	Q.	Construction. So they were at a point where	
22	that needed	to be inspected?	
23	Α.	Needed to be inspected.	
24	Q.	Do you remember which one you asked to go up	
25	first?		

	Harry Williams 14
1	A. I didn't ask any of them personally. I told the
2	supervisor to send somebody up there.
3	Q. You told Hamilton?
4	A. I told Neil Britton and he relayed a message to
5	Hamilton.
6	Q. I see. There were a couple other paint
7	inspectors whose names I am familiar with. Fazi and Gunn,
8	are you familiar with those two gentlemen?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Were they also asked to make this inspection?
11	A. Gunn wasn't.
12	Q. Was Fazi?
13	A. I don't know.
14	Q. Do you recall, or is it your personal knowledge
15	that Krolack and Shelton were asked to make this inspection?
16	A. I don't know if they
17	Q. Is your knowledge of this instance based on
18	having been there yourself or just related to you through
19	some third-party?
20	A. They were told to go up there to inspect. And
21	they refused to go.
22	Q. But the instructions came originated with you,
23	went through Britton to these guys?
24	A. Uh-huh.
25	Q. Did Hamilton ever have any personal conversation

Horry williams 15 with you about refusing to perform this inspection? 1 A. Hamilton came to me and said it was unsafe, or 2 3 he said it was unfair. 4 Q. Hamilton did? 5 Α. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Do you recall what your comments were or what 7 your response was? 8 Α. Yes. 9 0. What did you tell him? I said, "I will go up to the Safety Department 10 Α. 11 and have a look at it." Did the Safety Department look at it? 12 0. 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. And what was their --15 They said it was safe. No problem with it. Α. 16 Do you know if Hamilton was the first one that 0. was asked to perform his inspection? Or did Krolack and 17 18 Shelton precede him; do you know? 19 A. I don't know. Q. After Safety decided that it was a safe 20 inspection, did you make any further -- give Hamilton further 21 instructions? 22 A. I informed Neil that the Safety Department said 23 it was safe; that they were to go up and do their job. 24 Q. I presume Mr. Hamilton continued to refuse, is 25

	Harry Willia	ms 15	
1	that right?		
2	Α.	Yes.	
3	Q.	Did he tell you this personally, or written, as	
4	related to y	012?	
5	Α.	He told Britton, and he related it to me.	
6	Q.	What happened next?	
7	Α.	I went to my supervisor.	
8	Q.	Brandt?	
9	Α.	Yes.	
10	Q.	And what did Brandt say?	
11	Α.	He said that they are to perform that inspection	
12	And if not,	he wanted to see them in his office.	
13	٥.	Did Brandt subsequently see them in the office?	
14	Α.	Yes.	
15	Q.	Was it Shelton, Hamilton and Krolack?	
16	Α.	Yes.	
17	Q.	What did Brandt do, now?	
18	Α.	He had also Gordon Purdy there, and they had	
19	prior discus	sed this to them getting there. And he gave them	
20	one more cha	nce to go up and inspect it.	
21	· Q.	And they refused?	
22	Α.	Yes.	
23	Q.	Then what happened?	
24	Α.	They were terminated.	
25	Q.	By Brandt?	

	Parry William	5
1	Α.	(Witness nodding head)
2	Q.	During that time, were you present for that
3	conversation?	
4	Α.	I was in the area, yes.
5	Q.	Do you recall Hamilton, Krolack or Shelton
6	recalling or	relating any prior history on this particular
7	inspection?	Do you recall him saying that a previous
8	supervisor by	the name of Hawkins had already made a
9	statement tha	t this was an unsafe inspection?
10		Do you recall that statement?
11	Α.	Yes, sir.
12	Q.	Do you recall any comments by yourself, Brandt,
13	or Purdy as i	t relates to that?
14	Α.	No. I don't.
15	٥.	Did the statement that Hawkins, the previous
16	supervisor, h	ad said that this was an unsafe inspection, did
17	it carry any	weight, or did it influence anybody to your
18	knowledge to	believe that this was an unsafe inspection?
19	Α.	I don't remember. I don't know.
20	Q.	Did it cause you to question whether this was a
21	safe inspecti	on?
22	Α.	No.
23	Q.	In other words
24	Α.	I walked it.
25	Q.	You did?

Harry Will: ---

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. At that time?
3	A. At a time before they went to the office.
4	Q. Did anybody else walk it?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Who?
7	A. Mike Foote and Neil Britton and I all went up
8	there.
9	Q. Do you have an opinion beyond these facts as to
10	why these men were not performing inspections?
11	Or do you feel like they were being honest in
12	that they thought it was an unsafe inspection?
13	A. I don't know.
14	Q. Do you recall if anybody else voiced an opinion
1,5	as to why these men were not to perform this inspection?
16	A. No. The reason they gave was unsafe.
17	Q. You said Brandt actually terminated Hamilton,
18	Krolack and Shelton. Was anybody else involved in the
19	decision to terminate them?
20	A. Gordon Purdy was there.
21	Q. But Brandt was the one that made the decision?
22	A. I am assuming. I can't put words in their mouth.
23	Q. All I want to know is what you personally know.
24	I am not asking for hearsay or speculation in this instance.
25	A. I don't know. You would have to talk to them on

13

Harry Williams 1 that. Q. But you were present if, for instance, if Purdy 2 had said "Tom, fire him"? That's why I am asking the 3 4 question. 5 Tom and I discussed it. And I am assuming that Α. 6 he discussed it with Gordon, you know. 7 But it was Brandt's decision? 0. 8 A. Yes. It might have been both of their 9 decisions, I don't know. 10 Do you ever recall anybody in your presence 0. 11 telling Mr. Hamilton, or had you ever told to Mr. Hamilton that he was conducting his inspections too well? Quote, 12 13 "too well," unquote? 14 A . No. 15 0. You never made that statement? 16 (Witness shaking head negatively). A. . 17 Harry, I want to move onto a different subject: 0. 18 Ron Tolson. 19 Harry, do you recall an incident while you were I believe the QC superintendent of HP in which Darlene Stiner 20 refused to sign off on a hanger? And she voiced this to you, 21 indicated she refused to sign off on it? Do you recall that 22 23 incident? 24 A. No, I don't. 25 Q. Do you ever recall telling Mrs. Stiner when she

Harry Williams 20 refused to perform an inspection to buy off the hanger, you 1 would find somebody else who would? Do you ever recall 2 making that statement to Mrs. Stiner? 3 4 Α. No, I don't. 5 Q. Do you ever recall substituting Randy Smith to perform an inspection that Darlene Stiner had indicated she 5 7 would not perform? 8 Α. No. Have you ever heard of this incident that I am 9 Q. 10 trying to --11 No, I haven't. Α. 12 Has anybody ever told you that this incident was Q. 13 testified to before the ASLB hearing board? 14 Α. No. Do you recall another instance in which a - 15 0. foreman by the name of James Sturbridge instructed Mrs. 16 Stiner to weld a leg on a hanger that did not contain a 17 drawing with the dimensions in the traveler? Do you recall 18 19 that incident? 20 Α. No, I don't. 21 Do you recall that instance yourself? 0. 22 I don't. Α. 23 Q. Do you recall that? 24 Α. No, I don't recall that. 25 Would it be in violation of procedure? Q.

Harry Williams . 21 1 Pardon? A . Would it be in violation of Brown & Root's 2 0. procedure to add a leg? You understand what I mean by leg? 3 Yes. I know what you mean. 4 A . A hanger without proper documentation? 5 0. 6 Yes, it would be. Α. If such thing had occurred, do you think you 7 0. would recall it? The incident? 8 I think I would. 9 Α. 10 0. You do not recall it? 11 I don't recall it right now, no. Α. 12 Do you recall any incident in which a leg was 0. welded on a hanger without proper documentation, in which QC 13 discovered the welding in process, and brought a halt to it, 14 15 involving Mrs. Stiner? 16 Not to my knowledge. Α. 17 Q. A separate issue now, do you recall in 1982, when Mrs. Stiner was instructed to perform inspections 18 19 relating to torqueing of Hilti bolts? Do you recall her inspections in that area? 20 Well, she inspected the bolts as she inspected a 21 A . 22 hanger. 23 Q. Do you recall an instant in which Mrs. Stiner was instructed to accept the torque on Hilti bolts without a 24 sign-off on the traveler? 25

	Harry williams · 22
1	A. Sign off on the traveler?
2	Q. Yes.
3	A. No. She accepted them. She signed off on the
4	traveler for them.
5	Q. So it would have been her responsibility?
6	A. It would have been her responsibility to sign
7	that, yes.
8	Q. Is it possible that her let me rephrase my
9	question. In her inspection of Hilti bolts, they had already
10	been installed, which only her inspections involved the
11	torqueing.
12	Did you ever recall an incident in which there
13	had not been a sign-off of the installation of the Hilti
14	bolts in the traveler?
15	I am just trying to jog your memory as to an
16	incident.
17	A. If the traveler is present when you go up to
18	inspect the hanger, if the torqueing is not signed off at
19	that time, they are to do it with the inspection of the
20	hanger at that precise time.
21	Q. In other words, let me see if I understand this.
22	You are saying if the traveler does not show the
23	installation of the Hilti bolt, then the fact that they are
24	just inspecting torqueing at that point, that the QC
25	inspector can go back and sign off on the installation that

Harry Williams 23 1 has previously occurred? 2 Α. Well, now you are saying two different things. 3 0. Am I? Yes. Installation is installing the bolt. 4 Α. 5 0. Right. 5 Okay. That is done on a random basis by QC Α. 7 people. 8 0. Okay. 9 Α. They have separate IR's for those Hilti bolts as 10 being installed in the wall. The bolts are preset by construction. 11 12 0. But if you are inspecting just the torqueing on them and you find that they have not been bought off by QC on 13 14 the installation, did you proceed with the torqueing 15 inspection? 16 Α. Yes. Because they may not have inspected those 17 certain bolts on installation. 18 0. Because it is a random? 19 A . Yes. A random-type sampling, yes. 20 This was a part of the procedure at Comanche Q. 21 Peak for Brown & Root? 22 Yes, at that time. A . 23 So it is not unusual to see a traveler package, 0. say, during an inspection of Hilti bolts for torqueing in 24 which QC has not bought off the installation of that Hilti 25

	Harry Williams 24
1	bolt?
2	A. Well, now, yes.
3	Q. Do you recall an incident in which Mrs. Stiner
4	declined to perform inspections of torqueing on Hilti bolts,
5	and made this declination or declined to do it to you,
6	indicated to you that she would not do this?
7	A. No, I don't.
8	Q. Do you recall Mrs. Stiner at all?
9	A. Sure.
10	Q. Darlene Stiner?
11	A. Yes, I know her.
12	Q. Do you recall an argument with Mrs. Stiner over
13	this issue, at all? '
14	A. No.
15	Q. Do you recall ever indicating to Mrs. Stiner
16	that if she did not trust you, that you would replace her?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Do you recall ever making such a statement to
19	her?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Harry, in 1982, do you recall asking Mrs. Stiner
22	to work on inspections of vendor welds on diesel generators
23	with Randy Smith?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Do you remember any statements or any position

arry Williams

1	that Mrs. Stiner related to you as to her feelings about
2	conducting these inspections?
3	A. Yes. She said she wasn't familiar with the
4	drawings of the diesel generator.
5	Q. Did she indicate she would rather not perform
6	these inspections?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What were your instructions to her?
9	A. At the time, I told her to help out Randy as
10	much as she could until we could get caught up, and then
11	Randy Smith took over and just run it by himself.
12	Q. Do you recall instructing Mrs. Stiner to go
13	forward with the inspections even though she indicated she
14	did not want to?
15	A. No. She preferred that she'd help; do what she
16	could.
17	Q. Did she conduct inspect ons?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. During your conversation in which she indicated
20	that she didn't know about them, was not familiar with them,
21	did you make any statements to her to the point that she
22	could conduct the inspections, or go home?
23	A. No.
24	Q. So you did not threaten her with that?
25	A. No.

25

ł

.

Harry Williams 25 In any manner? 1 Q. (Witness shaking head) 2 Α. Q. You did not indicate that her employment hinged 3 on her conducting these inspections? 4 5 A. No. Do you recall an incident in which Mrs. Stiner 6 Q. 7 wrote an NCI on some large doors in the containment plates, 3 and put hold tags on welds on these doors. Do you remember that incident? 9 10 I don't know. On some welds on a door? A . 11 I am in the dark here. 12 Q. Okay. I think they may have been vendor welds on some preconstructed doors. 13 14 I am just trying to jog your memory. 15 There is -- well, I know there was some doors A. 16 out there that we had to redo. But I am not, I am not saying 17 these are those. I am not sure. 18 Q. Do you recall Mrs. Stiner putting tags on those 19 doors, hold tags? 20 Α. No. Were you present when Mr. Brandt told Randy 21 Q. Smith to tell Darlene Stiner that she would perform the 22 inspections and buy off on the doors, or she would be gone? 23 who made that statement? 24 Α. 25 Q. Were you present when Mr. Brandt indicated that

Horry Williams Mrs. Stiner -- indicated to Mr. Smith -- that Mrs. Stiner 1 would perform the inspections, or be gone? 2 3 A. No. Q. Were you present when Mr. Smith related to Mrs. 4 Stiner that she would perform the inspections or be gone? 5 A. No. Because at that time, Smith didn't work 6 7 with Stiner. 8 Q. Since you do not recall the incident, how do you 9 know what time we are talking about? Because when you said Brandt, the names changed 10 A . 11 when he took over. 12 Q. Did your position change? 13 Yes, sir. A . What did your job title become when Brandt moved 14 0. 15 in? A. My job title stayed the same. Just had less 16 17 people to worry about. 18 0. You didn't have --19 A . I didn't have Stiner, Smith and Britton. 20 Were you present for any of the interviews or ... Q. counseling sessions? I don't know exactly how to term it, 21 which, while Mrs. Stiner was pregnant, and still working she 22 was called into Mr. Tolson's office, the QA manager, and 23 conducted discussions with him on her health and her 24 25 pregnancy?

	willia	ms	28
1	Α.	No.	
2	Q.	You were not present for any of these?	
3	Α.	No.	
4	Q.	Were you aware of these interviews by third	
5	parties or t		
6	А.	Just talk.	
7	Q.	Do you know how many times Mrs. Stiner was	
8	called into	Mr. Tolson's office?	
9	Α.	No, I don't.	
10	Q.	Do you have any idea? One or more times?	
11	Α.	No, Í don't.	
12	Q.	Did Mr. Tolson or Mr. Brandt ever convey to	уоц
13	or tell you	about these sessions?	
14	Α.	No?	
15	Q.	Different subject now: Do you recall the wor	man
16	who I believ	e is now Robert Hamilton's wife, Cordella	
17	Hamilton; do	you recall her?	
18	Α.	Yes.	
19	Q.	I believe her job was document clerk QA, QC	
20	coating, is	that correct?	
21	Α.	Clerk.	
22	Q.	For coatings; is that correct?	
23	Α.	Yes.	
24	Q.	And in this position, was she also under your	r
25	supervision?		

	Harry Williams
	29
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. But there was a foreman over her?
3	A. Which was Hamilton.
4	Q. Robert Hamilton?
5	A. (Witness nodding head)
6	Q. Did you ever give direct instructions to
7	Cordella Hamilton as relates to her performing her duties?
8	A. That time is when he came in with the IR system.
9	She needed help with that. I sent another clerk down there
10	to help set up a logbook.
11	Q. Do you ever recall giving Mrs. Hamilton any
12	instruction in performing her duty?
13	A. As a clerk?
14	Q. Yes.
15	A. No.
16	Q. Do you recall ever telling Mrs. Hamilton that
17	she needed to perform her document review, which I believe is
18	what she was involved in? Well, let me start over. Let me
19	start: did her duties involve document review of coating
20	inspection records for adequacy?
21	A. Records?
22	Q. Yes.
23	A. No.
24	Q. What was her job as you knew it to be?
25	A. As a clerk, just to log them in.

Harry Williams 30 1 Log what in? 0. The IR's at the time we started them. 2 Α. 3 Q. The inspection check list? Yes. At that time when Brandt went there, then 4 A . 5 we went into the CR system. Did she work there prior to the time Brandt was 6 0. 7 there? Α. Yes. 8 9 Were you her supervisor prior to the time Brandt 0. came? 10 As far as that goes, Hamilton fell under me from 11 Α. the simplicity of just people to hire or to fire. 12 13 Technically, problems went to Hawkins. 14 Did you ever tell Mrs. Hamilton prior to Mr. 0. Brandt's arrival on site and changing to IR's, did you ever 15 instruct Mrs. Hamilton to conduct her clerking duties in a 16 17 more timely manner? 18 Α. No. Did you ever counsel her or give her 19 2. instructions as relates to speeding up her document clerking 20 21 activities? Α. 22 No. 23 You are saying prior to Brandt now? Right. 24 Q. 25 Α. No .

Harry Williams

.

1	Q. Do you recall giving her instructions after
2	Brandt came to hurry up or speed up her clerking duties?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Now, just in a kind of small review here, we
5	have talked about an instance involving Robert Hamilton,
6	Darlene Stiner, and Cordella Hamilton.
7	If I am remembering correctly, other than the
8	Hilti bolt, torqueing of Hilti bolts incident, which I
9	related to you and possibly the large doors, you don't have
10	any recollection of the incidents that I have questioned you
11	about, is that right?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. Based on my questions do you believe that
14	these incidents that I am telling you as they have been
15	related to me, which is the foundation for these questions,
16	have these people truthfully related these instances to me,
17	or are these pure fabrications?
18	MR. KINZEY: That's an unfair question to ask
19	this witness.
20	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
21	MR. GRIFFIN: I am trying, Mr. Kinzey, I am
22	trying to we have quite a few incidents here of
23	confrontations that have been related to us. And Mr.
24	Williams indicates he has little or no memory of them.
25	And he may have an opinion that I am trying to

31

Harry Williams

1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	승규는 방법에 가장 잘 잘 하는 것을 수 있다. 이렇는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 이렇는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 이렇는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 이렇는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 이렇는 것을 수 있다. 이렇는 것을 수 있다. 이렇는 것을 수 있다. 이렇는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 이렇는 것을 수 있다. 이 것을 수 있다. 이렇는 것을 수 있다. 이었는 것이 같이 있다. 이었는 것을 수 있다. 이었는 것이 같이 않다. 아니 것을 수 있다. 이었는 것을 수 있다. 이었는 것을 수 있다. 이었는 것을 수 있다. 이었는 것이 것이 같이 않다. 이 것을 수 있다. 이었는 것이 것이 같이 않다. 아니 것이 있다. 이었는 것이 같이 않다. 아니 것이 같이 않다. 아니 것이 있는 것이 같이 않다. 아니 것이 않다. 아니 것이 같이 않다. 아니 것이 않다. 아니 아니 있는 것이 않다. 아니 것이 않는 것이 않는 것이 않다.
1	elicit as to whether the NRC has been provided with false
2	information. He may have a clear recollection that these
3	instances have never occurred, or I am trying find out if
4	they ever did occur, or he just has no memory of them. I
5	would appreciate an answer on that.
6	MR. KINZEY: Do you understand the question?
7	THE WITNESS: I understand the question.
8	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
9	Q. Do these represent real events that occurred?
10	A. I don't have recall of them. Put it that way.
11	Q. You don't recall them?
. 1 2	A. No.
13	Q. Let me elicit your opinion then for a moment:
14	Do you believe that these events may have been real, or are
15	they fabrication? Do you have an opinion?
16	A. No. I don't have an opinion.
17	Q. Switch to a different subject now: In that you
18	were the supervisor over coatings records, when did you first
19	start as superintendent over coatings, or as the supervisor
20	over coatings? Do you remember what year?
21	A. When did we determine to the best of my
22	recollection, I would say 1982.
23	Q. You started handling
24	A. I would say '82.
25	Q. Okay.

32

	Harry Williams
1	Q. Harry, when were you involved?
2	A. June.
3	Q. June '82?
4	A. Something like that.
5	MR. DRISKILL: I believe you said earlier June '81.
6	THE WITNESS: Did I say '81? No.
7	Jim Hawkins was still there.
8	MR. DRISKILL: Okay.
9	BY MR. GRIFFIN;
10	Q. To the best of your recollection, it would be
11	like June of '82?
12	A. I am just sorting that out. I am not sure.
13	Q. Who was the supervisor at that time over
14	coatings, QC?
15	A. Hawkins was at that time.
16	Q. This is prior to their switching to the IR
17	system, is that right?
18	A. Yes. They just had a check list.
19	Q. Were you during 1983
20	A. (Witness shaking head)
21	Q involved, or did you participate in a
22	document review conducted by Mr. Britton of these old
23	coatings inspection check lists?
24	A. Did I personally review them?
25	Q. Yes.
1.00	

. . .

	And the second s		
	Harry Willia	ms	
1	Α.	No.	
2	Q.	Were you aware that Mr. Britton was conducting	
3	such?		
4	Α.	Yes.	
5	Q.	But you did not actually look at any of the	
6	documents?		
7	Α.	I looked at them, but that was it.	
8	Q.	I mean, did you look at them in relation to this	
9	review proce	ss?	
10	Α.	No.	
11	Q.	Did Mr. Britton ever bring these documents to	
12	you to reque	st a comment or explanation for any of them?	
13	Α.	These documents were all reviewed by Ebasco	
14	people first		
15	٥.	Who was that, do you recall the names of those	
16	people?		
17	Α.	Mike Foote was one, and Dick Cummings.	
18	Q.	Do you know if they looked at all of them?	
19	Α.	They looked at every one of them. Never	
20	involved. I	put them in the vault.	
21	٥.	Where did you acquire the records?	
22	Α.	Out of the QC shack.	
23	٥.	Which one?	
24	Α.	Hamilton's.	1
25	Q.	That is on the hill?	`
	and the second		

Harry W. 35 1 A. (Witness shaking head) Q. The other one that is down there close to the 2 3 admin. building? A. No. Those are down at the intake structure. 4 5 Excuse me. The service water tank. 6 Q. Okay. 7 Do you recall when you put those QC records in 8 the vault? A. Right after I found out what shape they were in. 9 I am not -- it isn't -- shortly after Tom Brandt took over. 10 11 Q. In the summer of '82? 12 Gee, shortly after. Α. Q. Do you have any idea when Foote and Cummings 13 conducted their review of these records? 14 15 A. That same year, in the fall. Q. Was it at your instruction that this review was 16 conducted? 17 18 A. No. Q. Do you know what the purpose of their review was, 19 20 Cummings and Foote? A. See what they could find that would amount to 21 22 anything. Q. Was this review that they conducted as a result 23 of an NRC notice of violation? Do you know that? 24 A. I don't know that. 25

	Harry Willia	ims 36
1	Q.	So you don't know what the origins of this
2	. document rev	view were?
3	Α.	(Witness shaking head)
4	Q.	Do you know what the findings were of Foote and
5	Cummings' re	view?
6	А.	Yes. One massive back fit program.
7	Q.	Were NCIs issued as a result?
8	А.	Yes.
9	Q.	Were they this issued after Foote and Cummings
10	got the revi	ew or prior to this?
11	Α.	They issued it at the time.
12	Q.	During then?
13	Α.	Well, shortly, I can't say it was right at that
14	time or you	know. They were issued after their findings.
15	Q.	After Cummings and Foote's findings?
16	Α.	(Witness nodding head)
17	Q.	Do you know what the recommendation was?
18		Or did they make one? Did Cummings and Foote
19	make a recom	mendation as relates to these records?
20	Α.	No.
21	Q.	Who decided that there would be a massive back
22	fit program?	
23	Α.	I think Tolson did.
24	Q.	Is that your personal knowledge or just assuming
25	that?	
and the second second		

	Harry Williams 37
1	A. I am just assuming. Went full scale into it.
2	Q. Do you know why Mr. Britton was then asked to do
3	a review of these same documents?
4	A. Because he was doing a back fit. He was the
5	back fit person at that time.
6	Q. He was the supervisor in charge of the back
7	fitters?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Is it your understanding that the back fit was
10	to be for all coatings inspections prior to a certain period?
11	A. Prior to
12	Q. Is it April '81, does that sound right?
13	A. March; April, somewhere in that time.
14	Q. I have heard that before.
15	A. Yes, right.
16	Q. I think March, April?
17	A. Right, yes.
18	Q. So while Mr. Britton was conducting his back fit,
19	he was also reviewing these old documents. Is that your
20	understanding?
21	A. When they started the back fit, Cummings and
22	Foote hadn't got through the initial documents yet. So I am
23	not familiar with when you are talking about written review
24	on these documents.
25	Q. Are you aware that Britton are you aware that

Harry Williams 38 he reviewed the documents? 1 He reviewed some to draw up a map. 2 A . 3 He mapped the locations of it? 0. That's right. The ones that we could map. 4 Α. As a result of his mapping, were any of these 5 0. old inspections deemed -- or inspection records, I am talking 6 7 about records, were any of these old inspection records 8 deemed or found to be satisfactory and excluded from a back 9 fit? 10 A . Some were. Who made the determination as to which records 11 0. 12 were to be accepted as satisfactory? 13 A . I believe Foote and Cummings were. 14 0. Based on? 15 Based on the review. That they could get back to Α. 16 it. Q. How was -- how did they transmit this 17 18 information to Britton or any other inspectors for the back 19 fit as to which inspections were adequate, and which were inadequate? 20 21 Α. By their maps. 22 0. Did they make entries on the map that indicated inspections in a certain area were adequate? 23 Yes. 24 Α. Therefore, it did not have to be included in the 25 Q.

Harry Williams 39 1 back fit? 2 Α. Yes. 3 And did the inspectors conducting the back fit, 0. did they have access and did they refer directly to these 4 5 maps? 6 They referred to the maps on the back fit. A . 7 Were the inspectors permitted to use or were 0. they permitted access to these old inspection records for the -8 9 back fit? 10 Α. Yes. In the meeting. 11 On these maps, whole areas that were represented 0. 12 as being adequate documentation, they were not to be back fitted; is that correct? 13 14 A . That's right. I am asking you for your best estimate now, what 15 0. percentage of the old records were found to be inadequate so 16 that they had to be back fitted? If you had to guess, just 17 asking for a rough guess? 18 19 Α. 98. 20 Most of it had to be back fitted? Q. 21 A . Yes. Was Mr. Britton primarily responsible for making 22 Q. 23 the maps or was it Foote and Cummings? 24 After Foote, Cummings had done theirs, then we A . 25 started up our IR program. And we were doing the back fit at

	provention of the second s
	Harry williams 40
1	the time.
2	And Neil was making up his maps for the back
3	fitting to show what he had covered. Then we started the
4	same process with it ongoing, and he gets the maps.
5	Q. Did Mr. Britton himself make any representation
6	indicating which documents were adequate and which were
7	inadequate?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Was this reflected on the maps?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. I presume those that were found to be
12	satisfactory were not to be back fitted?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. I have seen those maps. And a large tell me
15	if I am wrong, a large area or a large percentage of the
16	spaces on those maps indicated documents were adequate. And
17	I find that in conflict with your saying 98 percent were
18	inadequate?
19	A. I am just guessing. You say it was high?
20	Q. Those that hadn't already been back fitted? I
21	just think I saw one of those maps.
22	A. You might have seen the ongoing map
23	Q. That may be that is it.
• 24	A. The back fit map, we back fitted that whole line
25	of plates.

Harry Williams 1 Q. Did you back fit any of the other things? Like 2 cable tray supports? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Was that completely back fitted? Q. 5 A .. Yes. 6 How about miscellaneous steel? 0. 7 Α. Miscellaneous steel. Hangers. 8 But in individual inspections, in those areas, 0. 9 was there some division that were acceptable based on the earlier document review? 10 11 Α. Some. 12 So it just was --0. 13 A. Spotty. 14 It varied from inspection to inspection then? 0. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Do you still, as you recollect, you think the 98 0. percent is still an accurate figure? 17 18 About. I just threw that out. Α. Did Foote or Cummings ever characterize to you 19 0. the state or the condition that they found those records in? ... 20 As to whether they were adequate or quality 21 documents? Did they ever express an opinion to you? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 0. What was that? 25 A. Not good.

Harry Williams 42 1 That the documents were not adequate as quality 0. 2 records? 3 Most of them. A . How about Mr. Britton, did he ever indicate to 0. 4 5 you his feelings as to whether these things were -- would stand up as quality documents, these same inspection records? 6 7 Basically. A .. 8 0. Did he say they would or would not, to you? Wouldn't. 9 Α. 10 0. Would not. Do you know if the QA Department, the QA at Comanche Peak, if it was their intention to use the 11 inspection records, or those that they labeled as adequate? 12 13 Do you know if it was their intention to do a complete back fit or to accept as adequate documentation a portion of those 14 15 old inspection records? 16 I don't know. A . They never conveyed that to you? 17 0. 18 Α. No. While you indicated a massive back fit, was the 19 0. back fit intended to be a total back fit of all inspections 20 conducted to April '81, or was it going to be selectively 21 22 determined based on the adequacy of old documentation? 23 I think the intent of it was, it was going to be Α. a complete back fit with exception of what old documentation 24 on the old check list that they could use. 25

	Harry Williams . 47
1	Q. When you left there, was that still the
2	prevailing
3	A. Still going on.
4	Q the prevailing idea of what the intention was
5	of the QA Department?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. You say you were not involved in the document
8	review at all; is that correct?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. Do you have any knowledge, any personal direct
11	knowledge that any additional entries were made on any of
12	these old records that any values or entries existing on the
13	records were changed? Or that xeroxed copies were made and
14	substituted into these records to up grade them or make them
15	adequate records?
16	A. Not no.
17	Q. Is it your understanding then that these people
18	like Cummings and Foote, Britton, were simply reviewing them
19	for adequacy, as opposed to upgrading them?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. I asked you a moment ago if you had any personal
22	knowledge. You said you didn't.
23	Have you heard from any source at any time that
24	anybody had made additional entries on those records and used
25	white-out to change entries on them, or had xeroxed copies of
1.1	

Harry Williams 44 other records and made them a part of these individual 1 2 packages to make them adequate? 3 Α. No. 4 Thereby falsifying the documents? Q. 5 No. A. 6 You have no personal knowledge, nor any Q. 7 secondhand knowledge, so to speak? 8 A . No. 9 0. Third-party comments? Never heard anything like 10 that? 11 Α. No. Never heard anything about that. 12 Do you believe that those records represent the Q. original inspections conducted by the inspectors during I 13 14 believe '77, '78, '79 and '80? 15 Α. Yes. 16 As far as you know? 0. 17 A . As far as I know. Yes. 18 MR. GRIFFIN: Okay. I believe that's all for me, 19 Donald. 20 Go off the record. 21 (Discussion off the record) 22 MR. GRIFFIN: Let me go back on. BY MR. GRIFFIN: 23 24 Q. To conclude my portion of this interview, Mr. 25 Williams, have I or Mr. Driskill or anybody else involved in

	Harry Williams 45
1	this proceeding threatened you in any manner or offered you
2	any rewards in return for this statement?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Have you given this statement freely and
5	voluntarily?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Is there anything further that you would care to
8	add to your testimony while we are on the record?
. 9	A. No.
10	MR. GRIFFIN: Okay.
11	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at
12	3:35 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

12.

1.

1	COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA AT LARGE, to wit:
2	I, Marcia B. Hall, a Notary Public in and for
3	the Commonwealth of Virginia at Large, of qualification in
4	the Circuit Court of the City of Norfolk, Virginia, do
5	certify that the foregoing statement of HARRY WILLIAMS was
6	taken and sworn to before me at the time and place
7	aforementioned.
8	Given under my hand this // day of November
9	1983.
10	
11	
12	Marini B. Hall
13	Notary Public
14	
15	
15	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

12

184 11 EXHIBIT (9) d