50-322 01-3

SCEP 23

I-SC-73

DOGRECIO

AUG 9 1984

DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH SECY-NEC

DOCKET NUMBER FAC 50-330(01-3)

FEBRUARY 8, 1984

MUELEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

in the matter of	horeham-Emergency Hann
Staff	AT EMPIFIED
Applicant	BECEIVED
intervenor	MEJLOTES
Sant's Offr	115/84
Contractor	MATE 6/15/84
Other	Witness
1	P. Eyster

In your own words, describe and evaluate the demonstrated activities, capabilities and resources, or lack thereof, covered by this section. Put the facts recorded in the "yes/no" questions in perspective. Explain the deficiencies, and also note the exceptionally good performance.

PLAYERS DO NOT CHECK THERE DOSIMETRY.

In your own words, describe and evaluate the demonstrated activities, capabilities and resources, or lack thereof, covered by this section. Put the facts recorded in the "yes/no" questions in perspective. Explain the deficiencies, and also note the exceptionally good performance.

Most of the documenty specific was not may assure most of these actions. However, the transfer control point conductor of was with never child his document of woodings.

In your own words, describe and evaluate the demonstrated activities, capabilities and resources, or lack thereof, covered by this section. Put the facts recorded in the "yes/no" questions in perspective. Explain the deficiencies, and also note the exceptionally good performance.

TRANSFER POINT (NORWOOD AUE PROPERTY)

GENERALLY THINGS WENT SURPRISINCLY SMOUTH MY MAIN CONSERVED IS THAT AT LEAST THREE TRANFER PT. CORD. WOULD BE REQUIRED IN A REPAL EMERC. ONE TO DISPATCH ROUTE PACKETS, ONE TO LOG IN; KEEP TRACK OF BUSES DISPATCHED, AND ONE TO GUIDE THE TRANSFER OF FEDRUE FROM ONE SUS TO ANOTHER.

THERE WAS SOME CONFUSION ABOUT WHAT ORDER ON THE DISPATCH CHART) SHOULD THE BUS ROUTED BUS POUTED BUS POUTED BUS ROUTED BUS BUSCES WERRE DISPATCHED CORRECTLY.

AT CERTAIN TIMES IT WAS DIFFICULT FOR THE CORD TO TOS THE PADIO TOO.

I DID SEE ONE PLAYER CHECK HIS DOSIMETRY ONGE. THE OTHERS I DID NOT SEE CHECK AT ALL DURING THE ZIZHRS. OUT & THE TRANSFER POINT.

THERE IS 'NO LIGHTIME PROVIDED & THE TRANSPOR

A FEW BUS DRIVERS (4 OUT OF BO) COMPLHIUED OF IN ACCUMACIES IN THEIR MAPS. (WHOUL STREET NAMES, ONE MAP WAS MISSING B PAGES) ALL DRIVERS WERE ABLE TO COMPLETE THEIR ROUTES THOUGH.

(CONT. ON BACK.)

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY and LOCAL EMERGENCY RESPONSE ORGANIZATION SHEET 1 OF Z

NUCLEAR EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS EXERCISE

OBSERVER CONTROLLER LOG SHEET

Name:

Date: 2.5.84

Excation: TRANSFOR POINT (NORWOOD AND) (P. J. STAGING AREA)

OBSERVATION/COMMENT TIME 1:15 PM TRANSFOR POINT CORD. (T.P.C.) WORE TOLD FUAC. REDD. 1:30 AW T. P. C. LEAVE STAGING ARCA (W/RADIO, 5 DISPATEH PITCETS (CONES UN AUAIL.) 1:45 PM T.P.C. ARRIVE C NORWOOD AUT. PROP. ETTY TRANSFOR POINT, (TPC, CHECKS IN ON RAXO) NO SIGN IDENTIFING PROPERTY EXISTS. WHICH COULD CAUSE CONFUSION TO THE NO LIGHTING IS PROVIDED & SITE. ZIOTAL FIRST BUS IS DISPATCHED. Some confusion As to the order SHOULD BO ON BY SCHOOLE. APTER SOME ANAL. connect onon is used. DOSIMETRY IS NOT BEING CHECKED. Some confusion on How, WHOUS & WHORE PUSCS SHOULD BY DISPATEUROD TO RELOCATION CENTER AT CERTAIN TIMES T. P.C. SECAME VERZ BUSY DISPATCHING BUSES, AND THE RIADO

COULD NOT BE SUFFICIENTLY MONITORED.

In your own words, describe and evaluate the demonstrated activities, capabilities and resources, or lack thereof, covered by this section. Put the facts recorded in the "yes/no" questions in perspective. Explain the deficiencies, and also note the exceptionally good performance.

- No posinetry checks IVEDE taken.
- · EXECUTIVADO E.O.C. MADS WEDE

 NOT AVAILLE FOR DECONTAMINATION.

		Yes	No	N/A	N/0
1.	Was dosimetry issued to all per- sonnel dispatched into the EPZ?	_X_			
2.	Were dosimetry records properly completed?	X			
3.	Were personnel exposures periodically checked?		X		
4.	Were personnel exposures tracked?		X		
5.	Were personnel dispatched into the EPZ given thyroid blocking agents?			×	
6.	Were they instructed in its use?			×	

		Yes	No	N/A	N/0
1.	Was dosimetry issued to all per- sonnel dispatched into the EPZ?	1			
2.	Were dosimetry records properly completed?				~
3.	Were personnel exposures periodically checked?		/		
4.	Were personnel exposures tracked?		1		
5.	Were personnel dispatched into the EPZ given thyroid blocking agents?				~
6.	Were they instructed in its use?				1

FEBRUARY 15, 1984

In your own words, describe and evaluate the demonstrated activities, capabilities and resources, or lack thereof, covered by this section. Put the facts recorded in the "yes/no" questions in perspective. Explain the deficiencies, and also note the exceptionally good performance.

Dosimetry went very smooth in the staging arm. However, in the lie'd personal exposures were not charled. This is a habit that should be broken.

In your own words, describe and evaluate the demonstrated activities, capabilities and resources, or lack thereof, covered by this section. Put the facts recorded in the "yes/no" questions in perspective. Explain the deficiencies, and also note the exceptionally good performance.

the trafficio genile did not check his dosimetre every 15 min. as required, only when we arrived at TCP

		Yes	No	N/A	N/0	
1.	Was dosimetry issued to all per- sonnel dispatched into the EPZ?	/				
2.	Were dosimetry records properly completed?	/				
3.	Were personnel exposures periodically checked?		1			Section 1
4.	Were personnel exposures tracked?				V	
5.	Were personnel dispatched into the EPZ given thyroid blocking agents?		/			
6.	Were they instructed in its use?				/	

		Yes	No	N/A	N/0
1.	Was dosimetry issued to all per- sonnel dispatched into the EPZ?	~			
2.	Were dosimetry records properly completed?	1			
3.	Were personnel exposures periodically checked?		/		
4.	Were personnel exposures tracked?				V
5.	Were personnel dispatched into the EPZ given thyroid blocking agents?				~
6.	Were they instructed in its use?				V

		Yes	No	N/A	N/0
1.	Was dosimetry issued to all per- sonnel dispatched into the EPZ?	_			
2.	Were dosimetry records properly completed?		_		1
3.	Were personnel exposures periodically checked?	_	/		
4.	Were personnel exposures tracked?		1		
5.	Were personnel dispatched into the EPZ given thyroid blocking agents?		/		
6.	Were they instructed in its use?		/		

In your own words, describe and evaluate the demonstrated activities, capabilities and resources, or lack thereof, covered by this section. Put the facts recorded in the "yes/no" questions in perspective. Explain the deficiencies, and also note the exceptionally good performance.

dy general, the emergency works to be sweet drainetis readings and the merimum reduction levels they are were allowed to be expend to. But, they did not pendially chele their documents.

		Yes	No	N/A	N/0	
1.	Was dosimetry issued to all per- sonnel dispatched into the EPZ?	*			-	
2.	Were dosimetry records properly completed?			4		
3.	Were personnel exposures periodically checked?			*		
4.	Were personnel exposures tracked		*			
5.	Were personnel dispatched into the EPZ given thyroid blocking agents?	×				
6.	k 'e they instructed in its use?	×				

In your own words, describe and evaluate the demonstrated activities, capabilities and resources, or lack thereof, covered by this section. Put the facts recorded in the "yes/no" questions in perspective. Explain the deficiencies, and also note the exceptionally good performance.

4

- 3. TRAPE GUIDES AND TR POINT COORD OBSERVED NOT TO
- J. FORMS FOR KI GIVEN OUT BUT NOT ORDER FROM EUC.