



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

A26

WMPI: 414.12

MAR 26 1982


MEMORANDUM FOR: Robert S. Brown, Assistant
to the Director and Chief
Program Support Branch

FROM: Joseph O. Bunting, Jr., Chief
Licensing Process and Integration Branch

SUBJECT: ESTIMATES OF LICENSE FEE VS. NON-FEE WORK

The fee recoverable percentages shown in your March 24, 1982 memo are correct. Fee recoverable vs. non-fee recoverable type of work in the licensing case area is as follows:

| | <u>Fee Recoverable</u> | <u>Non-Fee Recoverable</u> |
|------------------|---|--|
| Uranium Recovery | New applications Renewals Applicant generated major, minor and administrative amendments | NRC initiated amendments Review of monitoring and inspection reports Pre-licensing guidance |
| Low Level Waste | New applications Renewals Applicant generated major, minor and administrative amendments Requested review of topical reports | NRC initiated amendments Review of monitoring and inspection reports Pre-licensing guidance |


Joseph O. Bunting, Jr. Chief
Licensing Process and
Integration Branch

26 March 82

82-283

Claudia:

Attached is the Fee vs Non-Fee chart for Fuel Cycle; it has been changed based on your Casework report dated March 25, 82 of hours charged to casework during FY81.

The FCL percentage was calculated by excluding:

- DOE applications

- Other federal agency applications

- Decontamination + Decommissioning applications

- Storage Only of Fresh Fuel applications

- Educational institution applications

- Radiological Contingency Planning applications

The TS percentage was calculated by excluding:

- DOE applications

- Other federal agency applications

- Registrations

The ML percentage is a best estimate based on FY81 PPSAS data (new, renewal & amendment applications are 70% fee recoverable; sealed source and device applications are 50% fee recoverable. The average of these two categories for FY81 was 67%).

Elise

DIVISION OF FUEL CYCLE AND MATERIAL SAFETY
FEE VS. NON-FEE WORK

| <u>Title</u> | <u>FY81 ACTUAL SY</u> | <u>% FEE RECOVERABLE</u> | <u>% NOT FEE RECOVERABLE</u> | <u>FY81 ACTUAL TA \$</u> | <u>% FEE RECOVERABLE</u> | <u>NO REC</u> |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| FUEL CYCLE LICENSING DU | (32.7) | 54 <i>PRAS</i> | 46 | (2765K) | 43 | |
| LICENSING CASE REVIEWS | | 27 | 73 | 720K | 82 | |
| SPECIAL LICENSING PROJECTS | | 0 | 100 | 1845K | 0 | |
| UPDATE OF REGULATIONS & GUIDANCE | | 0 | 100 | 200K | 0 | |
| PGM AREA MGT & MISC | | 0 | 100 | -- | | |
| PROFESSIONAL SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| TRANSPORTATION SAFETY DU | (10.6) | 72 <i>PRAS</i> | 28 | (345K) | 0 | |
| LICENSING CASE REVIEWS | | 34 | 66 | -- | | |
| UPDATE OF REGS & GUIDANCE | | 0 | 100 | 345K | 0 | |
| MISCELLANEOUS | | | | | | |
| PROFESSIONAL SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| MATERIALS LICENSING DU | (43.5) | 67 <i>EST FROM PRAS</i> | 33 | (987K) | 0 | |
| LICENSING CASE REVIEWS | | 44 | 56 | 4K | 0 | |
| OTHER LICENSING ACTIVITIES | | 0 | 100 | -- | | |
| UPDATE OF REGULATIONS & GUIDANCE | | | 100 | 983K | 0 | |
| MISCELLANEOUS | | 0 | 100 | -- | | |
| PROFESSIONAL SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| ORGANIZATIONAL SUPPORT | 7.7 | 0 | 100 | -- | | |
| TOTAL | 94.5 | | | 4097K | | |

The "% Fee Recoverable" shows the percentage of resources which are allocated to cases for which fees are charged. Depending on the resources required for a particular case and the fee ceiling for the particular case, it is possible that some portion of the resources expended on the case would not be recovered by fees.

Resources shown for "Licensing Case Reviews" include only actual SY spent conducting licensing case reviews--no MD&S SY are included. All other activities shown include a prorata share of MD&S SY. The MD&S SY associated with licensing case review has been included in special licensing projects (FCL DU), misc. (TS DU), and other licensing activities (ML DU).

3. "Professional Support" includes all SY expended by the branch chiefs and all secretaries in each branch.

4. "Organizational Support" includes all SY expended by division personnel above the branch level.