

719

RELATED CORRESPONDENCE

UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DOCKETED
 USNRC

October 23, 1984

'84 OCT 25 A10:50

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
 DOCKETING & SERVICE
 BRANCH

Dr. Robert M. Lazo, Chairman
 Administrative Judge
 Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Richard F. Cole
 Administrative Judge
 Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Emmeth A. Luebke
 Administrative Judge
 Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Washington, DC 20555

In the Matter of
 FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
 (Turkey Point Plant, Unit Nos. 3 and 4)
Docket Nos. 50-250, 50-251 OLA

Dear Administrative Judges:

The Staff has received a copy of "Intervenors' Response to the Florida Power & Light Company's Motion to Strike," dated October 12, 1984 (Intervenors' Response). On page 3 of that response wherein Intervenors argue that Licensee's Motion to Strike is inappropriate, Intervenors themselves "motion that [Licensee's Motion to Strike] be stricken." Intervenors' brief reference to a "motion" is not restated in the conclusion or in any concluding request for relief in Intervenors' Response and actually appears essentially to be a part of Intervenors' argument that Licensee's Motion to Strike should be denied rather than a new separate motion warranting responses from the other parties. The Staff does not view Intervenors' argument as a new and separate motion and will not file a further response.

Sincerely,

Mitzi A. Young
 Mitzi A. Young
 Counsel for NRC Staff

cc: Service list

8410260059 841023
 PDR ADOCK 05000250
 G PDR

DS07