FROM: Dennis L. Ziemann, Chief Procedures and Systems Review Branch Division of Human Factors Safety

SUBJECT: COMMENTS ON REVISED REGULATORY EFFECTIVENESS REVIEW REPORT FOR TURKEY POINT NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNITS 3 AND 4

In response to your January 25, 1984 memorandum to Brent Clayton, we have reviewed the subject report to determine how our previous comments were addressed. We note that our recommendations to remove the explanation of the RER from the body of the report and to provide comments assessing the effectiveness of the staff's program for ensuring adequate safeguards have been implemented. However, our other major comment concerning the regulatory basis from which to require or suggest plant changes is not addressed. Our suggestion is that the results of the team's review should first be compared with the site's NRC-approved security plan. Weaknesses would then be identified either as security plan implementation deficiencies or as NRC problems with the security plan. The implementation problems are the utility's responsibility. Identification of these problems could be proviced by letter to the utility. The acceptance probiems are our responsibility and our review criteria should be evaluated, corrected, if necessary, and backfitting considered for the plant.

As an editorial comment, it is recommended that ater than preparing two 30 page documents identifying the vital areas (re or each unit), one doucment of about 30 pages for the two units shoul ficient.

If discussion of these comments is necess ary, p.eise contact $\hbar$. G. Kennedy, Senior Operational Safety Engineer (X24578).

cé: Dermeconald E. McPeek

