Docket Nos. 50-325/324

Mr. E. E. Utley Executive Vice President Carolina Power & Light Company Post Office Box 1551 Raleigh, North Carolina 27062 DISTRIBUTION
Docket File
NRC PDR
Local PDR
ORB#2 Rdg
DEisenhut
OELD
EJordan
JNGrace
JPartlow
MGrotenhuis

SNorris ACRS (10) Gray File OParr

Dear Mr. Utley:

SUBJECT: SPENT-FUEL POOL BUFFER ZONE (SFPBZ)

Re: Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Units 1 and 2

By letter dated September 25, 1984 you requested that the SFPBZ, (discussed in the Safety Evaluation related to reracking of the spent fuel pool issued by the staff on December 15, 1983) be designated as a 2 1/2-fooc buffer zone adjacent to the work area.

By letter dated September 16, 1982 CP&L committed to maintain a buffer zone to the work area. The specific commitment by CP&L was that "during the modification process, spent fuel would be moved so that no spent fuel is located in the racks adjacent to the work area". In effect this meant that the buffer zone would not be less than 2 1/2 feet because, that is the width of the old half racks. That commitment was repeated in the staff Safety Evaluation dated December 15, 1983 related to the reracking of the spent fuel pool.

Subsequent to the commitment, a refueling outage has resulted in approximately 100 more spent fuel bundles being present during the reracking of the pool. As a result, some fuel will have to be transferred to the first new rack to permit installation of the second new rack. Because the two racks are adjacent to one another, CP&L cannot maintain a "one rack" buffer adjacent to the work zone. CP&L therefore proposes to modify its commitment and will maintain, adjacent to the work area, a 2 1/2-foot buffer area void of fuel.

We have re-reviewed our Safety Evaluation and your submittals dated April 16, 1981 and September 16, 1982. Based on our review, we find that it was the intent to provide a buffer zone adjacent to the work area. Based on a review of all the dimensions of all the fuel racks involved, the smallest buffer zone would be that provided by the old half racks, that is, 2 1/2 feet. Thus the commitment expressed in the September 16, 1982 letter would permit a minimum buffer zone of 2 1/2 feet. We find that your commitment

as expressed in the September 25, 1984 letter, that is, to maintain, adjacent to the work area, a 2 1/2-foot buffer area void of fuel is acceptable.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:
Domenic B. Vassallo, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #2
Division of Licensing

See next page

DL:ORB#2 SNorris:jk 10/|/84 DL:ORB#2 MGrotenhuis 10/1/84 ASB (0) OParr 10/, 1/84

DL:ORB#3 DVassallo 10/2/84 Mr. E. E. Utley Carolina Power & Light Company Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2

cc:

Richard E. Jones, Esquire Carolina Power & Light Company 336 Fayetteville Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

George F. Trowbridge, Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Mr. Charles R. Dietz Plant Manager Post Office Box 458 Southport, North Carolina 28461

Mr. Franky Thomas, Chairman Board of Commissioners Post Office Box 249 Bolivia, North Carolina 28422

Mrs. Chrys Baggett
State Clearinghouse
Budget and Management
116 West Jones Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IV Office Regional Radiation Representative 345 Courtland Street, N. W. Atlanta, Georgia 30308

Resident Inspector
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Star Route 1
Post Office Box 208
Southport, North Carolina 28461

James P. O'Reilly Regional Administrator Region II Office U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dayne H. Brown, Chief Radiation Protection Branch Division of Facility Services Department of Human Resources Post Office Box 12200 Raleigh, North Carolina 27605