September 22, 1983

Note to T. Novak

SUBJECT: GRAND GUL 1 AMDT 10 TO FOL # NPF-13 (OELD # 837 463)

This is unacceptable. Comments were received from the State and from a Mr. Kenneth Lawrence. The comments must be discussed in the package which forms the bases for our no significant hazards consideration determination, specifically in this case, the SER report. The comments from Mississippi appear to have quite a bit of substance to them and should be evaluated and discussed giving due weight to the substantive information contained in the comments.

Similarly, Mr. Lawrence's comment should be addressed in substance. The fact that Mr. Lawrence also did not state why he thinks 1322°F PCT is unacceptable is not a sufficient analysis by the staff of his comment that a 400° rise in PCT is significant (notice the distinction between "acceptable" and "significant", it is important).

Question: Why were we not immediately informed that Mr. Lawrence asked for a hearing?

Joe Scinto

cc: M. Wagner