UNITED STATES



NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION IV

511 RYAN PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 400 ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76011-8064



Docket No. 50-458 License No. NPF-47

Gulf States Utilities ATTN: James C. Deddens Senior Vice President (RBNG) P.O. Box 220 St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775

Gentlemen:

.

SUBJECT: ACCEPTANCE OF CHANGES TO THE OPERATIONAL G. ALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM FOR RIVER BEND

We have reviewed the changes addressed in Revision 5 of the quality assurance program description for River Bend submitted by your letter RBG-37400 dated August 25, 1992. We find that the changes to your quality assurance program description. Section 17.2 of the Updated Safety Analysis Report meet the requirements of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B and are acceptable.

Any questions you may have concerning this review should be directed to Mr. Ian Barnes of our staff on (817) 860-8176.

Sincerrly,

Thomas A. Bill Beach, Director Division of Reactor Projects

cc w/enclosure: Gulf States Utilities ATTN: J. E. Booker, Manager-Nuclear Industry Relations P.O. Box 2951 Beaumont, Texas 77704

Winston & Strawn ATTN: Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esq. 1401 L Street. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3502

Gulf States Utilities ATTN: Les England, Director Nuclear Licensing P.O. Box 220 St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775

PDR

09220067 920915 ADOCK 05000458

DR

EH 3

Gulf States Utilities

Mr. J. David McNeill, III William G. Davis, Esq. Department of Justice Attorney General's Office P.O. Box 94095 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-9095 1

-2-

H. Anne Plettinger 3456 Villa Rose Drive Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70806

President of West Feliciana Police Jury P.O. Box 1921 St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775

Cajun Electric Power Coop. Inc. ATTN: Philip G. Harris 10719 Airline Highway P.O. Box 15540 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70895

Hall Bohlinger, Administrator Radiation Protection Division P.O. Box 82135 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70884-2135 Gulf States Utilities

1.4

bcc to DMB (IE43) - DRS

bcc distrib. by RIV:

Resident Inspector J. L. Milhoan DRP Section Chief (DRP/C) Lisa Shea, RM/ALF, MS: MNBB 4503 MIS System DRSS-FIPS RSTS Operator Project Engineer (DRP/C) RIV File DRS AI File Chief, Technical Support Section Senior Resident Inspector, Cooper Senior Resident Inspector, Fort Calhoun I. Barnes B. McNeill B. McNeill Reading File

-3-

AI 92-396

RIV:RI:MQPS	C:MQPS	D:ORS	D:DRP
WMcNeill/cjg	IBarnes 746	\$JobIlins 4	ABBeach
9 /14/92	7 / 14-192	R 1/2/92 1	7/15/92

210151

ATTACHMENT UPON COMPLETION OF ACTION. RETURN ORIGINAL AI FORM AND CLOSEOUT METHOD TO AI COORDINATOR (ANN POUPARD) REGION IV DATE: SEP - 9 1992 ACTION ITEM NO: 92-396 all States Utilities SUBJECT: USAR, Rev. 5" FOR Barnes 2 SIGNATURE OF: Beach PRINCIPAL ASSIGNEE: SECONDARY ASSIGNEE ma neil SECONDARY ASSIGNEE(S): INPUT DUE DATE: INFORMATION COPY: J. Milhoan, S. Collins DIVISION/SECTION COPY ASSIGNMENT: INPUT DUE DATE: 9/18 DUE DATE: CORRECTED DUE DATE: 92 15 DATE ACTION COMPLETED: previded to DRP or signist REMARKS AND CLOSEOUT: Hum Hurph Lon A.B. (July 1992)



GULF STRIES UTILITIES COMPAN

PILIER BEND STATION FORT OFFICE BOX 220 ST PRANCIBUILLE LOUISIANA 10775 AREA CODE 504 635-6064 346 858-1

> RBG-37400 File No. G9.5, August 25, 1992

10 Apr. 11 Apr. 18 (10.10.10)

U.S.	Nuclear	Regu	latory	Commission
	ment Co			
Wast	ungton,	D.C.	20555	

Post-It" brand fax transmitta	From DAVID LORFWO
CO NRC	Ca 684
Dept.	Phone * 504 381 - 4157
Fax · 817 860 821	No. of Concession, and Concess

Gentlemen:

00-09-52 09:28 CSU/LICENSING

River Bend Station - Unit / Docket No. 50-458

Pursuant to 10CFR50.71(e), Gulf States Utilities Company (GSU) hereby submits one signed original and ten copies of Revision 5 to the River Bend Station (RBS) Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR). This revision to the USAR covers the period March 1, 1991, to March 1, 1992, and includes the effects of all changes made in the facility as described in the USAR, all safety evaluations performed by GSU either in support of requested license amendments or in support of conclusions that changes did not require a license amendment or involve an unreviewed safety question and all analyses of safety issues performed by or on behalf of GSU at Commission request.

USAR Revision 5 contains all changes to the RBS Quality Assurance Program (USAR Section 17.2) made in accordance with 10CFR50.54(a)(3) that do not reduce the commitments of the program. All changes made to the QA Program during this update period were evaluated and determined to not reduce any QA Program commitments.

This revision also incorporates a number of editorial changes. These changes were made to correct spelling, and typographical errors; to correct references to USAR figures, sections and documents or tables of contents; to improve grammar or clarity without changing meaning; to move information to more appropriate locations; or to make USAR sections consistent with other USAR sections. All editorial changes have been documented in our records, evaluated and determined to not involve an unreviewed safety question.

9209040112

Attachment 1 is provided to meet the requirements of 10CFR50.71(e)(2)(ii) to identify changes made under the provisions of 10CFR50.59 but not previously submitted to the Commission. Pursuant to 10CFR50.71(e)(5), each replacement rage includes change indicators for the specific area changed and a page change identification in the form of the date of change, August 1992. The page change indicators are special symbols, $\oplus \to 5$, $5 \to \oplus$, that occur before and after, respectively, the specific area changed.

15

LUNDER

There have been no changes to any of the proprietary portions of the USAR during this update period.

As required by 10CFR50.71(e)(2)(i), the attached affidavit certifies that the information in this Revision 5 to the RES USAR accurately presents changes made since the previous submittal necessary to reflect information and analyses submitted to the Commission or replaced pursuant to Commission requirement.

Additionally, in accordance with 10CFR50.71(e)(1), Attachment 2 provides a List of Effective Pages to Lientify the current pages of the USAR after incorporation of Revision 5.

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. L.L. Dietrich of my staff at (504) 381-4866.

Sincerely.

W.H. Odell Manager - Oversight River Bend Nuclear Group

Attachments Enclosures

CC:

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

with one copy of Revision 5 for USAR Set No. 12

Senior Resident Inspector P.O. Box 1051 St. Francisville, LA 70775

with one copy of Revision 5 for USAR Set No. 14

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

STATE OF LOUISIANA

PARISH OF WEST FELICIANA

Docket No. 50-458

In the Maner of

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY

22

(River Bend Station - Unit 1)

AFFIDAVIT

W.H. Odell, being duly sworn, states that he is Manager - Oversight for Gulf States Utilities Company; that he is authorized on the part of said company to sign and file with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission the documents attached hereto; that all such documents are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief; and that the information contained in Revision 5 to the USAR accurately presents changes made since the previous submittal, necessary to reflect information and analyses submitted to the Commission or prepared pursuant to Commission requirement.

W.H. Odell

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and Parish above named, this 254 day of $\alpha_1, 1992$ My Commission expires with Life.

Claudia J. Hurst

Claudia F. Hurst Notary Public in and for West Feliciana Parish, Louisiana

LIST OF EFFECTIVE PAGES

CHAPTER 17

Page TwTable F=Figure	Revision Number	Page TwTable F=Figure	Revision Number	Page TwTable F#Figure 17.2-71	Revision Number
1'-1 17-111 17-111 17-111 17-111 17-111 17.211 17.2-1 17.2-1 17.2-2 17.2-3 17.2-3 17.2-3 17.2-3 17.2-3 17.2-3 17.2-3 17.2-3 17.2-3 17.2-98 17.2-98 17.2-98 17.2-98 17.2-10 17.2-12 17.2-12 17.2-12 17.2-12 17.2-12 17.2-12 17.2-12 17.2-12 17.2-12 17.2-12 17.2-12 17.2-13 17.2-12 17.2-14 17.2-15 17.2-15 17.2-17	4 5 4 9 0 0 4 4 3 4 4 9 0 0 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	17.2-28 17.2-30 17.2-31 17.2-32 17.2-32 17.2-35 17.2-35 17.2-36 17.2-37 17.2-38 17.2-39 17.2-40 17.2-41 17.2-42 17.2-42 17.2-42 17.2-43 17.2-468 17.2-468 17.2-468 17.2-468 17.2-468 17.2-468 17.2-468 17.2-468 17.2-468 17.2-468 17.2-47 17.2-51 17.2-51 17.2-51 17.2-52 17.2-53 17.2-53 17.2-54 17.2-57 17.2-57 17.2-57 17.2-58 17.2-57 17.2-58 17.2-57 17.2-58 17.2-57 17.2-58 17.2-57 17.2-58 17.2-57 17.2-58 17.2-57 17.2-58 17.2-57 17.2-58 17.2-57 17.2-58 17.2-57 17.2-58 17.2-57 17.2-58 17.2-61 17.2-63 17.2-61 17.2-63 17.2-61 17.2-63 17.2-64 17.2-64 17.2-64 17.2-64 17.2-64 17.2-64 17.2-64 17.2-64 17.2-64 17.2-76 17.2-777 17.2-777 17.2-7777 17.2-77777777777777777777777	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	17.2 = 72 17.2 = 73 17.2 = 74 17.2 = 74 17.2 = 75 17.2 = 76 17.2 = 76 17.2 = 77 17.2 = 78 T $17.2 = 1$ Sh 1 Sh 2 Sh 3 Sh 3a T $17.2 = 2$ Sh 3 Sh 4 Sh 5 T $17.2 = 3$ Sh 4 Sh 5 T $17.2 = 3$ Sh 1 Sh 2 Sh 3 Sh 4 Sh 5 T $17.2 = 3$ Sh 1 Sh 2 Sh 3 Sh 4 Sh 5 T $17.2 = 1$ Sh 1 Sh 2 Sh 3 Sh 3 S	004434740 77077 44774 003
17.2			EP 1	.7 - 1	

RES USAR

CHAPTER 17

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cort)

Title

Pag	0		
17	2	- 3	4

19 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Antonia	7 3-34
Section		1.2-34
**3	Design Control	
17.2.3	DeerAn	
1 7 7 7 1	Scops Groups Responsible for Design	17.2-35
17.2.3.1	Groups Response	17.2=35
17.2.3.2	Activities Deficiencies and Deviations Deficiencies	17.2-36
	Deficiencies and Deviation	
17.2.3.3		17.2-36
17.2.3.4	Design Interfaces Design Interfaces	17.2-37
17.6.0.5	Design incom Services	17.2=37
17.2.3.5	D. CAUTED DEPAT	
17.2.3.6	Design Changes Design Control Applied to Fire	17.2-38
17.2.3.7	in Control Applie	71.5
17.2.3.8	Design	
2118121-	Protection Design Control Applied to Design Control Management	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.
	Design Control Management	17.2-38
17.2.3.9	DLGIOSCLIVE HEL	17 2-39
	CURTAMS (ATTAC) CONTTOL	17.2-39
	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY THE	71.5-00
17.2.4	Procusent Document Concention	
11.4.3	Procurement Document Contents Procurement Document Preparation	17.2-39
17.2.4.1	The most Philler is a second s	
17.2.4.2	and Review Procurement Document Control for Procurement on	17.2-41
	procurement Document	****
17.2.4.3	Fire Protection Fire Protection Procurement Document Control for Procurement Waste Management Systems	
	Fire Protect Document Control 104 Procurement Document Management Systems Radioactive Waste Management, and	17.2-41
	Procurement Waste Management Si	
17.2.4.4	Radioactive namedures, and	17.2-41
	Radioactive Waste Management, and Instructions, Procedures, and	
17.2.5	Drawings Review, and	17.2-41
	Drawings Preparation, Review, and Preparation	17.4-44
17.2.5.1	Preparation	17.2-42
11.4.4.4	Tmplemence	
	Arrentance Charles and	17.2-43
17.2.5.2	Instructions, Frotection	17.2=43
17.2.5.3		17.0-43
		17.2-43
17.2.6		17.2-45
17.2.0	Controlled Documents Controlled Documents	
17.2.6.1	Controlled Documents Control of Purchased Material, Control of Services	17.2-45
17.2.6.2	Control of Purchasta	17.2-45
17.2.7	Control of Purchastvices Equipment, and Services	17.6-46
	Eduthment	17.2-46
	Scope Evaluation of Suppliers	
17.2.7.1	Evaluation of other	17.2-468
17.2.7.2	Surveillence of Suppliers	
**5	curveillance of Suppress	17.2-47
17.2.7.3	2011-1-1	17.6 18
5++	Darts.	17.2-48
17.2.7.4	Spare Parts Receipt Insp. ctions	17.2-48
11.4.1.	Receipt insp.	
17.2.7.5	Samowill I Matters R.	
17.2.7.6	control of Purchased for Fire	17.2-49
17.2.7.7	Control of Furchased Materian Fire Equipment, and Services for Fire	11.2-44
	Protection Control of Purchased Materials, Control of Services for Radio	
	Control OI Fund Carvices for Radio	17.2-49
17.2.7.8	Protection Purchased Materials, Control of Purchased Materials, Equipment, and Services for Radio Equipment, and Services for Radio active Waste Management Systems	
	antive Waste Management	
	avver	
		1002
		August 1992
	17-111	

3... Revision 5 17-111

CHAPTER 17

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont)

Section	Title	Page
17.2.8	Identification and Control of	
	Materials, Parts, and Components	10 0 00
17.2.9	Particiase, faile, and components	17.2-50
	Control of Special Processes	17.2-51
17.2.9.1	Scope	17.2-51
17.2.9.2	Special Process Procedures	17.2-52
17.2.9.3	Vendor Requirements	17.2-52
17.2.10	Inspection	17.2-52
17.2.10.1	General	17.2-52
17.2.10.2	Inspection Procedures, Instructions	
	and Checklist	17.2-53
17.2.10.3	Inspector Qualifications	
17.2.10.4	Tuebeccor Maginicarious	17.2-53
17.2.10.5	Inspection Plan	17.2-54
17.2.10.2	Identification of Hold Points	17.2-54
17.2.10.6	Records	17.2-55
17.2.10.7	Vendor Requirements	17.2-55
17.2.10.8	Inspection Program for Fire	
	Protection	17.2-56
17.2.10.9	Inspection Program for Radioactive	
*+4	Waste Management System (RWMS)	17.2-57
17.2.10.10	Inspection Program for ASME XI	17.2-57
17.2.11	Test Control	17.2-57a
17.2.11.1	Scope	17.2-178
4		
17.2.11.2	Test Pronedures	17.2-58
17.2.11.3	Evaluation of Test Results	17.2-58
17.2.11.4	Suppliar Responsibility	17.2-59
17.2.11.5	Records and Audits	17.2-59
17.2.11.6	Test Control for Fire Protection	17.2-60
17.2.12	Control of Measuring and Test	41.6-00
	Equipment	17 0-00
17.2.12.1	Scope	17.2-60
17.2.12.2	Program	17.2-60
17.2.12.3	Records	17.2-61
17.2.13	Handling, Storage, and Shipping	17.2=64
17.2.14	Tenating, storage, and snipping	17.2-65
# / 1.de e # #	Inspection, Test, and Operating	
17 9 14 1	Status	17.2-66
17.2.14.1	Scope	17.2-66
17.2.14.2	Inspection, Test, and Operating	
	Status for Fire Protection	
	and RWMS	17.2-67
17.2.15	Nonconforming Materials, Parts,	
	or Components	17.2-67
17.2.5.1	Scope	17.2-67
17.2.15.2	Control	17.2-68
17.2.15.3	Dispositioning Nonconforming Items	
17.2.15.4	Nonconforming Items for Fire	17.2-69
	Protection	
		17.2-69
3++		
Revision 4	17-1V by	

Revision 4

August 1991

17.2.6.2 Controlled Documents

The GSU QA Program provides for the control of documents, including changes thereto, which affect the quality of safety-related structures, systems, and components. The applicable documents include, but are not limited to: OOAM, instructions and procedures for design, fabrication, construction, modification, operation, maintenance, installation, test and inspection; USAR; design documents, including those related to computer codes and as built plant drawings; QA Department procedures and instructions; procurement documents; and nonconformance reports. Section 6 of the GSU OQAM describes the QA Program requirements established to ensure document control.

17.2.7 Control of Purchased Material, Equipment, and Services

17.2.7.1 Scope

The GSU QA Program establishes measures to ensure that safety-related material, equipment, and services, procured either directly or through contractors, conform to the procurement document requirements. Section 7 of the GSU OQAM describes the QA Program requirements established to provide this control.

These measures provide for, as appropriate, source evaluation and selection, objective evidence of quality furnished by the supplier, inspection at the supplier source and examination of items upon delivery.

The program establishes provisions for source evaluation prior to awarding the procurement order or contract. Source evaluation and selection may be based upon historical quality performance data, source surveys or audits or source qualification programs. This determines the supplier's capability to supply the item or service in compliance with the design, manufacturing, and quality requirements as stipulated in the procurement documents. Measures are established to provide for both a technical and quality evaluation of those suppliers providing critical components. Engineering performs the technical evaluation. and QA performs the quality evaluation. These functions may also be accomplished through the utilization of gualified. independent organizations. Personnel performing the evaluations, such as auditors, are gualified. Source evaluation and selection information are documented and filed.

17.2-45 August 1987

9

Standard commercial off-the-shelf or previously qualified items are reviewed for suitability by appropriately qualified technical personnel in accordance with applicable procedures. Review of procurement documents by QA personnel ensures incorporation of quality requirements appropriate to provide assurance of an acceptable item.

17.2.7.2 Evaluation of Suppliers +→5 +→3

5

Purchase orders for safety-related items, services, or components are awarded to those suppliers who have been evaluated through one or a combination of the following methods: historical quality performance data, source survey audits or a source qualification program. The Manager-Oversight or his designee is responsible for reviewing the qualified suppliers' list. The QA Department, Nuclear Procurement, and engineering groups, as needed, participate in the svaluation of suppliers providing safety-related components. The results of supplier evaluations are documented and filed. 3**

The evaluation of suppliers is based on the suppliers' ability to comply with the quality requirements of Appendix E to IOCFRSO, which are applicable to the type of material, equipment, or service being procured, as determined by one or more of the following:

- A review of the records and performance of an approved supplier who has provided similar items/services of the type being procured. (This will allow the addition of a product line or service without resuditing the supplier for the specific product or service.)
- 2. A survey of supplier's facilities and QA program to determine his capability to supply a product which meets the design, manufacturing and quality requirements, or to provide a specified service. Results of the supplier evaluations are documented and retained in accordance with applicable records management procedures.
- 3. Vendors holding valid ASME accreditation and certificates of authorization can be qualified to supply code items initially, however, these type vendors must hold a valid N type certificate which clearly identifies that the scope of the procurement is authorized and the vendor address where the activity may take place. Additionally the vendor must be audited early in the procurement process to verify programmatic implementation of the QA Program.

5+* Revision 5

17.2-46

August 1992

.

.

RBS USAR

8

* 25

- Vendors can be considered qualified to supply 4. safety related or Commercial Grade materials based on satisfactory audits performed by other organizations such as utilities, or Nuclear Procurement Issues Committee (NUPIC) provided the audit results are satisfactory and they meet the requirements of the items or materials being procured.
- Vendors can be considered qualified to supply 5. safety related materials based on satisfactory audits performed by other agencies/contractors acting on behalf of GSU provided the audit results are found to be satisfactory and to meet the requirement for the items or materials being procured.
- Vendors holding valid ASME Quality Systems 6. Certificates (QSC's) can be qualified to supply materials as a material supplier or material manufacturer initially, however, these type vendors must be audited early in the procurement process to verify programmatic implementation of the QA Program. As an alternate to auditing, verification of material certifications may be utilized to accept code materials supplied by QSC holders.

17.2.7.3 Surveillance of Suppliers

For those instances where procurement is required to obtain a safety related item (materials, parts, or components) the vendor may or may not appear on the Qualified Suppliers List as an "APPROVED" supplier. Appropriate source evaluations shall be performed in order to procure the itam. Evaluations shall consist of one or more of the following methods: historical quality performance data, source survey or audits or source qualification programs. These evaluations would be required for procurement under the following conditions:

- (1) Items obtained from other licensees
 - (a) which are not currently included on the QSL
 - (b) which do not hold a valid ASME Certification
- Items obtained from vendors not appearing on the (2) QSL which may be one time or infrequent procurements

500 Revision 5 17.2-46a August 1992

++5

(3) Items obtained from vendors appaaring on the QSL in which it may be deemed appropriate to perform source surveillances in the vendor's facility.

In those cases where source surveillances are performed, a vendor surveillance plan is developed in accordance with a QA Department procedure. The vendor surveillance plan lists what program aspect (i.e., design, manufacture, inspections, and tests) if any, is required to be verified in the vendor's facilities. The plan also specifies the characteristics or processes to be witnessed or verified, and accepted; the method of surveillance; the documentation The QA Department procedure on vendor surveillance requires that a surveillance be performed where verification of quality characteristics cannot be accomplished upon receipt of the item.

NOTE :

Source surveillances performed on other licensees and vendors not appearing on the QSL satisfy requirements for ensuring that the licensee or vendor is capable of providing safety related components as applicable to the item being procured and satisfies the provisions established in 17.2.7.2 -Evaluation of Suppliers.

Source qualification programs are intended to include those activities conducted onsite at RBS to dedicate an item.

**5

5 **

Audits of contractors performing safety-related work are conducted in accordance with Section 17.2.18 to ensure audits is based on the safety, complexity, and quantity of the item or service being furnished and will follow the guidance in Regulatory Guide 1.123 as clarified in

17.2.7.4 Spare Parts

Spare or replacement parts for safety-related structures, systems, and components (that serve a safety-related function) are subject to controls, codes, standards, and technical requirements, or a properly reviewed and approved revision at least equivalent to those used for the original part.

In those cases where the spars or replacement parts are not obtained from the original manufacturer, or the parts cannot be purchased to the original requirements, the alternative part shall be reviewed, evaluated, and approved in accordance with applicable station procedures. This evaluation and approval shall be documented.

In those cases where the priginal item 7 part is found to be commercially off-the-shelf or without specifically identified QA requirements, spare and replacement parts or consumable items may be similarly procured but care is exercised to ensure at least equivalent performance. In those cases where the QA requirements of the original item cannot be determined, a documented engineering evaluation is conducted by qualified individuals to establi... the requirements and controls. This evaluation provides and function are not adversely affected or contrary to of commercial off-the-shelf spare or replacement parts, and consumable items normally do not have nuclear QA programs,

Revision 5

17.2-47

August 1992

- 13

source evaluation, audit or inspection may not be required. However, for those commercial items dedicated to be used in safety-related applications, they are receipt inspected by the QC Soction and then handled in accordance with the QA Program.

17.2.7.5 Receipt Inspections

Receipt inspection of the safety-related supplier-furnished material, equipment, and services is performed in accordance with written procedures which ensure the following:

- The material, component, or equipment is properly identified and corresponds with the identification on the receiving documentation,
- Safety-related material, components, equipment, and acceptance records are inspected and judged acceptable in accordance with predetermined inspection procedures prior to installation or use,
- Inspection and test records or certificates of conformance attesting to the acceptance of material, components, and equipment are available at RBS prior to installation or use, and
- 4. Items accepted and released are identified as to their inspection status prior to forwarding them to a controlled storage area or releasing them for installation or further work.

Receipt inspection of the safety-related item(s) is performed by the QC Section and the Materials Group (receiving warehouse) in accordance with their respective procedures. The warehouse performs a nontechnical inspection of the shipment for damage and mishandling prior to unloading; QC performs a technical inspection for physical damage, documentation, cleanliness, dimensional in the procurement document. The QA Department ensures the adequacy and completion of receipt inspections through

17.2.7.6 Records

QA records, as required by procurement documents are collected and retained by safety-related suppliers. The suppliers furnish the following records as a minimum to GSU or GSU's agent:

August 1987