# U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION 111

Report No. 030-31268/92-002(DRSS)

Docket No. 030-31268

License No. 13-23425-01

Licensee: Howard, Needles, Tammen & Bergendoff (HNTB)

111 Monument Drive Indianapolis, Indiana

Teleconference Meeting Conducted: August 18, 1992

Type of Meeting: Enforcement Conference

Inspection Conducted: Onsite July 13 and 15, 1992

In-office review of additional material on July 23,

and August 27, 1992.

Inspector:

W. P. Reichhold

Radiation Specialist

4 September 1992 Date

Reviewed By:

B. J. Holt, Chief

Nuclear Materials Inspection Section 1

Approved By:

J. A. Grobe, Chief

Nuclear Materials Safety Branch

Date 9 /992

# Meeting Summary

Enforcement Conference on August 18, 1992, (Report No. 030-31268/92-002(DRSS)) Areas Discussed: A review of the apparent violations and areas of concern identified during the inspection, and corrective actions taken or planned by the licensee. The enforcement options pertaining to the apparent violations were also discussed with the licensee.

#### DETAILS

# 1. Conference Participants

#### HNTB

R. Ward, P.E., Associate

M. Gardner, Radiation Protection Officer (RPO)

## U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III

W. L. Axelson, Deputy Director, Division or Badiation Safety and Safeguards

R. W. DeFayette, Director, Enforcement and Investigation Coordination Staff

B. A. Berson Regional Counsel

B. J. Holt, Chief, Nuclear Materials Inspection Section 1

W. P. Reichhold, Radiation Specialist

### 2. Enforcement Conference Summary

A telephone enforcement conference was conducted on August 18, 1992 as a result of the preliminary findings of the inspection conducted on July 13, 15, 23, and August 27, 1992, in which apparent violations of NRC regulations and license conditions were identified. Inspection findings are documented in Inspection Report No. 030-31268/92-001(DRSS), transmitted to the licensee on August 14, 1992.

The purpose of this conference was to (1) discuss the apparent violations, causes, and the licensee's corrective actions; (2) discuss several areas of concern; (3) determine if there were any escalating or mitigating circumstances; and (4) obtain any information which would help determine the appropriate enforcement action.

The licensee's representatives did not contest any of the apparent violations and were in agreement with the NRC's understanding of the areas of concern. On August 18, 1992, prior to the enforcement conference, the licensee transmitted three documents to the NRC Region III office providing additional information in response to the apparent violations (See attachment 1).

The licensee's representatives described the events which led to the violations, including root cause(s) and corrective action(s) taken. In summary, the corrective actions were (1) gauge users were instructed to maintain control over moisture/density (m/d) gauges at temporary job sites; (2) gauge users were instructed to check vehicles for contamination prior to moving them, if the vehicles were involved in an accident with an m/d gauge; (3) m/d gauges at temporary jobsites will be secured in storage areas controlled by the licensee; (4) new m/d gauge users will be required to complete the manufacturer's training course before they use the gauge; (5) leak tests due dates will be kept on file

and a sticker indicating when the next leak test is due will be put on the m/d gauge's storage container; and (6) the new storage area for m/d gauges and the new RPO were approved by license Amendment 1 issued on August 13, 1992.

During our discussion of the corrective actions there was some confusion about the following items:

- a. Issuance of the licensee's letter dated November 27, 1991, requesting an amendment to change the RPO and storage location.
- b. The supervision provided to an unauthorized individual using a m/d gauge.
- c. The access to the keys for the trailer's storage room at the Evanston Avenue jobsite.

These issues were clarified in letter dated August 27, 1992 signed by Roger Ward (see attachment 2).

At the conclusion of the meeting, the licensee was informed that it would be notified in the near future of the final enforcement action.

Attachments: As stated



#### HOWARD NEEDLES TAMMEN & SERGENCOFF ARCHITECTS ENGINEERS PLANNERS

111 Monument Circle Indianopolis, Indiana 66204-5178 (317) 636-4682 MAX (317) 633-0505

November 27, 1991

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III Materials Licensing Section Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Attention: Mr. Kevin G. Null

Re: Amendment to Materials License #13-23425-01

Dear Mr. Null:

We are writing to you in regards to modifications to our Materials License for Nuclear Moisture/Density Gauges. The following is a list of items for modification:

- Item No. 10 Change of address and location map: 111 Monument Circle, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-5178.
- Item No. 11.B Radiation Pretaction Officer: Mr. Monte A. Gardner, P.E.

If you have any questions, feel free to contact me at (317) 636-4682.

Very truly yours,

HOWARD NEEDLES TAMMEN & BERGENDOFF!

Morte A. Gardner, P.E. Construction Manager

MAG/mam

Enclosures

A Johann Channe T common RD Denne of Cough Re John L Coston RE Rosers S. Common RE. Denne R. Denne R. Coston RE Denne S. Coston RE Roser RE. Coston RE Roser RE. Coston Re. Cost

Définer Sins Pois VA ACCORA CA BUSIN MUNICIPALIES AN DEPOSIT ON THEORY AS COMMENTS DE SUPPLIES DE DESCRIPTION DE PROPERT DE PROPERT

MEM 56 page 7



P.O. Box 12057, 3008 Cormealls Pd. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, U.S.A.

Device - Model # 3 4 4 0 , Serial # 18334 Source(s) - Serial # 50 7835 , Serial # 4 7 3 7 8 3 Date of Test: 7 - 25 - 9

# Please print legibly and firmly. This is your return address label.

- · HNTB
- . 225 M. NEW JERSEY BT
- · INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46294

Your Name: MONTE GARONER Telephone: (317) 636-4682

ORIGINAL COPY

Rec. 8/6/9/

#### LEAK TEST ANALYSIS

This certifies that the sample accompanying this form has been analyzed using an approved monitoring method that measures both beta/gamma & alpha contamination; and, that the resuits of this analysis shows the removable activity to be less than 0.005 microcuries.

7-31-91

# FROM KRA Field Book Log

| MINDER SE PAGE   | HOWING DAT 6 9   |
|--|--|
| Augustical Charles College Col | WEAR TO DATE - 66 - 60 SACON ID AV   |
| schedule commitments in process?   | YEAR TO DATE AS TO MONDAY  |
| KONDANO CONTESTIBLIAN IN THE STATE OF THE ST |  |
| And the state of the same of t | JOB NO. APPOINTMENTS/EVENTS/CALLS  |
| EVENTS   | 7AM  |
|  | / A.M. 1   |
| Sale Ucassies  | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH |
| Deport Upokales  | Commence of the commence of th |
| nge Proposition  | BAM 1  |
| Book'd (Authority KO)  | and the second s |
| www.mationthaltuctions   | The second secon |
| A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR | 9AM  |
| CLY CLARY  |  |
| BALL MAG About use of  |  |
| guage might weed Tuesday.  | 10AM_  |
| E STATE OF THE STA | TOWN 1   |
| MAG will not be in get   | The state of the s |
| they from personnel. Take  | And the second s |
| Pleas test   | 11AM   |
| TE TELEVISION OF THE PARTY OF T | and the same of th |
| Parklens with Man prups  |  |
| Pachlem's worth many property  | 12HOOK   |
| After well be in tomorrow  |  |
| <u> </u>   |  |
| The second secon | 1 <sub>PM</sub>  |
|  | TPM  |
|  |  |
|  | And the second s |
|  | 2PM  |
|  | and the second second second second  |
| A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR |  |
| Annual Control of the | 3PM.   |
|  |  |
| Control of the Contro | W-11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-  |
| The same to the sa | A  |
| WEATHER/TEMP. 8. 17. 390   | 4PM  |
| WEATHER/TEMP. 8. 17.00 47. 390   | J  |
| The case of beautiful drawn controls quantities and a state of participation of the state of the | The second secon |
| EXPENSES   | 5PM  |
| Market Control of the |  |
| ( and the second |  |
|  | 6PM.   |
| FERRINATE MARCH S M S M S  | 1 CPM.)  |
|  |  |
| WELL STORY S | B  |
| **********   |  |



#### HOWARD NEEDLES TAMMEN & BERGENOOFF ARCHITECTS ENGINEERS PLANNERS

144 Montoment Circle
Indianapsilis, Indiana
2020 (\$172
(\$177 (\$100))
(\$3.77 (\$100))

August 27, 1992

Mr. W. P. Reichholl U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Reosevelt Road Clen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Re: NRC Inspection Report No. 030-31268/92001(DRSS)

Dear Mr. Reichhold:

This letter serves to confirm our conversation on August 19, 1992, concerning the above referenced inspection report.

On August 18, 1992, we participated with you and other representatives of the NRC in a three-way telephone conference call discussion of the inspection report. The discussion of three particular issues seemed confused. Therefore, we wish to clarify these issues with this letter.

Issue:

HNTB letter dated November 27, 1991, requesting amendment to license to change storage location.

Response:

We have searched our records, and find no evidence that the letter had been prepared and mailed on or about November 27, 1991.

Issue:

Supervision of Doug Crume (unauthorized user) by Doug Keith (authorized user)

Response:

When Mr. Crume was hired by HNTB, we had assumed that Mr. Crume was authorized to use the m/d gauge because he had used the gauge for his previous employer at the Lafayette job site. In October 1991, a m/d gauge was first brought to the Lafayette job site for use. Both Mr. Keith and Mr. Crume used the gauge together for several days after which Mr. Keith was satisfied that Mr. Crume knew how to operate the gauge. The gauge was returned to Indianapolis. In June 1992, a new gauge was brought to the Lafayette job site and was used exclusively by Mr. Crume without the supervision of Mr. Keith.

THE CORRESPONDED TO A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF

Appendix of the control of the contr

Mr. W.P. Reichhold August 27, 1992 Page 2

. ssue:

Key access to m/d gauge at the Evanston Avenue job site

Response:

The m/d gauge was stored in one room of a two-room trailer. Each room had an outside door and a common interconnecting interior door. Each door of the trailer was keylocked. The m/d gauge was stored with other equipment belonging to the Indianapolis Department of Public Works (DPW). Mr. Reinhart, a DPW employee, always had keys to both doors of the room which stored the m/d gauge because Mr. Reinhart required access to the other equipment stored with the m/d gauge. Mr. Reinhart did not have, and was never given, the key to the padlock on the source rod of the m/d gauge.

We apologize for the confusion on these issues.

Please call if additional information is needed.

Very truly yours,

HOWARD NEEDLES TAMMEN & BERGENDOFF

Ruger C. Ward, P.E.

Associate

RCW/jsb

cc: Monte A. Gardner, HNTB