WORSHAM, FORSYTHE, SAMPELS & WOOLDRIDGE
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
THIRTY TWO HUNDRED 2000 BRYAN TOWER
DALLAS, TEXAS 75201

TELEPHONE (2:4) 979-3000

W D SAMPELS
WORENT & WOOLDRIDGE
NELD M MANSON
DAN BOMANNAN
DAN BOMANNAN
TRAVIS E VANDERHOOD
UNDITH R JOHNSON
ROMARD L ADAMS
DAVID E LONGROAN
COMM W MORETMOLDS
THOMASE JULIARD
ROBERT K MISE
THOMOTHER MACK
ROBERT M FULLMORE
WM STEPHEN BOYD
MARK R WASEM
CHRIS R MILTENPERFORR
ROBERT P OLIVER
STEVEN R ELLINWORD
C ANN BOOS

CARLTON H HOTEL
ROYCE W MONTGOMERY
JERRY E HINES
HOWARD V FISHER
JOE & DAVIS
ERIC H PETERSON
WALTER & WHITE
L SCOTT AUSTIN
W STEPHEN COCKERHAM
DAVIO H TAYLOR
ANGELA AGGE RATTON
DAVID P PODLE
CHRISTOPHER D JONES
N JAREE BIERL
SCOTT H MATHESON
ANDREW D BERRY
HAROLD D JONES
L SLIZABETH HILL

-05 A WORS-AH

OF COUNSE.
JOS IRION WORSHAM
TARLA FORSITHE
SPENCER C RELIEA

September 22, 1992

## VIA PEDERAL EXPRESS

Anthony T. Gody, Chief
Policy Development and Technical
Support Branch
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
One White Flint North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Re: Texas Utilities Electric Company, Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Unit No. 2, Docket No. 50-446A

Dear Mr. Gody:

Enclosed are six copies of an Order issued September 21, 1992, by the Hon. John G. Hyde in the suit instituted by Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. against TU Electric in the 238th Judicial District Court of Midland County, Texas, along with a copy of the Court's transmittal letter.

Among other things, the Midland Court's Order grants TU Electric's Motion for Summary Judgment, denies Cap Rock's Motion for Summary Judgment, imposes sanctions on Cap Rock, awards TU

9209300320 920922 PDR ADDCK 05000446 PDR 2999 1.

September 22, 1992 Page 2

Electric its attorneys' fees and costs and denies Cap Rock's Motion for Sanctions.

Very truly yours,

M. D. Sampels

MDS/mkm

Enclosures

CC: Thomas E. Murley, Director - With Attachment
Joseph Rutberg, Esq. "
Steven R. Hom, Esq. "
William M. Lambe / "
James Milhoan,
Regional Administrator "
John M. Adragna, Esq. "
Douglas G. Green, Esq. "

JOHN 6. HYDE

DISTRICT JUDGE

PARTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

200 H. HALL. SUITE 400

HIDLAND. TEXAS 79702

TECHTONE 915 ARR 1192

September 21, 1992

Mr. James Boldrick Attorney at Law 1801 W Wall Midland, Texas 79701

Mr. Charles Fighe Attorney at Law P. O. Hox 2776 Midland, Cexas 79702

Re: D 38,879 Cap Rock Flectric Cooperative v. Texas Utilities Electric

Centlemen

Enclosed is a copy of the judgment signed this date. Under the circumstances of this case, I deem it necessary to enter one judgment and recite in it the factual findings leading to the imposition of sanctions.

I am mindful of the recent press releases of Cap Rock and, while I find them less than candid, the company management is entitled to harbor resentment towards the court that ruled against the company and to publicly express that resentment. This conduct underscores the original assessment made by the Court but is not deserving of additional sanction. The sanctions imposed by the Court were arrived at after careful consideration of the facts, addressed at trial and were imposed on the basis of the conduct of Cap Rock's Management during the trial and not what management has subsequently done.

Very truly yours.

John G. Hyde

COMY

## IN THE 238TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS

CAP ROCK ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.,

Plaintiff.

VS.

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY.

Defendant.

8 NO. 13-38,879

## ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Utilities Flectric Company's Motion for Summary Judgment; (ii) Cap Rock Electric Company's Motion for Summary Judgment; (iii) Texas Utilities Electric Company's Motion for Imposition of Sanctions against Cap Rock Electric Company's Motion for Imposition of Sanctions against Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc.; and, (iv) Cap Rock Flectric Cooperative, Inc.'s Second Motion for Sanctions; and the Court, having considered the Motions for Summary Judgment and the supporting summary judgment evidence is a the opinion that Texas Utilities Electric Company's Motion for Summary Judgment has merit and, therefore, should be graved and that Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment Judgment and the Summary Judgment of Summary Judgment and the Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc.'s

IT IS, THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Texas

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Power Supply Agreement dated lane 8, 1990 by and between Taxes Utilities Electric

Company and Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc., which is the subject of this suit (the "1990 Power Supply Agreement"). (i) without reference to any parol evidence contains all of the essential terms of quantity, delivery, rate schedule, and notice; (ii) is a fully binding, valid, and enforceable contract; (iii, Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. is required to purchase all of its power and energy requirements from Texas Utilities Electric Company pursuant to the provisions of the 1990 Power Supply Agreement until such time as Cap Rock Electric Cooperative Inc. provides the requisite notice(s) to Texas Utilities Electric Company as required by the unambiguous terms of the 1990 Power Supply Agreement and otherwise complies with the terms thereof;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment is DENIED.

The evidence on the motions for sanctions established the following facts:

Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. agreed to a written success fee contract with its employee, Steve Collier, and with other officers of Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. on November 26, 1992.

The success for contract provided for financial payments to Steve Collier in the amount of 2% of the net savings difference between the cost of electrical power supplied by Texas Utilities Electric Company and the cost of electrical power provided by West Texas Utilities.

While testifying during the trial on April 14, 1992, Steve Collier, in response to questioning by opposing counsel as to the existence of such a success fee contract, did not answer in the affirmative but stated instead that he and Cap' Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. had only exchanged proposed language of a success fee agreement.

Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. management was aware of the existence of the success fee contract at the time Steve Collier testified.

Following the testimony of Steve Collier, Texas Utilities Fleotric Company requested the Court to direct Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. to furnish discovery of any documents relating to a success fee contract of Steve Collier

The Court granted the request of Texas Utilities Electric Company and directed Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. to furnish to the Court copies of any such decuments.

In response to the Court's order, on the afternoon of April 14, 1992 Cap Block Electric Cooperative, Inc. furnished to the Court a photocopy of a success for contract. The document was undated, unsigned and was marked "DRAFT".

During the evening hours of April 16, 1992, representatives of Caprock met in a hotel room in Midland, Texas.

The adjacent hotel was occupied by Steven Houle, an employee of Texas Utilities Electric Company.

The conversation among the Cap Rock Electric Cooperative Inc.'s representatives was loud enough to be heard in the hotel room occupied by Steven Houle.

Without the aid of any listening device, Steven Houle heard the conversation of the Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc.'s representatives.

The Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc.'s representatives discussed the extreme of a signed success fee contract and the approach at trial that would be taken by Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc.

The Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. representatives also discussed the fact that by furnishing the Court an unsigned copy of the success fee contract marked "DRAFT" rather than furnishing a copy of the actual signed agreement. Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. had not complied with the Court's order.

The following morning, Steven Houle reported to the Court the Cap-Rock Fleetric Cooperative, Inc. conversation which he heard while in his hotel room.

When questioned by the Court, Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. acknowledged the existence of the signed success fee agreement. Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. stated to the Court that the success fee agreement with Mr Collier had been rescinded before the trial began. No document of rescission was furnished to the Court.

The Court concludes that by failing to timely furnish to the Court a copy of the signed success fee agreement, Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc.

The Court's order for discoveryed documents, misled the Court as to the existence of a material fact and should be subjected sanctions under the provisions of Rule 215 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

The Court concludes that by listening to a conversation occurring in

an adjacent hoter than white remaining within the confines of his own hotel room.

Steven Houle has not engaged be any conducts for which the Court may legally

sthellow or punish him or his employer. Texas Diffices Electric Company.

The Second Motion for Sanctions filed by: Eap Rock Electric

Cooperative, Inc. is therefore denied.

The Motton for Imposition of Sanctions liked by Jerusi Villies Flectrics with

Company is granted in the following particulars: w

Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc. is ordered to perform 200 hours of community service for the infractions found by the Court.

The community service may be performed in any county within the region for which Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, inc. supplies electrical power.

The community service work must be performed by officers or directors of Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc., the names of which must be approved by the Court prior to the commencement of the community service.

The community service work must be performed to directly benefit a homoprofit community service programs charitable institution of civic organization of the The Performance of community services. The verified by affidavit the fourt by the recipient of the services. Phase community services the final of the fourt by the recipient of the services the judgment in this cause the comes final.

Utilities Flectric Company have and recover of and from Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc., (i) the sum of \$73,000.00 which the Court finds to be the reasonable attorneys fees incurred through the presentation of Defendant's motion for Summar, Indyment; (ii) the ... am of \$10,000.00 which the Court finds to the reasonable attorneys fees incurred in the presentation of Defendant's Motion for imposition of Sanctions; (iii) the sum of \$30,000.00 as reasonable attorneys fees in the event of an appeal to the Court of Appeals, for the services necessary on behalf of the Defendant in connection with such an appeal; (iv) an additional \$25,000.00 as reasonable attorneys fees in the event there is an application for arit of error filed with the Supreme Court of Texas; and, (vi) an additional \$15,000.00 reasonable attorneys fees in the event the Supreme Court of Texas;

Utilities Flectric Company have and recover of any from Cap Rock Electric Company have and recover of any from Cap Rock Electric Cooperative, Inc., the costs of Court incurred in this cause.

SIGNED this the \_\_\_\_ day of September, 1992.

JUDGE PRESTONO Hyde, Aus