567

February 11, 1985

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING CO. ET AL.	)	Docket Nos. 50-440 OL 50-441 OL
	)	
(Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2)	)	

OCRE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO REPLY TO APPLICANTS' RESPONSE TO OCRE'S MOTION TO REWORD ISSUE #8

On February 6, 1985, Applicants filed their response to the motion of intervenor Ohio Citizens for Responsible Energy ("OCRE") seeking the rewording of Issue #8, on hydrogen control. This response, however, did not confine itself to the matters addressed in OCRE's motion, but has strayed into new arguments.

For example, pages 5 through 7 of their response concern the supposed effect which the scheduling provisions of the Commission's new degraded core hydrogen control rule will have on Issue #8. OCRE did not discuss this in its motion, but specifically stated that this issue would be discussed in response to Applicants' promised motion to dismiss on those grounds. OCRE Motion at 5, fn. 2.

OCRE therefore requests that it be permitted to reply to the new arguments in Applicants' response by filing its attached Reply to Applicants' Response to OCRE Motion to Reword Issue #8. Or, in the alternative, the Board may choose to strike those portions of Applicants' response.

DS03

Respectfully submitted,

Susan L. Hiatt

Susan L. Hiatt
OCRE Representative
8275 Munson Rd.
Mentor, OH 44060
(216) 255-3158