

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAY 2 4 1983

Thad and

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Frank Miraglia, Assistant Director for Safety Assessment, DL

FROM:

Roger J. Mattson, Director, Division of Systems Integration

SUBJECT:

REVIEW OF WESTINGHOUSE ADVANCED PWR

The attached memo from Walt Butler to Cecil Thomas reminds me of several instances where I have observed that our review of the Westinghouse APWR does not seem to be following the Commission's proposed Policy Statement on Severe Accidents. They are as follows:

- 1) integral containment performance;
- 2) safeguards considerations in design;
- 3) use of PRA as a risk management tool for design decisions; and,
- 4) consideration of design alternatives.

Based on this sample, I wonder if you all are using the proposed Policy Statement to guide this review and the severe accident review of GESSAR II. I think that is supposed to be the game plan. Can I help?

Roger J. Matison, Director Division of Systems Integration

Attachment: As stated

cc: D. Eisenhut

R. Vollmer

H. Phompson

Y. Speis

E. Case

H. Denton

Frank, I'd like to document this in our meeting of 6/1.

(8306060336 XA)