

September 30, 1983

Note to Karl Stahl

SUBJECT: SUMMER 1 MONITORING & INSPECTION OF SERVICE WATER INTAKE STRUCTURE  
(OELD # 837 459)

This package needs some work.

1. The staff position that the applicant's proposal is not acceptable sounds to me like you're shooting this thing down right off the bat. We can no longer have the situation where the staff talks to the licensee and have the licensee accept the staff position. We're suppose to do a Sholly decision not on our modification of the licensee's proposal but on the licensee's proposal as it comes in the door. Unless the licensee modifies his proposal to include these staff recommendations - and you give notice of the modified proposal - you have to do your Sholly notice on the basis of the amendment coming in the door. It looks to me like you're saying the amendment coming in the door is unacceptable. Do something about that. Get it modified or notice it is a significant hazards since we find it unacceptable. Or deny it.

2. I assume you will get them to modify this proposal and will want to come back to notice it as amended. If so, then you'll still have problems with this package. Its just a lot of assertions on no significant hazards. The no significant hazards finding has to give some explanation of the basis for our conclusion as to why it does not involve a significant increase, etc. You need to do some more work on this.

*AMB*  
Joe Scinto

8502090444 840518  
PDR FOIA  
ADAT084-166 PDR