ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Duke Power Company Catawba Unit 1 Docket Nos. 50-4i3 License Nos. NPF-35

During the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection conducted on July 12, 1992 - August 8, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires in part that written procedures be established, implemented and maintained covering the activities referenced in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978. Operations Management Procedure, OMP 1-8, Authority and Responsibility of Licensed Reactor Operators and Senior Reactor Operators, Section 7.2.B, describes the responsibilities of the Operator at the Controls (OATC). Step 7.2.B.9.c requires that the OATC verify that the appropriate automatic actions for an alarm have taken place prior to taking recovery actions.

Contrary to the above, OMP 1-8 was not followed on July 17, 1992, when the OATC did not verify the appropriate automatic actions when Train A of the Unit 1 Boron Dilution Mitigation System (BDMS) alarmed. The BDMS actuation caused the suction source of the Centrifugal Charging Pump to swap from the Volume Control Tank (VCT) to the Refueling Water Storage Tank (FWST). The OATC closed the suction valve from the FWST but failed to reopen the suction valve from the VCT. The OATC did not refer to the annunciator response procedure to verify that the automatic actions for the BDMS alarm had taken place prior to taking action to recover from the actuation.

This is a Saverity Level IV Violation (Supplement I) and applies to Unit 1.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Duke Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Besk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation. (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an

Docket Nos. 50-413 Duke Power Company Catawba Unit 1 License Nos. NPF-35 order or demand for information may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time. Dated at Atlanta, Georgia this 4th day of September 1992