

September 30, 1983

Note to Helen Nicolaras

SUBJECT: MONTICELLO EXPANDED RADIATION PROTECTION PROGRAM (OELD # 837 693)

This package is okay for the notice that you want to give but in looking at it, I noticed one thing that may cause you a headache that you should think about in connection with ever issuing this one - if you get around to issuing the requested amendment. The requested amendment has some language in it in terms of the operations review committee does not have to review the various health physics/radiation protection kinds of things for "non-safety related" done by the health physics people. That, in view of the great to do that's going around on "safety related", "non-safety related" and "important to safety" these days, the use of the term "non-safety related" in this context of a radiation protection plan for Part 20 purposes may be completely inappropriate. I think you don't want to use this magic word of "non-safety related" in this tech spec. You probably want a better word that relates to Part 20-type of radiation protection-type safety, not the Part 100, Appendix A seismic-type discussion considerations that go into the current flap over the word "safety related" and "non-safety related" that's going around. So I think, when it comes around to issuing it, you probably want to find a better word for the actual tech spec, itself, than "non-safety related".

Amb
Joe Scinto

8502090421 840518
PDR FOIA
ADAT084-166 PDR

33