

February 6, 1984

Note to Carl Stahl

SUBJECT: SEQUOYAH - INSTALLATION OF POST ACCIDENT SAMPLING SYSTEM
(OELD # 841 431)

Carl, I don't have any problem with noticing this for a prior hearing but I do have some problems with the language on page 2. You don't need, in this Federal Register Notice, anything more than the first sentence of the first paragraph on page 2 which indicates that the Licensee determined that this matter involved an unreviewed safety question. You can keep the second sentence which explains why he felt that it involved an unreviewed safety question. However, the next two sentences relating to postulated breaks which have been previously analyzed don't make any sense. I don't understand why they are there, nor do I understand what their function is in a notice for prior opportunity for hearing. What are you trying to tell the guy who receives the notice about this package? Are you trying to tell him that you don't agree with the Licensee? If you don't agree with the Licensee then you shouldn't notice it for prior hearing.

If you delete the second two sentences in the first paragraph on page 2 of the notice, its okay and it doesn't have to come back to ELD but if for some reason you want to keep them in, then we need to discuss it. I just don't understand their function and I do have a problem if they stay in this notice.

Amb
Joe Joe Scinto

cc: R.Perlis

8502090315 840518
PDR FOIA
ADAT084-166 PDR

172