# Attachment 1

١

Proposed Amendments to Catawba Unit 1 Proof and Review Technical Specifications Concerning Diesel Fuel Oil Surveillance Requirements

1

PROOF & REVIEW COPY

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- Verifying the fuel level in the fuel storage tank.
- Verifying the fuel transfer valve can be operated to allow fuel to be transferred from the storage system to the day tank.
- 4) Verifying the diesel starts from ambient condition and accelerates to at least 427 rpm in less than or equal to 11 seconds. The generator voltage and frequency shall be 4160 + 420 volts and 60 + 1.2 Hz within 11 seconds after the start signal. The diesel generator shall be started for this test by using one of the following signals:
  - a) Manual, or
  - b) Simulated loss of offsite power by itself, or
  - Simulated loss of offsite power in conjunction with an ESF Actuation test signal, or
  - d) An ESF Actuation test signal by itself.
- 5) Verifying the generator is synchronized, loaded to greater than or equal to 7000 kW in less than or equal to 60 seconds, and operates for at least 60 minutes, and
- Verifying the diesel generator is aligned to provide standby power to the associated emergency busses.
- b. At least once per 31 days and after each operation of the diesel where the period of operation was greater than or equal to 1 hour by checking for and removing accumulated water from the day tank;
- At least once per 31 days by checking for and removing accumulated water from the fuel oil storage tanks;

At least once per 92 days and from new fuel oil prior to its addition to the storage tanks by verifying that a sample obtained in accordance with ASTM-D270-1975 meets the following minimum requirements in accordance with the tests specified in ASTM-D975-1977:

 A water and sediment content of less than or equal to 0.05 volume percent;

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- d. By sampling new fuel oil in accordance with ASTM D4057-81 prior to addition to the storage tanks and:
  - By verifying in accordance with the tests specified in ASTM D975-81 prior to addition to the storage tanks that the sample has:
    - a) An API Gravity of within 0.3 degrees at 60°F or a specific gravity of within 0.0016 at 60/60°F, when compared to the supplier's certificate or an absolute specific gravity at 60/60°F of greater than or equal to 0.83 but less than or equal to 0.89 or an API gravity at 60°F of greater than or equal to 27 degrees but less than or equal to 39 degrees.
    - b) A kinematic viscosity at 40°C of greater than or equal to 1.9 centistokes, but less than or equal to 4.1 centistokes, if gravity was not determined by comparison with the supplier's certification,
    - c) A flash point equal to or greater than 125°F, and
    - A clear and bright appearance with proper color when tested in accordance with ASTM D4176-82.
  - 2) By verifying within 31 days of obtaining the sample that the other properties specified in Table 1 of ASTM D975-81 are met when tested in accordance with ASTM D975-81 except that the analysis for sulfur may be performed in accordance with ASTM D1552-79 or ASTM D2622-82.

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

e. At least once every 31 days by obtaining a sample of fuel oil from the storage tanks in accordance with ASTM D2276-78, and verifying that total particulate contamination is less than 10 mg/liter when checked in accordance with ASTM D2276-78, Method A.

PROOF & REVIEW COPY

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- 2) A kinematic viscosity at 40°C of greater than or equal to 1.9 centistokes, but less than or equal to 4.1 centistokes;
- 3) A specific gravity as specified by the manufacturer at 60/60°F of greater than or equal to 0.83 but less than or equal to 0.89 or an API gravity at 60°F of greater than or equal to 27 degrees but less than or equal to 39 degrees;
- An impurity level of less than 2 mg. of insolubles per 100 ml. when tested in accordance with ASTM-D2274-70; analysis shall be completed within 7 days after obtaining the sample but may be performed after the addition of new fuel oil; and

5) The other properties specified in Table 1 of ASTM-D975-1977 and Regulatory Guide 1.137, Revision 1, October 1979, Position 2.a., when tested in accordance with ASTM-D975-1977; analysis shall be completed within 14 days after obtaining the sample but may be performed after the addition of new fuel oil.

# At least once per 18 months, during shutdown, by:

- Subjecting the diesel to an inspection in accordance with procedures prepared in conjunction with its manufacturer's recommendations for this class of standby service;
- Verifying the generator capability to reject a load of greater than or equal to 825 kW while maintaining voltage at 4160 ± 420 volts and frequency at 60 ± 1.2 Hz;
- 3) Verifying the generator capability to reject a load of 7000 kW without tripping. The generator speed shall not exceed 500 rpm during and following the load rejection:
- Simulating a loss-of-offsite power by itself, and:
  - Verifying deenergization of the emergency busses and load shedding from the emergency busses, and
  - b) Verifying the diesel starts on the auto-start signal, energizes the emergency busses with permanently connected loads within 11 seconds, energizes the auto-connected blackout loads through the load sequencer and operates for greater than or equal to 5 minutes while its generator is loaded with the blackout loads. After energization, the

ff.

91

PROOF & REVIEW COPY

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

At least once per 10 years or after any modifications which could affect diesel generator interdependence by starting both diesel generators simultaneously, during shutdown, and verifying that both diesel generators accelerate to at least 427 rpm in less than or equal to 11 seconds; and

h 1. At least once per 10 years by:

- Draining each fuel oil storage tank, removing the accumulated sediment and cleaning the tank using a sodium hypochlorite solution or its equivalent, and
- Performing a pressure test of those portions of the diesel fuel oil system designed to Section III, subsection ND of the ASME Code at a test pressure equal to 110% of the system design pressure.

4.8.1.1.3 <u>Reports</u> - All diesel generator failures, valid or non-valid, shall be reported in a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within 30 days. Reports of diesel generator failures shall include the information recommended in Regulatory Position C.3.b of Regulatory Guide 1.108, Revision 1, August 1977. If the number of failures in the last 100 valid tests (on a per nuclear unit basis) is greater than or equal to 7, the report shall be supplemented to include the additional information recommended in Regulatory Position C.3.b of Regulatory Guide 1.108, Revision 1, August 1977.

4.8.1.1.4 <u>Diesel Generator Batteries</u> - Each diesel generator 125-volt battery bank and charger shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 7 days by verifying that:
  - 1) The electrolyte level of each battery is above the plates, and
  - 2) The overall battery voltage is  $\geq$  125 volts under a float charge.
- b. At least once per 18 months by verifying that:
  - The batteries, cell plates and battery racks show no visual indication of physical damage or abnormal deterioration,
  - The cell-to-cell and terminal connections are clean, tight, free of corrosion and coated with anticorrosion material, and
  - 3) The battery capacity is adequate to supply and maintain its emergency loads in OPERABLE status when subjected to a battery service test.





#### Attachrent 2

#### Justification and Safety Analysis

The proposed amendments would change the surveillance requirements for diesel fuel in the Catawba Unit 1 Technical Specifications. In general, the proposed changes involve replacing fuel oil tests currently required by the Technical Specifications with different tests which are: (1) more effective in detecting unsatisfactory fuel oil, and (2) simpler and less expensive to perform. The proposed changes are based upon a program of surveillance requirements developed by Kurt H. Strauss, a petroleum fuels consultant. Attachment 4 contains a report by Mr. Strauss which describes and justifies the proposed surveillance requirements. Attachment 5 describes Mr. Strauss's qualifications.

The basic intent of the proposed surveillance requirements is discussed below:

- a) New fuel should be tested prior to addition to the storage tanks to detect contamination with other fuels which could occur after leaving the refinery. Fuel leaving the refinery is generally good quality and must meet ASTM standards; however, as a double-check, the proposed Technical Specifications would require verifying ASTM-D975-81 is met for new fuel. To ensure that all likely sources of contamination are detected, some different tests are proposed.
- b) Fuels in storage should be tested periodically to detect degradation. Only those parameters which can change during storage need to be tested.
- c) Periodic testing for particulates formed in storage should concentrate on the actual particulate contamination. The accelerated oxidation stability test (ASTM D 2274-70) is currently required to be performed for new fuel and every 92 days for stored fuel. This test provides a rough prediction of the tendency of the fuel to oxidize and form particulates during storage. It does not indicate actual particulate contamination. In addition, ASTM D 975-81 states, "Correlations (of Method D2274 results) with fuel suitability are tenuous." Finally, the ASTM D2274-70 test involves significant cost and a significant administrative burden. The test must be performed by an outside laboratory because facilities are not available to perform the test on site and because it is considered a significant fire hazard. (The test involves bubbling pure oxygen for several hours through a filtered fuel oil sample heated to 203°F -significantly greater than the flash point.)

In lieu of the accelerated oxidation stability test, a test for actual particulate contamination, ASTM D2276-78, is proposed. This test would be performed every 31 days for fuel in storage -- more frequently than the current test frequency for the accelerated oxidation stability test. Since formation of particulates during storage at ambient temperatures (note that the Catawba tanks are underground) is a relatively slow process, the 31-day test frequency will ensure early detection of particulates. This test need not be performed on new fuel receipts because the Clear and Bright test (ASTM D4176-82) detects particulates in new fuel. The ASTM D2276-78 test is a relatively simple test which can be performed on site. The following is a summary of the specific changes proposed. Justification for these changes is discussed in more detail in the attached report by Mr. Strauss:

- a) Replace the Water and Sediment test (by centrifuge) with the Clear and Bright test (ASTM D4176-82).
- b) Add a flash point test for new fuel.
- c) Add an option to verify fuel gravity by testing and comparing with supplier's certification. The viscosity test would be required only if gravity was determined without comparison to a supplier's certification.
- d) Extend the time limit for obtaining ASTM D975-81 test results from 14 days to 31 days.
- Delete the requirement to perform ASTM D975-77 testing every 92 days for fuel in storage. This includes water and sediment, viscosity, and gravity.
- f) Substitute ASTM D2276-78 performed every 31 days to detect particulate contamination in lieu of ASTM D2274-70, accelerated oxidation stability test, performed every 92 days and on new fuel.
- g) Allow sulfur analysis (ASTM D975-81) to be performed in accordance with ASTM D1552-79 or ASTM D2622-82.
- h) Update the Technical Specifications to use current ASTM standards specifically, ASTM D4057-81 instead of ASTM D270-1975. Also, reference the 1981 version of ASTM D975.

When considering the relative safety of these proposed fuel oil surveillance requirements, it should be noted that severe degradation of the fuel which could affect engine performance would be detectable during the periodic tests on the engine which are performed at least once per 31 days. For example, particulate contamination severe enough to plug the filters in a short time would be detected. It should also be noted that two filters in parallel are provided to allow filter replacement while the diesel engine is operating.

Duke Power believes that the proposed amendments would establish surveillance requirements which are effective in ensuring the quality of the diesel fuel while being less expensive and less burdensome.

### Attachment 3

#### Analysis of Significant Hazards Consideration

The proposed amendments would replace fuel oil tests currently required by the Technical Specifications with different tests which are more effective for ensuring quality fuel oil. These changes are briefly discussed below:

- The proposed testing requirements would improve the capability to detect delivery of diese! fuel contaminated with gasoline or jet fuel (JP-4) by adding a test for flash point.
- The proposed Clear and Bright test is more sensitive for detecting water and sediment than the test which is currently required.
- 3) The accelerated oxidation stability test which predicts the tendency of the fuel to form particulates during storage would be replaced by a different test performed more frequently which measures actual particulates in the fuel.
- 4) Because proposed tests for incoming fuel shipments will ensure its quality, periodic testing would only be required for the parameters which can change during storage. Thus, certain test requirements would be deleted.
- 5) Because of the high degree of protection obtained by the tests on incoming fuel prior to addition to the storage tanks, the proposed relaxation of the time limit for complete fuel specification testing from 14 days to 31 days is insignificant.
- 6) Since comparative gravity, as proposed, can detect contamination by jet fuel (Jet A) and other types of contamination are detected by tests other than viscosity, viscosity testing is not required if gravity is determined using this method.
- Under the proposed amendments, analysis for sulfur using either of three generally accepted methods would be allowed.
- Administrative changes would be made to reference up-to-date industry standards.

With one exception, the changes described above involve either adding surveillance tests or replacing tests with others which are at least as effective. The exception (item 4) involves deleting tests which are not meaningful because the parameters tested do not change during storage. Thus, the net effect of the proposed changes would be to increase safety by establishing surveillance requirements which would be more effective for ensuring quality fuel oil. Attachment 4

1

N 2

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR EMERGENCY DIFSEL FUEL OIL SYSTEMS IN NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

Prepared for SNUPPS

by

Kurt H. Strauss, Consultant

September 23, 1983

116 Hooker Avenue

\$31205059

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

\*. - <u>.</u> \* -

I. Introduction	Page No.
II. Proposed Surveillance Requirements	2
III. Rationale for Proposed Surveillance Requirements	. 3
A. Basic Premise	3
B. Surveillance of Deliveries	4
1. Selection of Inspection Tests	4
2. Reasons for Selection of Inspection Tests	5
3. Modifications of Specification Test Methods	7
C. Surveillance of Fuel in Storage	8
D. Changes from NRC Surveillance Requirements	10
E. Bibliography	13

## I. INTRODUCTION

On July 19, 1983 the writer was requested by Standardised Nuclear Unit Power Plant System (SNUPPS) of Rockville, Maryland to review the surveillance requirements of the Emergency Diesel Fuel Oil System as stated in Standard Technical Specification 4.8.1.1.2 in the light of other government and industry fuel quality control procedures. The writer was further requested to recommend possible revisions which would result in a prudent and complete surveillance program. This report is in reply to the SNUPPS request.

#### II. PROPOSED SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.8.1.1.2.d. By sampling new fuel oil in accordance with ASTM D4057 prior to addition to storage tanks and:

- (1) By verifying in accordance with the tests specified in ASTM D975-81 prior to addition to the storage tanks that the sample has:
  - (a) An API Gravity of within 0.3 degrees at  $60^{\circ}F$  or a specific gravity of within 0.0016 at  $60/60^{\circ}F$ , when compared to the supplier's certificate or an absolute specific gravity at  $60/60^{\circ}F$  of greater than or equal to 0.83 but less than or equal to 0.89 or an API gravity of greater than or equal to 27 degrees but less than or equal to 39 degrees,
  - (b) A kinematic viscosity at 40°C of greater than or equal to 1.9 centistokes, but less than or equal to 4.1 centistokes, if gravity was not determined by comparison with the supplier's certification,
  - (c) A flash point equal to or greater than 125°F, and
  - (d) A clear and bright appearance with proper color when tested in accordance with ASTM D4176-82.
- (2) By verifying within 30 days of obtaining the sample that the other properties specified in Table 1 of ASTM D975-81 are met when tested in accordance with ASTM D975-81 except that the analysis for sulfur may be performed in accordance with ASTM D1552-79 or ASTM D2622-82.
- e. At least once every 31 days by obtaining a sample of fuel oil in accordance with ASTM D2276-78, and verifying that particulate contamination is less than 10 mg/liter when checked in accordance with ASTM D2276-78.

## III. RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

#### A. Basic Premise

1.

The basic premise for the proposed specification is that the petroleum industry manufactures diesel fuel to ASTM Specification D975 and, although the distribution methods preclude(as a general rule) the supplier from providing a Certificate of Compliance, a low risk of having non-compliant fuel added to the diesel fuel oil storage can be obtained by having a program designed to test for contamination of the fuel which might have taken place during the transmission and distribution process prior to addition to the storage tank. Therefore the parameters tested are those that are most likely to take the delivery and the existing inventory out of specifications due to mixing. The post-addition analysis of the sample provides complete assurance that the fuel oil is maintained well within all the requirements of ASTM D975. The alternate test methods proposed for sulfur are in line with other governent and industry specifications and sound analytical practices.

Therefore several modifications have been made in the NRC Surveillance Requirements stated in 4.8.1.1.2. The proposed specifications are designed to provide improvement in the level of confidence in the quality of the diesel fuel over the current specifications. The changes include:

- a) The substitution of monthly ASTM D2276-78 for Determination of Particulate Contamination in lieu of quarterly ASTM D2274,
- b) The substitution of the Free Water and Particulate Content in Distillate Fuel (Clear and Bright Pass/Fail Procedures) by ASTM D4176-82 in place of the Water and Sediment by Centrifuge,
- c) The substitution of selected pre-addition inspection tests on all deliveries,
- d) The relaxation of the time interval for the complete ASTM D975 tests on all receipts,
- e) And the elimination of the ASTM D975 tests every 92 days on the fuel in the storage tank.

-3-

## B. Surveillance of Deliveries

# 1. Selection of Inspection Tests

A variety of fuels are present in the transportation system from the refinery onward and can therefore be accidentally delivered in place of diesel fuel. Such misbranding can most readily and reliably be detected as follows:

## Delivery of Wrong Product

#### Product

#### Detected By

Gasoline (All types) Jet Fuel (JP-4 type) Jet Fuel (Jet A type) Fuel Oil (Residual-black) Other (fertilizer etc.) Gravity and flash point Gravity and flash point Gravity (possibly flash point) Gravity and appearance Appearance and odor

The same products can also be mixed accidentally with diesel fuel and put the diesel fuel off-specification. In such cases specific properties of the diesel will go off-specification first and can be detected as follows:

Contaminant	Property to	Detected By
	Go Off-Spec	
Gasoline	Flash point	Flash point
Jet Fuel (JP-4)	Flash point	Flash point
Jet Fuel (Jet A)	Viscosity	Viscosity or
		comparative gravity*
Fuel Oil (black)	Carbon residue	Appearance
Water	Water and sediment	Clear and Bright
Solids	Water and sediment	Clear and Bright

Delivery of Contaminated, Off-Specification Diesel Fuel

\*direct comparison of terminal shipping gravity versus delivered gravity

Based on the above, the acceptance of the wrong type of fuel or of offspecification fuel can be avoided by the following testing at the time of receipt:

## Inspection Tests and Limits

- A. If gravity is available from the delivering terminal
  - 1. Comparative gravity within + 0.3°API (+0.0016 sp gr)
  - 2. Appearance clear and bright and proper color
  - 3. Flash point 125<sup>0</sup>F minimum
- B. If comparative gravity is not available
  - 1. Gravity 27 to 39°API at 60°F(0.83 to 0.89 sp gr at 60/60°F)
  - 2. Appearance clear and bright and proper color
  - 3. Flash point 125<sup>0</sup>F minimum
  - 4. Viscosity 1.9 to 4.1 cs at  $40^{\circ}$ C

The proposed revised STS then requires obtaining complete ASTM D975 tests on each delivery by a qualified laboratory. Because of the high degree of protection afforded by the delivery inspection program, allowing 30 days for complete specification verification as a double check is considered appropriate.

# 2. Reasons for Selection of Inspection Tests

Some of the tests selected, such as flash point or viscosity, are part of the diesel specification D975. However other tests such as gravity are not limited by D975 and typical values are used for screening limits. Such typical tests are published annually by the DOE Bartlesville Energy Technology Center<sup>1</sup>. The detailed reasons for test selection follow.

<u>Gasolines</u> have API gravities higher than 55 (sp gr below 0.76) and flash points below room temperature. A 1% addition of gasoline to diesel fuel lowers the flash point about 40<sup>°</sup>F and below specification minimum. Other diesel tests degrade at a much slower rate and flash point is therefore the most sensitive gasoline contamination indicator. <u>Jet Fuel</u> (JP-4 type) has a specification gravity range of 45 to  $57^{\circ}API$  (sp gr of .775 to 0.802) and a flash point at or below room temperature. A 1% contamination in diesel fuel decreases the flash point about  $20^{\circ}F$ . Again flash point is the most sensitive contamination indicator.

<u>Jet Fuel</u> (Jet A type) has a specification gravity range of 37 to  $51^{\circ}API$  (sp gr of 0.775 to 0.840) and a minimum flash point of  $100^{\circ}F$ . Actual typical minimum gravities tend to be around  $40^{\circ}API$  (sp gr of 0.825) and minimum flash points of 115 to  $125^{\circ}F$ . Considerable mixing of Jet A and 2D is therefore possible (up to 50% Jet A) before the mixture fails D975. The most critical property is viscosity if a minimum viscosity jet fuel (about 1.0 cs at  $40^{\circ}C$ ) is mixed with a minimum viscosity 2D with a viscosity of 1.9 cs at  $40^{\circ}C$ . Although such circumstances are unlikely a viscosity determination eliminates the possibility.

Fuel Oil (black) - residual fuels are carried in completely segregated systems and contamination of distillates is rare. If contamination occurs it tends to be in systems which have been switched from black fuel to distillate service and improperly cleaned. The primary adverse effect will be on carbon residue which is typically about 8% for No. 6 fuel. Thus approximately 5% residual fuel equals the 0.35% maximum of D975. However less than a 5% presence of black fuel can be detected by the clear and bright test.

Other products such as fertilizer solutions, occasionally handled in petroleum terminals, are water-soluble and are readily detected by their appearance and odor.

1.1

## 3.Modifications of Specification Tests

1.4

1.1

The purpose of the proposed change to STS 4.8.1.1.2.e.2) is to allow the use of additional test methods for the measurement of sulfur content. Presently ASTM D975 permits only D129 (Bomb method) for sulfur determination. However many laboratories use other, more rapid methods and use D129 only in cases of dispute. Both the Federal diesel specification, VV-F-800C, and ASTM D396, Specification for Fuel Oil, permit the use of D1552 (High Temperature) and D2262 (X-Ray Spectroscopy) for the No. 2 grade. These methods are therefore proposed as alternates with D129 to be run in case of dispute.

STS 4.8.1.1.2.d. requires the use of D270-75 for obtaining fuel samples. Reference to the latest ASTM Book of Standards indicates that D270 is to be dropped in 1984 because it has been replaced by D4057-81, entitled Standard Practice for Manua? Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products and by D4177-82, Automatic Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products. Since D4057 is the manual sampling portion of D270-75, this editorial change is recommended to assure a readily available ASTM method for sampling.

# C. Surveillance of Fuel in Storage

\* . . F .

The preceding has dealt with assuring on-specification product into storage. It is now necessary to establish the testing required of fuel in storage to assure satisfactory quality fuel going to the diesel engine.

A large body of literature, dating back to the 1950's, proves changes in fuel characteristics during extended storage to involve the oxidation of very low concentrations of heteroatoms containing nitrogen, sulfur and/or oxygen<sup>2,3,4,5</sup> and not to affect the overall composition of the fuel. In turn those properties which depend on overall composition are not affected by storage. Such specification properties, including sulfur, flash point, cloud point, carbon residue, ash, distillation, viscosity and cetane number, will only change through the inadvertent addition of other petroleum products<sup>2,3</sup>. Certain aenerobic, sulfate-reducing bacteria can liberate hydrogen sulfide and cause fuel to fail copper corrosion, but such bacteria are kept from growing by constant water removal<sup>6</sup> and furthermore their presence is readily detected by the foul odor and black color of the water bottom samples.

With regard to fuel cleanliness the presence of water is checked for and, if present, is removed every 92 days or more frequently per 4.8.1.1.2.b and c. These procedures are also effective against other microorganisms which like all other living things need water to exist. Sediment can and does form after extended storage  $^{2,3,4,5,7,8}$  and is therefore monitored by a sensitive test for particulates (ASTM D2276). Given enough time the total sediment, including the particulates delivered in the fuel plus any insolubles formed in storage, can reduce the life of the engine filter. Although duplicate filters may be provided the measurement of fuel solids level upon every delivery and at least every 92 days provides the type of redundancy needed for a critical system such as the Emergency Diesel Generator.

The Federal diesel fuel specification, VV-F-800C, contains a particulate maximum of 10 mg/liter or approximately 10 parts per million. Much of the 2D purchased under this specification is used in high speed diesels with single filters and particularly critical filtration requirements. Because of the flexibility of the Emergency Diesel System such an arbitrary limit based on differing experience is not desirable and the development of limits for each set of Emergency Systems is much more appropriate. However such limits can only be established after operating experience is available. This proposal therefore recommends starting operations with a very conservative maximum particulate limit of 10 mg/1 and then using acceptable filter life as the criterion for an acceptable contamination alert level. In other words, if engine filter life becomes unacceptably short at some contamination level, a lower concentration should be used as the alert level at which corrective action should be taken. Such action could include the recirculation of the fuel in the storage tank through a permanent or temporary filter between the storage and day tanks.

2. 2. 4

## D. Changes from NRC Surveillance Requirements

1. 1. 1.

Several modifications have been made in the NRC Surveillance Requirements stated in STS 4.8.1.1.2. The changes include the substitution of ASTM D2276 for ASTM D2274, the substitution of ASTM D4176, Clear and Bright test, for ASTM D1796, Water and Sediment by Centrifuge, the addition of some inspection tests and the elimination of complete ASTM D975 tests on storage every 92 days.

In assessing fuel cleanliness the actual solids content is of paramount interest and the use of ASTM D2274 is not recommended because of the design of the test. D2274 is a high temperature oxidation test in which fuel is prefiltered and then exposed to pure oxygen at high temperature (203°F) for 16 hours. The resultant deposits and solids are recovered and weighed. The test does not indicate the solids actually in the fuel these are removed in the prefiltration step - but is intended to predict the oxidative stability by severely accelerating the test conditions. However, as pointed out in Section X3.6.4 of ASTM D975-81, the relationship between fuel suitability in storage and the results of accelerated tests such as D2274 is tenuous. The poor relationship between storage performance and U2274 results have also been reported by several research teams 4,5,7 . Although the basic problem is one of oxidation a number of investigations have indicated that oxidation mechanisms change as temperatures increase, thereby forming the basis for the unreliability of the high temperature tests<sup>4</sup>. Unfortunately the only test considered reliable has to be run for a number of weeks at 43.3°C and is therefore only useful as a research tool. There is no agreement in the industry on the suitability of any accelerated oxidation test. The recommendation of monthly D2276 in place of quarterly D2274 recognises this state of the art and concentrates on the measurement of actual solids which are the primary concern in operating a satisfactory fuel system. Neither D2276 nor D2294 are recommended on receipts because test results are available only after the delivery is completed and cannot be used to accept or reject the delivery. Instead the Clear and Bright test is required as the cleanliness acceptance criterion.

The replacement of the Water and Sediment by Centrifuge by the Clear and Bright test is recommended for the following reasons.

The Clear and Bright test is more sensitive to free water. The lower level detection of the centrifuge is 0.025% or 250 parts per million (ppm) while the Clear and Bright test will detect down to 50 ppm. However the actual rejection ratio is closer to 10:1 because D975 permits a maximum of 0.05% or 500 ppm of water in the absence of sediment, while the proposed procedure has a lower limit of 50 ppm.

The Clear and Bright test is also more sensitive to solids because a larger sample is examined directly. Running the centrifuge test, on the other hand, requires at least one container transfer and a sample size reduction, thereby increasing the uncertainty of having a representative sample and particularly creating the problem of removing all solid particles from the original sample container and assuring their presence in the centrifuge tube.

By running the Clear and Bright test on site there is the additional advantage of being able to resample immediately in case of a questionable result.

Lastly the centrifuge test is run at 120<sup>o</sup>F, thereby possibly allowing a water haze to go into solution due to the increase in temperature. The Clear and Bright test is conducted at ambient temperature and a haze would be cause for fuel rejection on delivery. There is also the possible problem of free water removal by the toluene diluent if the toluene is not completely water saturated as required by D1796.

In this connection it should be noted that the Clear and Bright test has been used very extensively for many years before its standardisation by ASTM and has proven to be a simple and reliable procedure.

2. . . . .

The changes in inspection tests on fuel receipts have been explained in detail in Section IIB, while the deletion of complete D975 tests every 92 days is covered in Section IIC. The Water and Sediment by Centrifuge, required every 92 days, is considered to have been replaced and the surveillance tightened by measuring particulate content by ASTM D2276 every 31 days, by checking all receipts for free water and particulates by ASTM D4176 and by checking for and removing water from the storage and day tanks every 31 days.

\* . \* \* \* ,

E. Bibliography

1. 1. 1. 1.

<sup>1</sup>EM Shelton, "Diesel Fuel Oils, 1982", DOE/BETC/PPS-82/5, Bartlesville Energy Technology Center, US Department of Energy, Bartlesville, OK, published November 1982.

<sup>2</sup>Anon., "Fundamentals of Petroleum", Bureau of Naval Personnel, NAVPERS, pp 88 et al, 1953.

<sup>3</sup>"Symposium on Stability of Distillate Fuel Oils", American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Special Technical Publication STP 244, Philadelphia, June 1958.

<sup>4</sup>MQ Garner and EW White, "Correlation of Long-Term Storage and Accelerated Stability Tests", ASTM Special Technical Publication STP 751, Philadelphia, 1981\*.

<sup>5</sup>LL Stavinoha and SR Westwood, "Accelerated Stability Test Techniques for Middle Distillate Fuels", ASTM Special Technical Publication STP 751, Philadelphia, 1981\*.

<sup>6</sup>ES Littman, "Microbiological Contamination of Fuels During Storage", ASTM Special Technical Publication STP 751, Philadelphia, 1981\*.

<sup>7</sup>LL Stavinoha, SR Westbrook and ME LePera, "Army Needs for Diesel Fuel Stability and Cleanliness," ASTM Special Technical Publication STP 751, Philadelphia, 1981\*.

<sup>8</sup>JF Boyle, RP Lane, T McGee and EW White, "Navy Needs and Experience with Distillate Fuel Stability and Cleanliness", ASTM Special Technical Publication STP 751, Philadelphia, 1981\*.

- \* ASTM Special Technical Publication 751 is entitled "Distillate Fuel Stability and Cleanliness" and is edited by LL Stavinoha and CP Henry. It is the record of a symposium and contains these papers.
- Note: The above ASTM references can be obtained from the Publications Department, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1916 Race Screet, Philadelphia, PA, 19103.

#### Attachment 5

### KURT H. STRAUSS CONSULTANT QUALIFICATIONS

Employment	U. S. Army	1943-1946
	Texaco Inc.	1949-1982
	Consultant	1982-
Schools		

U. S. Army ASTP, Oklahoma State U.	1943
U. S. Army, U. of Biaritz, France	1945
U. of Connecticut, BSME magna cum laude	1946-1949
Rolls Royce Dart Engine School	1952
General Electric CJ805 Engine School	1954

## Work as Consultant

6.7528.2

E

Prepared analysis report on the temperature relationship between diesel vehicle fuel temperature and ambient temperature in winter conditions under US Army MERADCOM contract. Report is currently under review prior to public release.

Acting as technical coordinator and expert witness in two cases involving insurance claims and litigation regarding fuel contamination in marine transport.

Acting as official fuel consultant to the Federal Aviation Agency.

Served as panel chairman and session summariser in a Naval Research Laboratory symposium on middle distillate instability in October 1982.

Acted as aviation fuel consultant to major oil company (BP North America).

Continuing as committee chairman in several ASTM committees and maintaining active participation in Coordinating Research Council.

Prepared quality control program for emergency diesel fuel system in nuclear power plant.

Prepared extensive section on Aviation Turbine Fuels for 1984 edition of Ullman's Encyclopedia for Industrial Chemistry.

Job Assignments with Texaco

1. C. A.

Retired as Senior Technologist on Products Staff of Research, Environment and Safety Department

Coordinated Texaco aviation fuel research activities for last 15 years and middle distillate research for last 5 years.

Represented Texaco at national and international levels in technical matters, including specification and test method preparation and research trends.

Directed laboratory investigations of aviation and middle distillate fuel field problems.

Established quality standards in Texaco Aviation Fuel Quality Control Procedures and Texaco Marine Cargo Manual. Represented Research Department in preparation and updating of these operational documents.

Served as expert witness in litigation and assisted Legal Department in preparation of cases.

Held approval for Texaco of aviation and middle distillate fuel test methods and specifications.

Responsible for following technical developments in fuel cleanup and monitoring procedures and introducing them into Texaco system.

Made forecasts of future quality requirements of aviation and middle distillate fuels for corporate planning use.

Directed preparation and introduction of new Petroleum Volume Correction Tables within Texaco.

Reviewed and approved for Texaco all Coordinating Rearch Council reports on aviation and diesel fuels.

Served as consultant to several US Goverment agencies icluding the US Air Force, NASA and the FAA.

Industry Committee Memberships

ASTM Committee D 02 on Petroleum Products since 1981

ASTM Technical Division J on Aviation Fuels since 1967

Chairman of Specification Review Panel since 1973

Chairman of Section VII- Combustion since 1980

Chairman of Filter Membrane Approval Panel from 1973 to 1980

Prepared Membrane Approval procedure (RR D 02- 1127)

Organiser and Chairman of ASTM Symposium on "Factors in Using Kerosine Jet Fuels of Reduced Flash Point", December 1977.

Coeditor of Symposium proceedings (STP 688)

Organiser and Chairman of ASTM Symposium on "Can Jet Fuel Cleanliness be Specified?" - December 1982

Author of Standard Practice for Aviation Fuel Sampling Containers for Tests Affected by Trace Contamination and accompanying research report.

Member of following ASTM Technical Division J Sections since 1967

Advisory Section (since 1977)

\* CA, \*.

Section I - Jet Fuel Specifications

Section II - Aviation Gasoline Specifications (formed in 1979)

Section VII - Combustion (presently chairman)

Section VIII - Oxidative Stability

Section X - Fuel Cleanliness

Section XI - Electrical Characteristics

Served on numerous task forces over the last 15 years

ASTM Technical Division E on Burner, Diesel and Gas Turbine Fuels from 1972 to 1976 and from 1980 to date.

Member of following Technical Division E Sections

Section I - Burner Fuels

Secretary from 1974 to 1976

Task Force on Kerosine Specification

Section II - Diesel Fuel

Section III - Gas Turbine Fuel

Section V - Fuel Stability and Cleanliness

Coordinating Research Council (CRC)

. .....

Member of all fuel groups since 1961. Groups include oxidative stability, combustion, low temperature flow, electrical charging, safety, fuel-water separation, lubricity, aviation fuels handbook, fuels from alternative sources and supersonic fuel.

Leader of Group on Electrical Charging of Aviation Fuels since 1972

Leader of Group on Water Separation of Aviation Fuels from 1970 to 1976

Chairman of CRC Aviation Committee from 1978 to 1983

International Air Trans, ort Association (IATA)

Member of Fuels Subcommittee from 1967 to 1982

American Petroleum Institute

Aviation Technical Services Committee from 1962 to 1968

Wrote specification for cleanliness monitor Joint author of API bulletins on airport fuel system operation

Petroleum Measurement Committee from 1980 to 1982

Leader of Task Force on Low Temperature Volume Correction Tables

Leader of Task Force on Lubricating Oil Volume Correction Tables

National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)

Member of Ad Hoc Panel on Jet Engine Hydrocarbon Fuels from 1974 to 1976

Federal Aviation Administration

Official Fuel Consultant since April 1983

## Honors and Awards

....

NASA Certificate of Appreciation in 1976

ASTM Certificate of Appreciation in 1982

Life member of Tau Beta Pi - Honorary Engineering Society

Member of Fellowship Board from 1973 to 1983

Life member of Sigma Xi - The Research Society of America

# Professional Memberships

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)

Society of Automotive Engineers

Member of Fuels and Lubricants Committee from 1974 to 1978

Coordinating Research Council

Presentations and Briefings

Made presentation on US aviation fuel research to Ministry of Defence in London in 1979

- Made numerous briefings and presentations on aviation fuel cleanliness, safety and availability to major aircraft engine, airframe and accessory companies as well as airlines
- Made presentations on present and future quality of diesel fuels to US and off-shore diesel engine manufacturers

Publications

See attached listing

#### Publications

- Strauss KH, "US Diesel Fuels- Present and Future", Texaco report, September 1981
- Strauss KH, "Future US Jet Fuel- A Refiner's Viewpoint", presented at 1981 International Air Transportation Conference, Atlantic City NJ, May 23- 28, 1981, AIAA Paper 81- 0770

3. Strauss KH. "Jet Fuel Trends in the US", presented at the Air Force Energy Symposium, San Antonio TX, October 21-23, 1980

- Strauss KH, Dukek WG, "Factors in Using Kerosine Jet Fuel of Reduced Flash Point", ASTM Special Technical Publication STP 688, Philadelphia PA, 1979
- Strauss KH, Dukek WG and Leonard JT, "Charge Generation by US Commercial Aircraft Fuels and Filter- Separators", presented at the Lightning and Static Electricity Conference, London, England, March 10, 1975. Also presented at the NFPA National Meeting, Chicago IL, May 12, 1975
- Dukek WG, Langston RE and Strauss KH, "Electrostatic Charging Characteristics of Jet Fuel Filtration Equipment", presented at SAE National Aerospace Engineering and Manufacturing Meeting, San Diego CA, October 2- 5, 1972, Paper SAE No. 720866. Published in SAE Transactions Vol. 81 (1972)
- Strauss KH, "Future Availability of Wide- Cut Jet Fuels", Texaco report, January 1971
- Strauss KH, Brodie AL and Tobin FE, "Flight Power", Texaco Topics, Vol. 37, No. 1, 1968
- Strauss KH, "Kerosine- Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow", Texaco report, June 1967
- Strauss KH, "Factors Affecting the Use of Crude Petroleum and Residual Fuel in Aircraft", Texaco report, July 1966
- Strauss KH, "Factors in the Use of Middle Distillate Fuels in Aircraft Gas Turbines", Texaco report, June 1965
- Strauss KH, "Fuel for the Supersonic Transport", presented to the SAE So. California Section, March 8, 1965, SAE Paper 650297. Published in SAE Transactions 1966
- Strauss KH, "Microbial Contamination of Jet Fuel", Texaco report, January 1965

- Strauss KH, "Jet Fuel Handling Code", Texaco report (restricted information), 1964
- 15. Strauss KH, "The Detection of Water in Jet Fuel", presented to the API Aviation Technical Services Committee, Montreal Quebec, June 1963
- 16. Strauss KH, "Jet Fuels- Present and Future", Texaco report, March 1962
- Strauss KH, "Fuel Contamination in Jet Aircraft", Texaco report, January 1961
- Scarberry WF and Strauss KH, "Aircraft Gas Turbine Fuels and Lubricants", published in Petroleum Products Handbook, VB Guthrie, editor, McGraw- Hill, NY NY, 1960
- 19. Tobin FE, Furman GR and Strauss KH, "Contaminants and Their Effects on Aircraft Engines", presented at SAE Annual Meeting, Detroit MI, January 14-18, 1957
- 20. Kuhbach CM, Ritcheske WF and Strauss KH, "Fuel Properties and Jet Engine Combustor Performance", presented at SAE Aeronautic Meeting, Los Angeles CA, October 5- 9, 1954. Published in SAE Transactions Vol. 63 (1955)