

FEB 6 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: Thomas W. Bishop, Director
Division of Resident, Reactor Projects & Engineering Programs
NRC Region V

FROM: Karl V. Seyfrit, Chief
Reactor Operations Analysis Branch
Office for Analysis and Evaluation
of Operational Data

SUBJECT: SALP INPUT FOR PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR
THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1983 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 1984

AEOD reviewed 38 LERs from the Diablo Canyon Unit 1 in support of the ongoing SALP review. Our review concentrated on completeness, accuracy, and consistency of the submitted information. We found no significant report deficiencies.

A summary of the criteria used and the findings subject to those criteria is attached for your information. If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact either myself or Wayne Lanning of my staff. Mr. Lanning can be reached at (301) 492-4433.

"Original Signed by:
K. V. SEYFRIT"

Karl V. Seyfrit, Chief
Reactor Operations Analysis Branch
Office for Analysis and Evaluation
of Operational Data

Attachment:
As stated

DISTRIBUTION:

DCS
AEOD CF
ROAB CF
ROAB RF
WLanning
KVSeifrit
TAIpolito
CJHeltemes

8406120521 XA
CF/AR ODU 50-275

A/4/37

OFFICE		ROAB	ROAB:CS		
SURNAME		WLanning/cj	KVSeifrit		
DATE		2/7/84	2/7/84		



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

FEB 6 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: Thomas W. Bishop, Director
Division of Resident, Reactor Projects & Engineering Programs
NRC Region V

FROM: Karl V. Seyfrit, Chief
Reactor Operations Analysis Branch
Office for Analysis and Evaluation
of Operational Data

SUBJECT: SALP INPUT FOR PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR
THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1983 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 1984

AEOD reviewed 38 LERs from the Diablo Canyon Unit 1 in support of the ongoing SALP review. Our review concentrated on completeness, accuracy, and consistency of the submitted information. We found no significant report deficiencies.

A summary of the criteria used and the findings subject to those criteria is attached for your information. If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact either myself or Wayne Lanning of my staff. Mr. Lanning can be reached at (301) 492-4433.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Karl V. Seyfrit".

Karl V. Seyfrit, Chief
Reactor Operations Analysis Branch
Office for Analysis and Evaluation
of Operational Data

Attachment:
As stated

Diablo Canyon Unit 1

AEOD found 38 LERs and two revisions in the NRC Document Control System for the January 1, 1983 to January 31, 1984 assessment period. There were two special reports submitted during this period. The largest percentage of events (37%) was attributed to personnel error. The "other" category accounted for 24% of the events. Twenty-one percent of the reports were due to component failures and five percent were due to design, manufacturing, or construction errors. Ten percent of the events were due to deficient procedures. Three percent of the events were attributable to external causes. Based on the review of the available reports, our findings are as follows.

1. LER Completeness

- a. Was the information given sufficient to provide a good understanding of the event?

In general, sufficient information was given to clearly and adequately describe the event. The licensee interpreted and complied with the intent of the procedures of NUREG-0161.

- b. Were the LERs coded correctly?

All of the entries reviewed appeared to be essentially correct and the codes agreed with the information in the narrative descriptions.

- c. Was supplementary information provided when needed?

One-half of the 38 LERs reviewed included supplemental information. This additional information routinely clarified the information in the LER. The lack of supplemental information for the other LERs did not inhibit the reader's understanding of the event.

- d. When follow-up reports are promised, are they delivered?

Updated LERs were provided when promised.

- e. Were similar occurrences adequately referenced?

Similar occurrences and repetitive events were accurately referenced. No significant repetitive or unsolved problems were found.

2. Multiple Event Reporting in a Single LER

In general, no multiple events were reported in a single LER that should have been reported in separate LERs.

3. Prompt Notification Follow-Up Reports

Prompt Notification reports were followed up by LERs.

4. Other

We compared the Preliminary Notifications issued by Region V to the LERs issued by the licensee to ascertain whether the licensee is reporting all events that should be reported. PNO-V-83-53 and PNO-V-83-56 reported inadvertent draining of the condensate storage tank and an inadvertent safety injection, respectively. We did not find LERs reporting these events. We suggest that the Region ascertain whether these were reportable occurrences, if this determination has not already been made. Finally, we could not identify or locate LER 83-029 in the Document Control System.