October 4, 1984

Docket No. 50-266 Docket No. 50-301

Wisconsin Electric Power Company ATTN: Mr. C. W. Fay Vice-President Nuclear Power Department 231 West Michigan, Room 308 Milwaukee, WI 53201

## Gentlemen:

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by Mr. W. Snell and others of this office on September 10-12, 1984, of activities at the Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, authorized by NRC Operating Licenses No. DPR-24 and No. DRP-27 and to the discussion of our findings with Messrs. C. Fay, T. Koehler and others of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

No items of noncompliance with NRC requirements were identified during the course of this inspection. However, weaknesses were identified which will need corrective action by your staff. These weaknesses are summarized in the attached appendix to this letter. As required by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E (IV.F), any weaknesses that are identified must be corrected. Accordingly, please advise us within 45 days of the date of this letter of the corrective action you have taken or plan to take, showing the estimated date of completion with regard to these exercise weaknesses.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790(a), a copy of this letter and the enclosures will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room unless you notify this office, by telephone, within ten days of the date of this letter and submit written application to withhold information contained therein within thirty days of the date of this letter. Such application must be consistent with the requirements of 2.790(b)(1). If we do not hear from you in this regard within the specified periods noted above, a copy of this letter, the enclosures, and your response to this letter will be placed in the Public Document Room.

IE: 35

The responses directed by this letter are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

L. R. Greger, Acting Chief Emergency Preparedness and

Radiological Protection Branch

Enclosures:

1. Appendix, Exercise Weaknesses

Inspection Report

D. Matthews, EPB, OIE

No. 50-266/84-16(DRSS); No. 50-301/84-14(DRSS)

cc w/encls:

J. J. Zach, Plant Manager
DMB/Document Control Desk (RIDS)
Resident Inspector, RIII
John J. Duffy, Chief
Boiler Section
Peter Anderson, Wisconsin's
Environmental Decade
Ness Flores, Chairperson
Wisconsin Public Service
Commission
W. Weaver, FEMA, RV

Sne11/jp 10/02/84 RIII Ploski

Patterson

RIII Jackiw PHII DE PRINTIPS

RIII Greger

## Appendix

## EXERCISE WEAKNESSES

- 1. When determining protective action recommendations in the TSC and EOF, the dose projections were not based on projected plant conditions and projected release durations. Evacuation time estimates and a meteoroligical increast were not considered. For a General Emergency classification there was no automatic recommendation of sheltering from zero to two miles in all directions and from two to five miles in the three downwind sectors as specified in Federal guidance. (Open Item No. 266/84-16-01; 301/84-14-01) (Sections 4.b and 4.d)
- Procedures were not developed for the determination of radioactivity levels in vegetation or soil samples. (Open Item No. 266/84-16-02; 301/84-14-02) (Section 4.c.)
- 3. Provisions were not made to provide offsite support personnel with dosimetry when they entered the plant site under emergency conditions. (Open Item No. 266/84-16-03; 301/84-14-03) (Section 4.c)
- 4. Access control and personnel accountability were not maintained at the EOF. (Open Item No. 266/84-16-04; 301/84-14-04) (Section 4.d)