Docket Nos. 50-277
50-278

Philadelphia Electric Company
ATTN: Mr. D. B. Miller

Philadelphia Electric Company ATTN: Mr. D. B. Miller Vice President Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station RD 1, Box 108 Delta, PA 17314

Dear Mr. Miller:

Subject: Combined Inspection Report Nos. 50-277/92-13 and 50-278/92-13

This refers to your letter dated September 11, 1992, written in response to our letter dated August 6, 1992, concerning control room operators conducting activities without the use of written procedures establishing an approved method of conducting the operation.

Thank you for informing us of the corrective and preventive actions documented in your letter. These actions will be examined during a future inspection of your licensed program.

Your cooperation with us is appreciated.

Sincerely,

CONGINAL SIGNED BY EDWARD C. WENZINGER

Edward C, Wenzinger, Chief Projects Branch 2 Division of Reactor Projects

9210060242 9217 /B PDR ADOCK 05 0277 0 PDR

5601

D.M. Smith, Senior Vice President

K.P. Powers, Plant Manager, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station

G.J. Beck, Jr., Manager Licensing Section

R.N. Charles, Chairman Nuclear Review Board

A.A. Fulvio, Regulatory Engineer, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station

J.W. Durham, Sr., Senior Vice President and General Counsel

cc w/cy of licensee's ltr:

C. Schaefer, External Operations - Nuclear, Delmarva Power & Light Co.

J. A. Isabella, Director, Generation Projects Dept., Atlantic Electric

B.W. Gorman, Manager-External Affairs, Public Service Electric & Gas Co.

R. McLean, Power Plant Siting, Nuclear Evaluations

J.H. Walter, Chief Engineer, Public Service of Maryland

D. Poulson, Secretary of Harford County Council

Public Document Room (PDR)

Local Public document Room (LPDR)

Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)

NRC Resident Inspector

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

bee w/cy of licensee's ltr:

Region I Docket Room (with concurrences)

W. Hehl, DRP

E. Wenzinger, DRP

C. Anderson, DRS

J. Lyash, DRP

B. Norris, DRP

C. Miller, PDI-2, NRR

RI:DRP

CAnderson

7/20/92

**EWenzinger** 

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



PEACH BOTTOM -- THE POWER OF EXCELLENCE

D. B. Millet, Jr. Vice President

#### PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION R. D. 1, Box 208 Delta, Pennsylvania 17314 (717) 456-7014

September 11, 1992

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT:

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station - Units 2 and 3 Response to Notice of Violation 92-13-02 (Unit 3) (Combined Inspection Report Nos. 50-277/92-13; 50-278/92-13

Dear Sir:

In response to your letter dated August 6, 1992, which transmitted the Notice of Violation in the referenced inspection report, we submit the attached response. The subject inspection concerns a routine residents' safety inspection that was conducted from June 9 through July 27, 1992.

If you have any questions or require additional information, ple  $\,$  e do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

cc: R. A. Burricelli, Public Service Electric & Gas

T. M. Gerusky, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania J. J. Lyash, USNRC Senior Resident Inspector T. T. Martin, Administrator, Region I, USNRC

H. C. Schwemm, Atlantic Electric R. I. McLean, State of Maryland C. D. Schaefer, Delmarva Power

-47 CT 18 CT 19 D

bcc: J. W. Austin J. A. Basilio

G. J. Beck

J. A. Bernstein

R. N. Charles

Commitment Coordinator

Correspondence Control Program

J. B. Cotton

G. V. Cranston

E. J. Cullen A. D. Dycus

A. A. Fulvio

D. P. LeQuia

C. J. McDermott

D. B. Miller, Jr.

PB Nuclear Records

K. P. Powers

J. M. Pratt

G. R. Rainey

J. T. Robb D. M. Smith A4-4N, Peach Bottom

52A-5, Chesterbrook

52A-5, Chesterbrook

51A-13 Chesterbrook

51A-1, Chesterbrook

52A-5, Chesterbrook

618-3, Chesterbrook

53A-1, Chesterbrook

63B-5, Chesterbrook

S23-1, Main Office

A3-1S, Peach Bottom

A4-4N. Peach Bottom

PS2-9, Peach Bottom

S13-1, Main Office

SMO-1, Peach Bottom

A4-25, Peach Bottom

A4-1S, Peach Bottom

B-2-S, Peach Bottom

51A-11, Chesterbrook

51A-13, Chesterbrook

520-7, Chesterbrook

#### RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF VIOLATION 92-13-02

#### Restatement of Violation

Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires that written procedures be established and implemented that meet the requirements of Section 5.3 of ANSI N18.7-1972. ANSI N18.7-1972, Section 5.3, requires that nuclear plants be operated in accordance with written procedures that provide an approved preplanned method of conducting operations. PECo Operations Manual Section 9, "Procedures and Operator Aids," directs operators to perform actions and carry out responsibilities in accordance with the approved Operating Procedure applicable to the tasks.

Contrary to the above, on July 27, 1992, conirol room operators conducted activities without the use of written procedul substantially, operators aligned the Unit 2 reactor water clean-up (RWCU) system in a configuration rot in accordance with that written and approved in System Operating Procedure SO 12.1.A-2, "Reactor Water Cleanup System Startup for Normal Operations or Reactor Vessel Level Control," Revision 7. The system was aligned with three pumps in service and the demineralizer bypass valve partially open, although not provided for in SO 12.1.A-2. Operation in this alignment caused an engineered safeguards features isolation due to high RWCU flow.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

## Reason for the Violation

Operating Procedure SO 12.1.A.2, "Reactor Water Cleanup System Startup for Normal Operations on Reactor Vessel Level Control," provides direction for the startup and operation of the RWCU system. On July 27, 1992, three RWCU pumps were placed into service and the RWCU demineralizer byass valve was throttled open in an effort to reduce the reactor pressure vessel dome-bottom head drain differential temperature to allow restart of the 2B recirculation pump. The system alignment for this activity and the simultaneous operation of three RWCU pumps was not an approved method of operation described in the procedure. This activity resulted in the actuation of the primary containment isolation system (PCIS) as a result of RWCU high flow.

# Corrective Steps That Have Been Taken and Results Achieved

The Shift Operations Manager issued a letter to the Shift Managers and Shift Supervisors that discussed managements' expectations concerning procedure usage. This letter contained a discussion of the importance for proper procedural adherence, and the need to submit procedure requests for activities not contained in a procedure and for procedure improvements. Applicable governing documents that require procedure usage were also included with this letter. Additionally, Operations Management met with each operating shift to emphasize the need to perform activities and evaluations by procedure.

Section 9 of the Operations Manual was revised and approved on August 27, 1992, to provide direction for Operations personnel with respect to actions to be taken in the absence of a procedure.

## Corrective Actions That Will Be Taken to Avoid Future Violations

Licensed and non-licensed Operations personnel have been surveyed to help identify if any additional evolutions or actions are performed without written procedures. The evolutions identified as a result of this survey will be evaluated and necessary procedures will be written. These actions will be completed by December 31, 1992.

## Date When Full Compliance Was Achieved

Full compliance was achieved July 27, 1992, after the RWCU high flow isolation was reset and the RWCU system was returned to a pre-established mode of operation described in procedure SO 12.1.A.2.