1. Africa SEP 1 9 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Edward L. Halman, Director Division of Contracts, ADM

FROM:

Richard Hl Vollmer, Director Division of Engineering, NRR

SUBJECT:

REQUEST FOR PROCESSING OF AN NRR PROCUREMENT

ACTION: RFPA No .: NRR-03-83-105

TITLE: GEOSCIENCE NUCLEAR FACILITY CASE REVIEWS IV

FIN NO.: 58643 88633

The attached request for Procurement Action (RFPA), NRC.Form 400, Parts 1 and 2, is forwarded for your action. If you have any questions concerning the acceptance and processing of this request, please contact Mr. E. Pentecost, ext. 27067.

Original signed by:

Richard H. Vollmer, Director Division of Engineering Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DISTRIBUTION:

VZeoli, CÓN DDandois, CON ZRosztoczy, DST

RJackson JKnight BGrenier

RVollmer

HLefevre

Paris -

CAND WE FOR

Branch Rdg. File

DMcDermott, CFleenor

NRR Contract File (DCorley)

Attachments: As stated

197184464 XA

RECONCURRENCE REQUIRED FOR REVISIONS MADE BY PPAS

DE/GSB1 PL HLefevre EL 9/15/83

RJackson 911 483 DE/AD:65&B JPKnight 9/1/83 DF// EPentecost 9////83 With the 1934 family ble

That Fill la commended

That Fill la commended

That Fill la commended

The fill be formation

The polyment of the property of the polyment of the p

OFFICE	DE:GSB	DE:GSB	DE:AD:C&SE	DE	NRR-	'ÓE:0/)	
URNAME	HLefevre:sl.	REJackson	JPKhight	DE EPentecost		RHVollmer	
DATE	8/8/83	8//9/83.	87.783	8/-/83	8/1/83	8/.:/83	

400. Part 1

'.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIS

REPA NUMBER

3 TYPE OF ACTION REQUESTED (Check and complete as appropriate)

NRR-83-105

REQUEST FOR SPOSUPEMENT ACTION (DEDA)		
REQUEST FOR PROCUREMENT ACTION (RFPA)	2 REPA REVISION NUM	
	2	

		ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION INITIATED BY DIVISION OF CONTRACTS			
	be used for various types of procurement requests, including	ISSUE A COMPETITIVE SOLICITATION			
In such cases, the project officer	which information has not been developed, should be left blank should contact the Division of Contracts for specific guidance	AWARD A CONTRACT ON THE BASIS OF AN UNSOLICITED PROPOSAL IGINE contractor & names			
Submit the completed form to	Directr Division of Contracts Office of Administration	EXECUTE A MODIFICATION TO A CONTRACT CONTRACT NUMBER CONTRACTOR			
PERIOD (1 PERIODMANCE	L DELINEDY CONEDUILE	AWARD A NONCOMPETITY E CONTRACT Name contractor's name)			

48 PERIOD OF PERI	ORMANCE TO	b DELIVERY SCHEDULE	AWARD A NONCOMPETITI'. E CONTRACT (Give contractor's name)				
2/20/83	1/31/86		EMPLOY A BASIC ORDERING AGREEMENT (BOA)				
5 SECURITY CLASS	SIFIED INFORMATION	6 PREPROPOSAL CONFERENCE CONTEMPLATED	BOA NUMBER TITLE OF BOA				
YES (Allach NRC Form 187) X NO		YES X NO	X ISSUE AN INTERAGENCY AGRI	EEMENT (Give agency's name)			

⁷ DECISION UNIT TITLE

Operating Reactors: Plant Reviews and Generic Assessments Plant Reviews and Generic Assessments

8 TITLE OF PROJECT AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF WORK (50 word summary)

GEOSCIENCE REVIEW PROGRAM

To provide NRC with expert technical services from USGS in the areas of complex geological and seismological plant-specific and generic issues.

9	PROGRAM OFFICE RECOMMENDATION REGAIDING SOCIO ECONOMIC SET ASIDES: (Complete where action requested in 3	3. above is for a competitive solicitation, a basic ordering agreement.
	or a noncompetitive contract (

100% SET ASIDE FOR SMALL BUSINESS	PARTIAL SET ASIDE FOR SMALL BUSINESS
JOINT SMALL BUSINESS-LABOR SURPLUS AREA SET-ASIDE	100% LABOR SURPLUS AREA SET ASIDE
NONCOMPETITIVE CONTRACT PROCESSED UNDER THE PROCEDURES OF SECTION BIAL OF THE SMALL BUSINESS ACT FOR AWARD TO A SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS	UNRESTRICTED ACTION

10 MANAGEME	ENT DIRECTIVES APPLICABILITY		
DESCRIPTION	APPLICABLE	INAPPLICABLE	EXEMPTION NUMBER
OMB CIRCULAR A-76 (See NRC Bulletin 5105-1)		х	
AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING COORDINATION (See NRCM 0904 and NRC Bulletin 2101-15)		X	
APPROVAL FOR ACQUISITION OF CONSULTANT SERVICES (See NRCM 4139)		χ	

	NEGOESTI	0.1711000		TACTION (III. A)		
11 DUPLICATION OF EFFORT ICheck .	1 appropriate)		T	. 12 LIST OF	ATTACHME	ENTS
The same to be seen and the same of the sa	out other NRC offices that no unnece	essary duplication	NUMBER	T	DESCRIP	
of effort will result from the conduct	of the subject work. (For actions less	then \$100,000)	1	STATEMENT OF WORK		
				EVALUATION CRITERIA WOTH NUL	MERICAL V	NEIGHTS ASSIGNED
				LIST OF FIRMS TO BE SOLICITE	D, IN AD	DITION TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC
Attached are certifications execu	ted by each member of the Contra	ct Review Board		UNSOLICITED PROPOSAL JUSTIF		
(Actions in excess of \$100 000)				JUSTIFICATION FOR NONCOMPE	TITIVE PRO	CUREMENT
				DESIGNATION OF MEMBERS TO	SOURCE E	VALUATION PANEL
Contract Review Board Certification requests have been forwarded to Board Members for concurrence/comment. Completed certifications will be forwarded if or urgent actions only, with prior approval of the Division of Contracts)				JUSTIFICATION FOR ACQUISITION	N OF CONS	SULTANT SERVICES NRC FORM 400A
				SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS /See N		
		THE PARTY		CONTROLLER'S APPROVAL OF A	-76 DETER	MINATION
13 ESTIMATED C	OST (Attach cost-estimate breakdown	V				
CURRENT	FISCAL YEAR SECO	ND		THIAD	1-	TOTAL
	EV 10	OF		FY 1986		
FY 1984	FY 19	and the same of th		\$75,000	540	97,000
\$272,000*	\$150,				1 94.	77,000
\$185,000 Berpar	ative of the special in the special signed of L. Special Trech	nical Assi		Program Manager, 1	NRR	APPN NUMBERISI
NUMBER(S)	AMOUNTIS!		MBER(S)	AMOUNT(S))	31X0200,204
20-19-40-42-2	\$ 40,000		643	\$ 40,000		31x0200,204
20-19-40-41-2	\$101,000	-	633			31x0200,204
20-19-40-42-2	\$ 34,000	-	662	\$ 34,000		31X0200,204
20-19-10-12-2	\$ 10,000		650	3 10,000		31 10200,204
20-19-10-11-2 15 PROJECT OFFICER'S NAME		1 88	663	MAIL STOP		TELEPHONE NUMBER
				P-514		492-7732
H. Lefevre	GNEE (Type name on line, and have si	gnature placed above t	rpewriting)			DATE
Richard H. Vollmer	, Director					11/2/23
ORGANIZATION	Ligaria H.					
Division of Engine	ering					
17 REMARKS						
ment to USGS. Th September 27, 198	ated September 19, e funds were decer 3, since the Inter of Work was trans	tified by 1 agency Agr	memorano eement o	dum to K. Morton i	from l	Interagency Agree- B. L. Grenier dated n FY 1983. A October 17, 1983.
This RFPA certifi with the SOW tran a breakdown of fu ments ceiling amo	es FY 1984 funds t smitted on October nds for each Work unt for the entire eakdown of anticip	to award the 17, 1983 Assignment effort an	e Intera as modif that sh d the ar	agency Agreement of fied by the attack hould be used to enticipated obligat	to USO nment estab	

The period of performance for this Interagency Agreement should be effective retroactive to February 20, 1983 because the NPR Project Officer assumed the RFPA dated January 26, 1983 that transmitted the SOW for the Interagency Agreement was in place and therefore, the Project Officer advised the USGS to proceed to perform work on Summer, WNP-3 and other subsequent assignments.

not write below this line!

INITIAL ENTRY MADE INTO THE CIS FPDS SYSTEM

INPUTER S NAME

DATE ENTERED

REQUEST FO	OR PROCUHEMENT ACTION	(RFPA)	2 REPA REVISION NUMBER	
•			1	
		3 TYPE OF ACTION REQUESTED	(Check and complete as expression)	(*)
			ITIATED BY DIVISION OF CONTRA	
INSTRUCTIONS This form is to be used to	or various types of procurement requests, including	ISSUE A COMPETITIVE SOLI	CITATION	
INSTRUCTIONS: This form is to be used for various types of procurement requests, including sole-source actions, competitive solicitations and modifications. Inapplicable items or those for which information has not been developed, should be left blank. In such cases, the project officer should contact the Division of Contracts for specific guidance. Submit the completed form to. Director Division of Contracts Office of Administration.		AWARD A CONTRACT ON TH	E BASIS OF AN UNSOLICITED	
		EXECUTE A MODIFICATION TO A CONTRACT CONTRACT NUMBER CONTRACTOR		
PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE	6 DELIVERY SCHEDULE	AWARD A NONCOMPETITIVE	E CONTRACT (Give contractor's na	eme)
		EMPLOY A BASIC ORDERING	ACREEMENT (BOA)	
/20/83 1/31/86	6 PREPROPOSAL CONFERENCE	BOA NUMBER	TITLE OF BOA	
SECURITY/CLASSIFIED INFORMATION	CONTEMPLATED			
YES (Attach NAC Form 187)	YES V NO	X ISSUE AN INTERAGENCY AD	REEMENT /Give agency's name/	
ECISION UNIT TITLE				
perating Reactors: asework: Plant Revi	Plant Reviews and Generic ews and Generic Assessment	Assessments		
		from USGS in the	areas of complex	×
Seoscience Review Pro To provide NRC with e geological and seismo	expert technical services of all ordinary controls and all ordinary controls are all ordinary controls are all ordinary controls and all ordinary controls are all ordinary controls are all ordinary controls and all ordinary controls are all ordinary controls are all ordinary controls are all ordinary controls are all ordinary controls and all ordinary controls are all ordinary co	from USGS in the d generic issues.	areas of complex	×
o provide NRC with e	expert technical services	from USGS in the d generic issues.	areas of complex	×
o provide NRC with e	expert technical services	from USGS in the d generic issues.	areas of complex	x
o provide NRC with e	expert technical services	from USGS in the d generic issues.	areas of complex	×
o provide NRC with e eological and seismo	expert technical services	d generic issues.		
o provide NRC with e eological and seismo	expert technical services of logical plant-specific and logical plant-speci	where action requested in 3. soon	e is for a competitive solicitation.	
o provide NRC with e eological and seismo	expert technical services of logical plant-specific and logical plant-speci	where action requested in 3. soov	e is for a competitive solicitation.	
POGRAM OFFICE RECOMMENDATION R	EGARDING SOCIO-ECONOMIC SET-ASIDES:/Complete LUS AREA SET-ASIDE SED UNDER THE PROCEDURES OF SECTION BILL OF	where action requested in 3. soon	e is for a competitive solicitation.	
PROGRAM OFFICE RECOMMENDATION R	EXPERT TECHNICAL SET-ASIDES (Complete LUS AREA SET-ASIDE SED UNDER THE PROCEDURES OF SECTION 8181 OF TO A SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS	PARTIAL SET-ASIDE FOR SI	e is for a competitive solicitation.	
PROGRAM OFFICE RECOMMENDATION R PROGRAM OFFICE	EGARDING SOCIO-ECONOMIC SET-ASIDES-/Compress LUS AREA SET-ASIDE SED UNDER THE PROCEDURES OF SECTION 8181 OF DITO A SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS 10 MANAGEMENT	PARTIAL SET-ASIDE FOR SI 100% LABOR SURPLUS AR UNRESTRICTED ACTION DIRECTIVES APPLICABILITY	e is for a competitive solicitation of	a basic ordering agreement
o provide NRC with e eological and seismo	EGARDING SOCIO-ECONOMIC SET-ASIDES:/Compress RUS AREA SET-ASIDE SED UNDER THE PROCEDURES OF SECTION BIAL OF TO A SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS 10 MANAGEMENT ESCRIPTION	PARTIAL SET-ASIDE FOR SI	MALL BUSINESS EA SET-ASIDE INAPPLICABLE	a basic ordering agreement
PROGRAM OFFICE RECOMMENDATION R A noncompetitive contract. JOINT SMALL BUSINESS—LABOR SURP NONCOMPETITIVE CONTRACT PROCESS THE SMALL BUSINESS ACT FOR AWARD DE CIRCULAR A-76 (See NRC Bulletin 510)	EGARDING SOCIO-ECONOMIC SET-ASIDES://Compress LUS AREA SET-ASIDE SED UNDER THE PROCEDURES OF SECTION 81.0 OF TO A SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS 10 MANAGEMENT ESCRIPTION	PARTIAL SET-ASIDE FOR SI 100% LABOR SURPLUS AR UNRESTRICTED ACTION DIRECTIVES APPLICABILITY	MALL BUSINESS EA SET-ASIDE INAPPLICABLE X	
o provide NRC with e eological and seismo	EGARDING SOCIO-ECONOMIC SET-ASIDES://Compress LUS AREA SET-ASIDE SED UNDER THE PROCEDURES OF SECTION 81.0 OF TO A SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS 10 MANAGEMENT ESCRIPTION	PARTIAL SET-ASIDE FOR SI 100% LABOR SURPLUS AR UNRESTRICTED ACTION DIRECTIVES APPLICABILITY	MALL BUSINESS EA SET-ASIDE INAPPLICABLE	a basic ordering agreement

REQ FOR PROCUREMENT ACTION

AUCATION OF	EFFORT /Check as appro	derieta)		T				
I certify besed of	inquiries made with eth	as NOC affices that as upon	PSSETY duplication	NUMBER	12 UST OF A	DESCRIPTION		
of effort unit result	t from the conduct of the	subject work if or ections less	then \$100,000)	1	STATEMENT OF WORK	or senir right		
					EVALUATION CRITERIA WOTH NUN	AERICAL WEIGHT	SASSIGNED	
			100		LIST OF FIRMS TO BE SOLICITE			
Attached are car	milications executed by	each member of the Contra	ect Review Board		UNSOLICITED PROPOSAL JUSTIFI			
					JUSTIFICATION FOR NONCOMPET			
				-	DESIGNATION OF MEMBERS TO S	OURCE EVALUAT	DON PANEL	
Contract Review Board Certification i squasis have been forwarded to Board Members for concurrence (comment. Completed certifications will be forwarded. (For urgent actions only, with prior approval of the Division of Contracts)				JUSTIFICATION FOR ACQUISITION	OF CONSULTAN	T SERVICES, NRC FORM 400A		
				SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS (500 NA	C Appendix 5101	Exhibit 1, Page 5)		
					CONTROLLER'S APPROVAL OF A.	76 DETERMINATI	ON	
		tiech cost-estimate breekdown CAL YEAR	"					
	ARENT	SECO	ND		THIRD		TOTAL	
FY 198	3	FY 1984		FY 198	5 FY 1986			
\$185,0		\$185,000		\$150,0		\$595,0	00	
MOUNT This cer	I NAME OF COSE SYING	official trong and Sport	in the current budget	for the work desc	zibed above			
\$185,000	1- 1	Ter, Technical	+ mil	a Droans	m Manager, NRR		DATE	
	848		ASSIStant	e Progra	m manager, NKK	1 4 6000	NUMBERS:	
AUVIER		AMOUNT(S)		MBERSI	AMOUNTISI			
20-19-40-	The state of the s	\$40,000	B8643 B8633		\$40,000		X0200.203	
20-19-40-		\$34,000			\$101,000		X0200.203	
20-19-10-		\$10,000	B8662 B8650		\$34,000		X0200.203	
20-19-10-		- 0 -	B8663		\$10,000	- 131	X0200.203	
S. PROJECT OFFICER	which will be seen that the same of the sa		1 00003		MAIL STOP	TELS	PHONE NUMBER	
H. Lefeyr	0				P514			
		ype name on hr and have sig	gnature placed above to	vpe writing)	P514		192-7732	
Richard H	. Vollmer. D	irector W	Mean	Alma	La ale		09//9/83	
ORGANIZATION		II MANUEL	-	7			03/1/03	
Division	of Engineeri	ng. NRR		- 1				
7. REMARKS								
statement amount of from the incorpora of funds ceiling anyear and	of Work (SO \$185K are p original RFP ted in the r for each Wor mount for th	rovided for co A (SOW) and as evised SOW bei k Assignment t e entire effor of anticipated	p a Task O ntract awa sociated U ng submitt hat should t and the	rdering rd. Tab SGS prop ed with be used anticipa	USGS be negotiated arrangement. Increde 1 provides a second dated June 9 this RFPA. Table to establish the ted obligation amount of the durated second date of the durated date of the d	emental ummary of , 1983 the 2 provide Work Assount for	funds in the f the changes nat are es a breakdown signments each fiscal	
to Februa that trans Project O	ry 20, 1983 smitted the	because the NR SOW for the In ed the USGS to	R Project (teragency /	Officer Agreemen	ent should be effort assumed the RFPA of twas in place and movement,	dated Jan d therefo	nuary 26, 1983 ore. the	
					77			
			*					
			<u> </u>		X			
A DIVISION OF CON	TRACTS USE ONLY 100	not write below this line)						
		. 18	HTIAL ENTRY MADE IN	NTO THE CIS/FPC	DS SYSTEM			
NOTER S NAME							DATE ENTERED	

ATTACHMENT

Modification to Statement of Work Transmitted October 17, 1983

Page 3 - Revise LEVEL OF EFFORT AND PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE as follows:

The overall level of effort is estimated at 4.1 staff years over a three year period.

FY 1984 2.4 staff-years FY 1985 1.0 staff-year FY 1986 0.7 staff-year

Note: The exact number and scheduling of Work Assignments that will be placed under this IA has not yet been determined. No specific amount of work is guaranteed. NRC shall be obligated to pay only for work actually ordered and performed as mutually agreed upon.

Page 17, Subtask b - Change Estimated Completion Date to "12/01/83 thru 11/15/85."

Change the third line of the work description to commence the reports in December 1983.

to the co

Page 17, Subtask C(1)

Page 18, Subtask C(2) - Change the Estimated Completion Date to "As Required between December 1983 and November 1985."

Page 18 - Revise LEVEL OF EFFORT AND PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE as follows:
The level of effort is estimated at .35 staff years over a 2½ year period (FY 83, .05 staff year; FY 84, .10 staff year; FY 85, .15 staff year; FY 86, .05 staff year).

TABLE 1 CONTRACT NRC-03-83-105

FUNDING REQUIREMENTS FOR EACH WORK ASSIGNMENT

WORK ASSIGNMENT	FIN/ (B&R)	FY 1984	FY 1985	FY 1986	TOTAL
Task 1	B8643 (20-19-40-42-2)	\$ 81,000	\$ 30,000	\$19,000	\$130,000
Task 2	B8633 (20-19-40-41-2)	\$ 20,000	0	0	\$ 20,000
Task 3	B8633 (20-19-40-41-2)	\$ 81,000	0	0	\$ 81,000
Task 4	B8662 (20-19-40-42-2)	\$ 60,000	0	0	\$ 60,000
Task 5	B8662 (20-19-40-42-2)	\$ 15,000	0	0	\$ 15,000
Task 6	88650 (20-19-10-12-2)	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 35,000
TOTAL WORK A	SSIGNMENT PLACED	\$272,000	\$ 45,000	\$24,000	\$341,000
ANTICIPATED NOT YET PLAC	WORK ASSIGNMENTS ED	0	105,000	51,000	156,000
TOTAL I/A AM	OUNT	\$272,000	\$150,000	\$75,000	\$497,000

ANTICIPATED FUNDING LEVEL FOR EACH FIN

FIL.	B&R No.	FY 1984	FY 1985	FY 1986	TOTAL
B8643	20-19-40-42-2	\$ 81,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 19,000	\$130,000
B8633	20-19-40-41-2	(\$101,000) ¥	\$ 15,000	\$ 8,000	\$124,000
B8662	20-19-40-42-2	(\$ 75,000) ×	\$ 50,000	\$ 20,000	\$145,000
B8650	20-19-10-12-2	(\$ 15,000) *	\$ 25,000	\$ 13,000	\$ 53,000
B8663	20-19-10-11-2	0	\$ 30,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 45,000
TOTAL		\$272,000	\$150,000	\$ 75,000	\$497,000

Rev 2 (FY84) FUNDILL LEVEL \$272K
Rev 1 (FY83-FY84) " " 370 K

Wet Loss between FY83-FY84 Combined Dudget OF 198 K

TABLE 2 CONTRACT NRC-03-83-105

FUDNING RECUIREMENTS FOR EACH WORK ASSIGNMENT

WORK ASSIGNMENT	FIN/ (B&R)	FY 1983	FY 1984	FY 1985	FY 1986	TOTAL
Task 1	B8643 (20-19-40-42-2)	\$ 40,000	\$ 41,000	\$ 30,000	\$19,000	\$130,000
Task 2	B8633 (20-19-40-41-2)	20,000	0	0	0	20,000
Task 3	B8633 (20-19-40-41-2)	81,000	0	0	0	81,000
Task 4	B8662 (20-19-40-42-2)	. 24,000	- 36,000	0	0	60,000
Task 5	B8662 (20-19-40-42-2)	10,000	5,000	0	0	15,000
Task 6	B8650 (20-19-10-12-2)	10,000	15,000	15,000	5,000	45,000
TOTAL WORK A	ASSIGNMENT PLACED	\$185,000	\$ 97,000	\$ 45,000	\$24,000	\$351,000
ANTICIPATED NOT YET PLAC	WORK ASSIGNMENTS	0	88,000	105,000	51,000	244,000
TOTAL I/A AM	OUNT	\$185,000	\$185,000	\$150,000	\$75,000	\$595,000
	ANTICI	PATED FUNDING	LEVEL FOR E	ACH FIN		
FIN	FY 1983	FY 1984	FY 1985	FY 1	986	TOTAL
B8643	\$ 40,000	\$ 41,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 19	,000	\$130,000
B8633	101,000	19,000	15,000	8	,000	143,000
B8662	34,000	91,000	50,000	20	,000	195,000
B8650	10,000	34,000	25,000	13	,000	82,000
B8663	0	0	30,000	15	,000	45,000
TOTAL	\$185,000	\$185,000	\$150,000	\$ 75	,000	\$595,000

1010

TABLE 1 CONTRACT NRC-03-83-105 SUMMARY OF SOW REVISIONS

ORIGINAL SOW	REVISED SOW	COMMENTS
Project 1 Nuclear Advisory Group	Task 1	Starting February 1, 1983, all costs associated with this task should be charged to Contract NRC-03-83-105. The cost estimate (\$40K) provided by the USGS proposal dated June 9, 1983 is identified under Contract NRC-03-80-113. USGS should be informed the correct contract to charge these costs against. This RFPA provides \$40K for Task 1 in FY 1983.
Project 2 Task 1 Advisory Services	Tasks 4, 5 and 6	Three tasks were identified via letters to USGS from R. Jackson under the on-call advisory services task. Since initiation of the three tasks a detailed SOW for each of the Work Assignments has been prepared. These have been assigned Tasks 4, 5 and 6 under the Task Ordering arrangement. The June 9, 1983 USGS proposal identified a cost of S3OK for the three tasks. Since the USGS has not yet seen the Work Assignments at the time the proposal was prepared, NRR believes the cost estimate is low. NRR anticipates a total cost of approximately \$120K for the three Work Assignments. (See Table 2 for the estimated costs of each Work Assignment.) This RFPA provides \$24K for Task 4, \$10K for Task 5 and \$10K for Task 6 in FY 1983. There will_be no Advisory Services Task in the Revised SOW. The Task Ordering arrangement should allow quick placement of work thus alleviating the need for Advisory Services Task.
Project 2 Task 2 Summer	Task 2	The \$20K identified in the June 9, 1983 USGS proposal appears reasonable and is provided with this RFPA.
Project 2 Task 3 WNP-3	Task 3	Effective July 29, 1983, all work on this Work Assignment was stopped via memo from R. Jackson to USGS dated July 27, 1983. Action to officially inform USGS of this decision should be provided by the Contracting Officer. It is anticipated that the USGS cost estimate of \$124K is high. NRR anticipates the reduced effort to cost approximately \$81K. This RFPA provides \$81K for this Work Assignment in FY 1983.

ORIGINAL SOW REVISED SOW

COMMENTS

Project 2 Task 4 WNP-3 Site Spectra

None

Effective July 29, 1983, all work on this Task was stopped via memo from R. Jackson to USGS dated July 27, 1983. Action to officially inform USGS of this decision should be provided by the Contracting Officer. No work was initiated on this task and the USGS proposal did not specify funds for this task; therefore, this task was dropped completely from the revised SOW.

Statement of Work

TITLE: USGS Technical Assistance to the Geosciences Branch (NRR)

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT NO .: NRC-03-83-105

FIN	B&R No.	Project Title
B8643 B8633 B8662 B8650	20-19-40-42-2 20-19-40-41-2 20-19-40-42-2 20-19-10-12-2	Nuclear Advisory Group Casework Reviews Generic Casework Assessments Generic Operating Reactors
88563	20-19-10-11-2	Assessments Operating Reactors Reviews

PROJECT OFFICER: Harold Lefevre (492-7732)

BACKGROUND:

Applicants seeking to construct and operate a nuclear power plant must submit to NRC for review and evaluation documentation consisting of a Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (PSAR) and a Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR).

The safety review and evaluation process is conducted in two phases:
(1) at the PSAR stage, the applicant describes his design approach. On completion of the review, evaluation, and approval of the PSAR, the applicant receives a Construction Permit (CP) which enables him to start detail the implementation of the design for safe plant shutdown under these systems meet the NRC criteria. On completion of the FSAR review, evaluation, and approval, the applicant receives an Operating License (OL) for commercial plant operation.

Once a plant is operating there may arise specific geoscience problems that require the technical advisory services of the USGS (usually on a high priority, quick-turnaround basis). For example, the USGS is re-evaluating its position on the 1886 Charleston Earthquake. A decision by the USGS that no longer allows for restricting that earthquake to the vicinity of Charleston could require USGS involvement in ground motion and fault hazard studies for several nuclear sites.

OBJECTIVE:

The objective of this Interagency Agreement is to obtain the service of expert technical personnel from the USGS to provide an independent evaluation and to supplement staff expertise in the areas of complex geology and seismology for nuclear power plant reviews and for generic and regional siting issues.

WORK REQUIREMENTS:

The specific work requirements will be identified as "Work Assignment" placed under this IA and will include the following areas:

- Review of specific geology and seismology issues for operating plants (B8663).
- Review of specific geology and seismology issues for construction permit and operating license case reviews. (B8633)
- 3) Review of generic geology and seismology issues primarily related to operating plants. (88650)
- Review of generic geology and seismology issues primarily related to construction permit and operating license case reviews. (88662)
- Advisory review of generic and regional siting issues by a group of , experts involved in geological, geophysical, and seismological investigations. (88643)

PROCEDURES FOR PLACING WORK ASSIGNMENTS UNDER THIS INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT

- 1) When a Work Assignment develops, the Project Officer shall contact the Principal Investigator at USGS solely to determine the availability of personnel to perform the work and to discuss the level of effort (costs) and the period of performance required to complete the assignment.
- Upon receipt of the Work Assignment from the Project Officer that describes in Statement of Work format what is to be done, the Contracting Officer shall issue the Work Assignment authorizing start of work and establishing a cost ceiling for that assignment. Funds for the Work Assignment will be obligated commensurate with the cost ceiling or may be obligated incrementally. Each Work Assignment will be consecutively numbered and will reference the appropriate FIN and B&R number.
- Within 15 working days from receipt of the Work Assignment USGS shall acknowledge receipt of the Work Assignment noting any disagreement with the work, schedule, or costs. If disagreement exists, the USGS response shall include any proposed changes. After reaching agreement with USGS, the Contracting Officer shall issue a final authorization. A copy of the USGS correspondence shall be provided to the Director, Division of Engineering, NRR, NRR.

LEVEL OF EFFORT AND PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The overall level of effort is estimated at 4.8 staff years over a 3 year period.

FY83 FY84 FY85 FY86 1.8 staff-years 1.3 staff-year 1.0 staff-year 0.7 staff-year

Note: the exact number and scheduling of Nork Assignments that will be placed under this IA has not yet been determined. No specific amount of work is guaranteed. NRC shall be obligated to pay only for work actually ordered and performed as mutually agreed upon.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

 Technical reporting requirements will be specified at the time the Work Assignment is issued.

Copy for hop 2 11-2-33

2. Business Letter Report

A business letter report is to be submitted to the Project Officer with copies to the Contracting Officer, D.C.; to the Director, Division of Engineering, ATTN: E. Pentecost; to R. Jackson, GSB/DE and to B. L. Grenier, NRR in accordance with the schedule specified in each Work Assignment. These reports will identify the FIN, the Principal Investigator, the period of performance and the reporting period.

Unless otherwise specified, the business letter report shall contain a brief summary of the work performed, problems encountered or anticipated and recommend resolution, the amount of professional staff years expended, and the amount of funds expended during the period and, if appropriate, cumulative to date.

For purposes of license fee recovery, the business letter report for Work Assignments placed under FINS B8633 and B8663 shall include the total amount of funds expended (costed) during the period and, if appropriate, cumulative to date for each reactor facility reviewed and he reported on a separate page in the following format:

FIN:

Title:

Period:

Facility Name

Facility Docket No.

Number²

Costs
Period Cumulative

If the recommended resolution involves a Work Assignment modification, i.e., change in work requirements, level of effort (costs) or period of performance, a separate letter should be prepared and submitted to the Contracting Officer with copies provided to the Director, Division of Engineering, ATTN: E. Pentecost, the NRC Project Manager and to B. L. Grenier, NRR.

² For reactor facilities under FIN B8663 only.

Note: The facility name of docket number and, if appropriate, TAC number will be provided in the Work Assignment.

MEETINGS AND TRAVEL

Meeting and travel requirements will be identified at the time the Work Assignment is issued.

NRC FURNISHED MATERIALS

Materials and documents required to perform the work will be identified and forwarded to the USGS at the time the Work Assignment is issued.

WORK ASSIGNMENT 1

Nuclear Advisory Group (NAG)

FIN: B8643

B&R: 20-19-40-42-2

Technical Monitor: Robert Jackson

Background

The USGS conducts and keeps abreast of research and investigations relevant to geologic and seismic hazards of nuclear facilities sites, current general earth science problems, and approaches to siting of critical facilities.

Work Requirements

1. The USGS shall designate an informal internal group of its personnel who are involved in geological, geophysical, and seismological investigations relevant to siting of nuclear facilities to act in an advisory capacity to the staff on generic and regional siting issues.

The Nuclear Advisory Group will communicate to the staff the results of on-going USGS research and investigations relevant to environmental safety of nuclear facility sites, review with and advise the staff on its current general earth science problems and approaches to siting, and provide a forum for exchange of other information related to facilities siting and operation. This advisory group will not function in the review of license applications for specific sites, and of USGS positions on specific sites, and it will not provide written reviews of USGS positions on specific site-related matters, although individual function in these capacities as determined by the USGS. The Nuclear Advisory Group shall meet with the NRC staff annually to review on-going USGS investigations relevant to nuclear facility siting and discussion of generic siting issues. A meeting summary containing conclusions reached concerning non-site-related issues will be furnished to the NRC 3 weeks following committee meetings.

Level of Effort and Period of Performance

The level of effort is estimated at 1.3 staff years over a 3 1/2 year period. (FY83; .4 staff-yr; FY84, .4 staff-yr; FY85; .3 staff-yr; FY86, .2 staff-yr) One meeting is planned for each year.

Reporting Requirements

- Within 3 weeks following each meeting, a letter report that contains conclusions reached concerning non-site-related issues will be furnished to the Project Officer.
- The business letter report should be submitted on a quarterly basis.

Meetings and Travel

It is anticipated that the annual NAG meetings will take place near USGS facilities and 4 NRC staff will participate.

NRC Furnished Materials

None

WORK ASSIGNMENT 2

V. C. Summer (Docket No. 50-395)

FIN: B8633

B&R: 20-19-40-41-2

Background

In the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board's Partial Initial Decision on the V. C. Summer Nuclear Station, issued July 20, 1982, two license conditions were stated. One condition was a requirement to complete during the first year of operation of the plant a confirmatory program to establish, "within the guidelines established in the findings," that safety margins exist for plant equipment and components in the event of future shallow reservoir-induced earthquakes. The Licensee has submitted a Seismic Confirmatory Program for Equipment and Components. This program purposes to establish, via a series of tests, that a reduction in high-frequency ground motion can reasonably be expected from the accelerometer pad on the abutment of Fairfield Dam to the foundations of the nuclear plant structures. The response of the accelerometer pad-soil system will be determined by low-and high-level dynamic shaking tests and appropriate analytical calculations. The reductions in ground motion from the free-field, soil surface to the building foundations, on rock, will be determined by the simultaneous recordings of ground motion at free-field and foundation sites, using buried explosives as seismic sources. The combined pad-to-soil and soil-to-foundation reductions factors will be applied to the envelope of spectra of shallow reservoir-induced earthquakes that already have been recorded on the accelerometer pad; the Board endorsed this envelope as an appropriate representation of ground motion that might result from future shallow, reservoir-induced events.

Objective

The Geosciences Branch objectives test procedures so as to maximize the usefullness of the results and to assess the validity of the Licensee's conclusions. The USGS is to provide technical advice to the staff durings it review.

Work Requirements

Estimated Completion Date

5/83

1.	Comment on proposed field test possible ambiguities in test results and any possible improvements.				
2.	Advise on technical validity of				

Licensee's data analysis and

interpretation.

5/83

11-2-

Level of Effort and Period of Performance

The level of effort is estimated at .2 staff-yr over a 3 month period.

Reporting Requirements

- Most of the initial USGS input will be in the form of verbal communications between G. Noel Bycroft and William Joyner of the USGS, Seismic Engineering Branch, and Jerry King of the NRC, Geosciences Branch. A letter report that includes a technical assessment of the Licensee's test results, data analysis, and interpretations will be submitted to the Project Officer upon completion of the effort.
- The business letter report should be submitted on a quarterly basis and upon completion of this effort.

Meetings and Travel

One meeting of one person in Bethesda.

NRC Furnished Materials

None

WORK ASSIGNMENT 3

Washington Nuclear 3 (50-508)

Technical Monitor: R. B. McMullen

FIN: B8633

B&R: 20-19-40-41-2

Background

The Washington Public Power Supply System (WPPSS) has applied for an operating license for Unit 3 near Olympia, Washington. The NRC staff is just beginning its review. We request that the USGS undertake a complete geological and seismological review of this site and advise us as to the adequacy of the proposed seismic design bases.

Work Recuirements

Estimated Completion Date

1. Review and evaluate the information contained in the FSAR. Meet with the NRC staff, the applicant and consultants. Review literature and new articles on regional geology seismology and tectonics, including the seismogenic potential of the Juan de Fuca/North America plate boundary. Prepare recommendations for requests for additional information as required.

6/83

LEVEL OF EFFORT AND PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The level of effort is estimated at .8 staff-yr over a 4 month period.

Reporting Requirements

Upon completion of subtask 1 submit a letter report to the Project Officer that includes recommendations for requests for additional information required from the applicant.

A business letter report will be submitted upon completion of this effort.

Meetings and Travel

USGS personnel (2) will be required to participate in two review meetings at the site and one 5-person review meeting in Bethesda.

NRC Furnished Materials

None

1 -35