TRIAL LAWYERS FOR PUBLIC JUSTICE, P.C.

COUNSELLORS AT LAW SUITE GII 2000 P STREET. NORTHWEST RELATED CORRESPONDENCE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

(202) 463-8600

October 25, 1984 84 COT 29 P1:12

a direction

DICKE HAINCH

790

DS03

Mark Davidson, Esquire Bishop, Lieberman, Cook, Purcell & Reynolds 1200 17th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Re: In the Matter of Texas Utilities Generating Co., et al., Nos. 50-445-2 and 50-446-2 (OL-2)

Dear Mark:

I received today your letter of October 24, 1984 regarding Mr. John Beck's access to the Witness F material and his agreement to meet with Witness F and counsel. I apologize for being unreachable earlier this week, but as I told you in our earlier discussions, I had to be out of town on Wednesday, October 24 and was involved in a day-long meeting with the NRC about Comanche Peak on Tuesday, October 23.

Your letter is somewhat presumptuous regarding the assumptions that no objection can now exist to Mr. Beck becoming a signatory to the protective order. First, as you know, Witness F is represented by personal counsel and the final decision on our position must be his. However, as I told you, both "F" and his lawyer, and subsequently Mr. Roisman and myself, appreciate your need to have your newly appointed Manager of Licensing have complete access on all licensing issues. But, understandably, the appreciation of your clients' needs must be balanced against the interests of Witness F.

Mr. Downey called today on this matter and I've advised him that I will communicate your agreement to the meeting to witness F and his counsel. We will, as soon as possible, but within the specified time period, get back with Mr. Downey regarding our acceptance or rejection of the proposal.

> 8410300191 84102 PDR ADDCK 050004

Sincerely,

Billie P. Garde

cc: Service List

120790