

Vepco

October 15, 1984

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III Superfund Branch (3HW22) Curtis Building 6th and Walnut Streets Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

Oil Spill Questionnaire VA-273 - 9/27/84 - North Anna Power Station

Gentlemen:

Attached is the completed form submitted to this office on October 4, 1984 by Mr. Thomas Voltaggio on the above referenced oil spill.

If you have any questions or desire additional information, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

John A. Taylor, Ph.D. Manager

Water Quality

cc: Mr. W. L. Kregloe, SWCB (With Enclosure)

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, USNRC, Docket No. 50-338/50-339 (Enclosure)

Mr. Harold R. Denton, USNRC, Docket No. 50-338/50-339 (Enclosure)

Mr. M. W. Branch, USNRC, Docket No. 50-338 (With Enclosure)

0591020GRK344

IE29



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION III

6TH AND WALNUT STREETS PHILADELPHIA PENNSYLVANIA 19106

GGT 4 1884

VEPCO P.O. Box 26666 Richmond, VA 23261

Re: VA-84-273 9/27/84 Mineral, VA

- --- dama of discharge.

P. O. Box 26666, Richmond Virginia 23261

Gentlemen:

This office has received notification that your facility discharged oil or hazardous materials in harmful quantities in violation of Section 311 (b) (3) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, 33 U.S.C. 1321 (b) (3) as referenced above. You are hereby requested to submit to EPA the following information:

Macerial(3) discharged:
lubrication	oil
Pagariaria	n of the vehicle or facility from which the
material w	as discharged (i.e., pipeline, tank, well, etc
oil separat	or
Name and a	or ddress of the owner/operator of the vehicle or escribed above in (c):

(e) Name and address of the operator of the vehicle or facility described above in (c) and, if different from (d) above, describe the relationship between the owner and operator (i.e., employee, subcontractor, lessee, etc.):

See (d) above

	ity of material discharged from the facility or
vehi	:le:
Appro	ximately l quart
Did	the material reach any water (YES or NO): Yes
Did	the material reach any sewer (YES or NO): No
(1)	If YES, describe the first water reached and the location of this water:
	The discharge canal leading to Lake Anna
(2)	State the quantity of material reaching the water described above in (h) (l):
	Annual fortal and annual
	Approximately 1 quart
(3)	
(3)	State the quantity of material reaching the shoreline of the water described above in (1) which did not
(3)	State the quantity of material reaching the shoreline of the water described above in (1) which did not reach the water: Unknown Was the water described above in (h) (l), at the time of the spill, a tributary of, or physically connected
	State the quantity of material reaching the shoreline of the water described above in (1) which did not reach the water: Unknown Was the water described above in (h) (l), at the time of the spill, a tributary of, or physically connected to, any part or tributary of a riverine, hydrological or creek system? (YES or NO) Yes

6)	If the answer to (4) is NO, does the water described above in (h) (l) periodically connect with or frinto any tributary or part of any riverine, hydrological or creek system? If YES, described flow and connection:	TOM
	• N/A	
sur	the material cause any film, sheen, discoloration descent appearance on the adjoining shorelines of the control	
A f	ilm was observed behind an absorbent boom before the boom	
bro	ke locse.	
dep	the material cause any sludge or emulsion to be osited on the adjoining shorelines of, or beneat; face of, the waters described above in (3), (4), (6)? If YES, describe:	** ***
deposur or No	face of, the waters described above in (3), (4), (6)? If YES, describe:	(5),
No Doe	face of, the waters described above in (3), (4),	(5),
No Doe	sited on the adjoining shorelines of, or beheat, face of, the waters described above in (3), (4), (6)? If YES, describe: s the facility have a NPDES Permit? (YES or NO)	Yes
No Doe	sited on the adjoining shorelines of, of beheat, face of, the waters described above in (3), (4), (6)? If YES, describe: s the facility have a NPDES Permit? (YES or NO) the discharge violate any applicable water qual ndards, e.g., NPDES? If YES, describe:	Yes
No Doe Did sta	sthe facility have a NPDES Permit? (YES or NO) the discharge violate any applicable water qual ndards, e.g., NPDES? If YES, describe:	Yes
No Doe Did sta	sthe facility have a NPDES Permit? (YES or NO) the discharge violate any applicable water qual ndards, e.g., NPDES? If YES, describe: the best of our knowledge no water quality standards were iolated. No analytical data was obtained.	Yes
No Doe Did sta	sthe facility have a NPDES Permit? (YES or NO) the discharge violate any applicable water qual ndards, e.g., NPDES? If YES, describe: the best of our knowledge no water quality standards were coloted. No analytical data was obtained. the discharge violate any applicable water qual ndards, e.g., NPDES? If YES, describe: the best of our knowledge no water quality standards were coloted. No analytical data was obtained. The and time of discovery that the discharge was researched.	Yes
No Doe Did sta	sthe facility have a NPDES Permit? (YES or NO) the discharge violate any applicable water qual ndards, e.g., NPDES? If YES, describe: the best of our knowledge no water quality standards were iolated. No analytical data was obtained. e and time of discovery that the discharge was re waterway: eptember 27, 1984 at 1335 hours	Yes ity
No Doe Did sta	s the facility have a NPDES Permit? (YES or NO) the discharge violate any applicable water qual ndards, e.g., NPDES? If YES, describe: the best of our knowledge no water quality standards were iolated. No analytical data was obtained. the and time of discovery that the discharge was re waterway: eptember 27, 1984 at 1335 hours scribe in detail what actually caused the discharge	Yes ity each

1335 hours (Mr. Bryan) (2) State Water Control Board, Valley Regional Office (SWCB) September 27, 1984, 1357 hours (Bill Kregloe) List the state and local officials who were on-scene the spill during or after clean up: None None
winds and no cleanup was possible. List the federal and state agencies, if any, to which owner or operator reported the discharge. Show the agency, its location, the date and time of notificati the official contacted: (1) National Response Center, Washington, D. C., September 27, 198 1335 hours (Mr. Bryan) (2) State Water Control Board, Valley Regional Office (SWCB) September 27, 1984, 1357 hours (Bill Kregloe) List the state and local officials who were on-scene the spill during or after clean up: None
1335 hours (Mr. Bryan) (2) State Water Control Board, Valley Regional Office (SWCB) September 27, 1984, 1357 hours (Bill Kregloe) List the state and local officials who were on-scene the spill during or after clean up: None None
(2) State Water Control Board, Valley Regional Office (SWCB) September 27, 1984, 1357 hours (Bill Kregloe) List the state and local officials who were on-scene the spill during or after clean up: None None
September 27, 1984, 1357 hours (Bill Kregloe) List the state and local officials who were on-scene the spill during or after clean up: None List the names and addresses of persons believed to h
knowledge of the facts surrounding this incident: E. W. Harrell, Station Manager, North Anna Power Station
E. W. Harrell, Station Manager, North Anna Power Station
P. O. Box 702
Mineral, Virginia 23117

				-
Does the fac (SPCC) Plan YES or NO:	ility have a Spill certified and impl Yes	Prevention Cont emented in accor	rol and Counterm dance with 40 CF	eası R 1
	er information you	wish to bring t	o the attention	of
List any oth federal gove				

The above information should be mailed to :

US ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION III
SUPERFUND BRANCH (3HW22)
CURTIS BUILDING
6th & WALNUT STREETS
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106

If you cannot answer this letter by Oct. 18, 1084 or if there are any questions on this matter, you may call Carol Oleksiak at (215) 597-9898.

Sincerely yours,

Thomas Voltaggio, Chief

Superfund Branch/

I hereby certify the above to be true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Location of Oils - North Anna Power Station Oberations

	1 - No. 2	Derations
1	5,000 bbl storage tank (210,000 ga	1)
2	50,000 gallon storage tank	3.04.14
4		Below ground
	1,000 gallon day tanks	Diesel Generator Roo
	Maximum Storage Capacity	314,000 gallons
	Average Daily Usage	6,000 gallons
	Average Daily Received	6,000 gallons
1	250 gallon fire pump-tank	Within Service water pump house
1	270 gallon fire pump-tank	Within Warehouse No. 5 pump hous
Lubricat	ing 0i1	
2	16,000 gallon storage tank	Within Turbine Building
2	14,000 gallon storage tank	Within Turbine Building
2	2,000 gallon storage tank	Within Turbine Suilding
2	200 gallon storage tank	Within Turbine Suilding
	Maximum Storage Capacity	64,000 gallons
Gasoline	(Outside security fence - Adjacent to	Warehouse No. 2)
1	3,000 gallon tank (regular)	Below ground
1	1,000 gallon tank (unleaded)	Below ground
Transform	ers	
4	18 MVA Station transformers	Cooling water intake structure
3	330 MVA Main station transformers	North side of Turbine Building
6	15 MVA Station service trans- formers	North side of Turbine Building
Location	of Oils - North Appa Hair 2 C	

Location of Oils - North Anna Unit 3 Construction

Fuel Oil - Diesel

7,500 gallon tank (fuel depot) 2elow ground

1 7,500 gallon tank (Warehouse No. 1) Below ground

Gasoline

1 10,000 gallon tank (fuel depot) Below ground

In the past few months the Company has undertaken several projects to prevent oil spills to either North Anna Lake, the discharge canal, or the Waste Heat Treatment Facility. The following is a partial list of those projects. One man has been assigned the task of inspecting all likely sources of oil spills. Further, he has been given the task of insuring all spill cleanup is thorough and complete. Work has begun to remove and replace all oil stained or soaked soil with clean fill. 3. Work has begun to construct and use a waste oil storage area with a concrete floor and berms. 4. The oil/water separator has been inspected, cleaned, and adjusted in accordance with the suggestions made by a representative of the oil/ water separator manufacturer during a site visit in the spring of 1983. 5. A study has been completed that re-examined the design and flow characteristics of the oil/water separator. Results of the study show that the separator is operating properly. 6. The station has purchased, installed, and is using several oil skimmers to remove any oil that might accumulate in sumps within the station. 7. A drum management plan has been developed and implemented on site. The plan addresses the contents of the drums on-site, as well as the location, handling, and storage or disposal of these materials. In this way oil and other materials on site are better tracked and utilized. 8. An evaluation has been completed of the establishment of satellite, emergency oil spill control stations on-site. This evaluation considered the need, location, and equipment needed in the event a spill occurs and also considered the history of oil spills at the site. Stations are currently under construction and will be placed within the next two months. 9. The station Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures Plan and the General Employee Training program (required annually), are being revised to further emphasize and eliminate the problems that contribute to oil to further emphasize and eliminate the problems that contribute to oil spills. The training program has been updated to include spill recognition and control. 10. The station is contracting for concrete oil collection basins to be placed at five critical storm drain outfalls. These basins will be monitored daily.