

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAY 2 9 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR:

George W. Knighton, Chief

Licensing Branch No. 3, DL

FROM:

Frank J. Congel, Chief

Radiological Assessment Branch, DSI

SUBJECT:

SALP INPUT FOR SHEARON HARRIS - 1

As requested in your memo of May 21, 1984 to Shearon Harris reviewers, the Radiological Assessment Branch evaluated Shearon Harris' performances for the SALP for the period 02/01/83 to 04/30/84 as shown in the attachment to this memo. This evaluation also supplements our (Congel to Knighton) SSER input to you "Shearon Harris SSER for Section 12.5.1 - Radiation Protection Manager" dated May 15, 1984.

Our findings are based on written communications and phone conversation with CP&L and Region II staffs. Communiques between RAB and your office have also influenced our findings. Overall, we find the applicant to be doing very well in some areas, but not responsive in a timely manner in others. Our evalution is shown in the attachment to relevant licensing activities.

This review was performed by S. Block, RPS/RAB.

Frank J. Congel, Chief

Radiological Assessment Branch Division of Systems Integration

Frank J. Coyl

Attachment: As stated


cc: R. Mattson

D. Muller

B. Buckley

0. Lych

S. Block



Evaluation Matrix Shearon Harris - SALP

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Responsiveness - Category 2

This rating was given based on the interactions between staff and CP&L on the qualifications of their proposed Radiation Protection Manager. Satisfactory Resolution was attributed to the applicant eventually providing very detailed data on the applicant's radiation protection experience.

Staffing - Category 2

Since the applicant did finally appreciate that the Radiation Protection Manager is an important member of the Shearon Harris staff, resolution of his status, as described above, although protracted, was resolved satisfactory.

Management Involvement - Category 2

Appropriate levels of corporate management were involved in the relevant issues in question to ensure management review.

Approach to Resolution of Technical Issues - Category 1

Response of CP&L to Shearon Harris hearing contentions were sound and thorough.

				THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.				
			EVALI	EVALUATION CRITERIA	, VI			
EVIEW BRANCH	REVIEWER	MANAGEMENT INVOLVEMENT	APPROACH TO	RESPONSIVE -	1 2	REPORTABLE	CTAFFTING) [
1000			11	IIESS	HISTORY	EVENTS	SINFFIRE	T R.A.
she ada.	,							
exiliany Systems								
nc odstemo								
e Performance					1	1		
sident Evaluation								
D						1	1	
Treat ment								
Hological Assess. S	S. Block	@	0	8	(4/4)	100	6	1
nan Factors)		Ó	4/4
יחשב מחשו.				-		1	1	
- 976 -								
1								
			-				The real Property lies and the least lies and the lies and the least lies and the least lies and the least lies and the least lies and the lies and the least lies an	