

February 9, 1984

Note to Dick Clark

SUBJECT: BROWNS FERRY 1 - IMPLEMENTATION OF COMMISSION'S PROVISIONS
(OELD # 841 228)

I have a number of problems with this package. First, the reason given for the no significant hazards consideration is wrong. This is not an additional restriction. The license condition, itself, says no more than the Regulations already require. There is no additional restriction resulting from this. It's merely a statement in the license in a succinct fashion of the requirement already existing in the Regulations. That's all it is and as a result it has very little hazards considerations associated with it at all. That's the explanation, not that this is an additional restriction - there is no additional restriction. It merely tells them to comply with the Regulations and the Regulations already tell them that.

Second, the description of the amendment request indicates its an amendment which would add a condition to the Browns Ferry licenses and indicates that the date of the amendment request is June 11, 1982. The June 11, 1982 letter attached to this makes no such request. Where is the request for the amendment in which TVA asks us to put that condition in their license? This package is not acceptable in this form.

Amb
for Joe Scinto

cc: R. Rawson

8502090337 840518
PDR FOIA
ADAT084-166 PDR

176