



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

Dicks
(28)

December 12, 1983

Dr. Herb Kouts, Chairman
Department of Nuclear Energy
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Building 197
Upton, NY 11773

Dear Dr. ~~Kouts~~: *Herb*

Thank you for providing me with a copy of the comments to the Shoreham Commission report which you, Bill Ronan and Fred Kahn prepared. In that the NRC has concluded that it would be inappropriate for it to vote or otherwise adopt the Commission's final report, we will not be taking an official position with regard to your comments. However, I did want you to know that I felt that the views expressed by each of you were very helpful in providing a better understanding of the issues addressed by the Commission and that they contributed substantially to a more balanced presentation of the facts.

Two observations may, however, be of assistance. In reviewing the document attached to your comments entitled "Shoreham Commission", I did observe one statement on page 9 which you may wish to modify somewhat for accuracy. On that page you state that "studies currently being conducted by the NRC are studying the future contraction of the emergency planning zone from the current ten-mile requirement to two miles". In fact, the emergency planning proposal now being considered by the NRC Staff does not propose to reduce the present ten-mile emergency planning zone, but rather, adds a requirement for prompt evacuation within the first two miles of the reactor. The Staff's proposal does provide, however, that while evacuation beyond the two-mile zone is unlikely, it cannot be entirely ruled out for unusual accident sequences or particularly adverse meteorology.

The second point which you may wish to consider is Fred Kahn's statement on page two of his "Conclusions" to the effect that it is a "ridiculous process" by which opponents to the location at Shoreham were told that the siting decision was not the proper forum for weighing their objections, but that instead they would properly be considered after the plant had been constructed". While I understand the point Fred is addressing, in fact, the question of location was considered at the construction permit hearings in 1970. The issue that was delayed for final consideration at the operating license stage was emergency planning. Your comments addressing the necessity for this delay (paragraph 5, page 4) do, I believe, clarify this concern.

8401170536
/PAOK/50-322

EDD

If I can be of any further assistance to you on these points please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Signed William J. Dircks

William J. Dircks
Executive Director for Operations

cc: John H. Marburger
Alfred E. Kahn
William J. Ronan

DISTRIBUTION:
E.Christenbury (Chron)
G.Cunningham
-W.Dircks
ELD FF (2) (50-322)
NRC Central File
B.Bordenick
E.Reis
EDO rf

OFC :	OELD <i>sc</i>	:	OELD <i>sc</i>	:	EDO <i>sc</i>	:	:
NAME :	E.Christenbury/amb	:	G.Cunningham	:	W.Dircks	:	:
DATE :	12/1/83	:	12/1/83	:	12/1/83	:	:

IMES LI-Shveham

NORMAN F. LENT
4TH DISTRICT, NEW YORK

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY
AND COMMERCE

SUBCOMMITTEE
COMMERCE TRANSPORTATION
AND TOURISM

COMMITTEE ON
MERCHANT MARINE AND
FISHERIES

SUBCOMMITTEE
PANAMA CANAL AND OUTER
CONTINENTAL SHELF

COAST GUARD AND NAVIGATION

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

PLEASE ADDRESS REPLIES TO THE
WASHINGTON, D.C. OFFICE
UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED

WASHINGTON OFFICE
2228 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
TELEPHONE (202) 225-7896

DISTRICT OFFICES:
BALDWIN PLAZA BUILDING
ROOM 300, 2280 GRAND AVENUE
BALDWIN, NEW YORK 11510
TELEPHONE (516) 223-1616

MASSAPEQUA PARK VILLAGE HALL
151 FRONT STREET
MASSAPEQUA PARK, NEW YORK 11762
(516) 795-4454

September 1, 1983

Mr. Caulton Kammerer
Director,
Office of Congressional Affairs
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Kammerer:

On March 24, 1983, I wrote to you concerning three letters I had received from constituents. I asked you to reply to these letters and forward your response to me. As of this date, I have yet to hear from you or your office. I am very concerned about this unnecessary delay and expect a prompt reply to this letter.

Enclosed please find a copy of my original letter to you and copies of the letter from my constituents. Kindly reply to their concerns and forward the response to me.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter and I expect to hear from you in the very near future.

Sincerely,

NORMAN F. LENT
Member of Congress

NFL/laf
Enc.

84-2070-420

9-1-83

Perry

March 24, 1983

Mr. Caulton Kammerer
Director- Office of Congressional Affairs
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555
ATTN: Steve Kent

Dear Sir:

I am enclosing these three letters from constituents of mine who are from Levittown, New York. All three letters deal with the Shoreham nuclear power plant. I would appreciate if you could answer their concerns and then to relay that information back to me. Thank you for your cooperation.

With best wishes I remain,

Sincerely,

NORMAN F. LENT
Member of Congress

NFL/rg

8412070430

MAR 14 1983

42 Blue Spruce Rd
Levittown, N.Y. 11756
March 10, 1983

Congressman Norman Lent
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lent:

I feel that the Shoreham Nuclear Plant is not safe because if anything ever happened like a leak or an explosion all the people on the end of Long Island would have no way off. So I think they should take it off of Long Island or put it at the end so everyone could get off safely. Another thing that we could do is have a evacuation plan so that everyone could get off safely.

Yours truly
Anthony Corfuc

MAR 24 1983

6 Birch Lane
Levittown, NY 11756
March 8, 1983

Congressman Norman Lent
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lent:

I feel that Shorem Nuclear Plant
should stay closed, because if the plant failed
only half the people could evacuate. Even if it
costs 3.2 Billion dollars, even if it puts Silco
out of business, I think it's worth the lives.

Sincerely,
Harry Koch
HARRY KOCH

MAR 24 1983

77 Hickory Lane
Levittown, New York 11756
March 8, 1983

Congressman Norman Lent
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lent:

I feel that the Shouham Plant should stay closed because just in case we have an emergency how are we all supposed to get off the island in time? There are about three million people on Long Island and if there really was an emergency we all wouldn't find ways to get off. A lot of us will die.

Another reason is that the radiation can cause all kinds of diseases if the plant leaks. I think the plant should stay closed.

Yours truly
Carol LaRosa
Carol LaRosa