Entergy Operations, Inc.

Property Box 1970 Property of AR 7200 To extrate 1990

September 14, 1992

2CAN099204

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Jommission Document Control Desk Mail Stop P1-137 Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit 2
Docket No. 50-368
License No. NPF-6
Monthly Operating Report

Gentlemen:

Monthly Operating Report statistics for Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit-2, for August, 1992 is attached. This report is submitted in accordance with ANO-2 Technical Specification 6.9.1.6.

Very truly yours,

James J. Fisicaro Director, Licensing

JJF/SAB/sjf Attachment

210012

9209180361 920831 PDR ADOCK 05000368 R PDR JEZH!

U. S. NRC September 14, 1992 Page,2

CCI

Mr. James L. Milhoan Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011-8064

NRC Senior Resident Inspector Arkansas Nuclear One - ANO-1 & 2 Number 1, Nuclear Plant Road Russellville, AR 72801

Mr. Thomas W. Alexion NRR Project Manager, Region IV/ANO-1 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission NRR Mail Stop 13-H-3 One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852

Ms. Sheri Peterson NRR Project Manager, Region IV/ANO-2 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission NRR Mail Stop 13-H-3 One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852

OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO: DATE: 50-3.8

COMPLETED BY: M. S. Whitt 1/LEPHONE: (501) 964-5560

September 1, 1992 M. S. Whitt

OPERATING STATUS

| 1. | Unit Name: Arkansas Neclear One - U n. 2 |
|-----|---|
| 2. | Rystang Period August 1-31, 1992 |
| 3 | Lium sed Thermal Power (MWt): 2,815 |
| 4. | Nameplate Rating (Gross MW-): 942.57 |
| 5. | Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 915 |
| 6. | Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 897 |
| 7 | Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe) 858 |
| 8. | If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since |
| | Last Report, Give Reasons: |
| 9. | Power Level To Which Restricted. If Any (Net MWe): None |
| 10. | Reasons For Restrict and If Any None |

| | | MONTH | YR-TO-DATE | LLATIVE |
|---------|--|-----------------------|------------|-------------|
| 11. | Hours in Reporting Period | 71 | 5,855.0 | 109,007.0 |
| 12. | Number of Fours Reactor was | | | |
| | Critic-1 | 741-9 | 4,565.6 | 82,543.0 |
| 2 | Reactor Reserve Shutdown | | | |
| | Hores | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 19 | un concrator On-Line | 744.0 | 4,550.2 | 80,737.4 |
| 15. | int Reserve Shutdown Hours | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 16. | Gress Thermal Energy Generated | | | |
| | (MWH) | 2,099,639 | 12,601,320 | 212,860,438 |
| 17. | Gross Electrical Energy | | | |
| | Generated (MWH) | 684,590 | 4,150,41/4 | 70,003,241 |
| 18. | Net Electrical Energy | | | |
| | Generaled (MWH) | 653,809 | 3,955,542 | 66,583,355 |
| 19 | Unit Service Factor | 100.0 | 77.7 | 74.1 |
| 20. | Unit Avadabasy ractor | 100.0 | 77.7 | 74.1 |
| 21. | Unit Capacity Factor | | | |
| 170 | (Using MDC Net) | 102.4 | 78.7 | 71.2 |
| 2.2 | Unit Capacity Factor | | | |
| W. 10 1 | (Using DEC Net) | 96.4 | 74.1 | 67.0 |
| 23. | Unit Forced Outage Rate | 0.0 | 22.3 | 12.4 |
| 24. | Shutdowns Scheduled C ver Next 6 Mo | | | |
| | 2R9 refuelt : g outage : scheduled to b | | | dv 52 days |
| 25. | If Shut Down At End of Report Perio 3 & timated Date of Startup: | | | |
| | ourse, | THE TAXABLE PROPERTY. | | |

INITIAL CRITIC ALITY INITIAL ELECTRICITY COMMERCIAL OPERATION

Units in Test Status Prior to Commercial Countion):

Forecast

Achieved 12/05/78 12/26/78 03/26/80

AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO: 50-368

UNIT: Two

DATE: September 1, 1992

COMPLETED BY: M. S. Whiti

TELEPHONE: (501) 964-5560

MONTH August, 1992

DAY AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)

| 1 | | 880 |
|------|------------------------|-----|
| 2 | | 876 |
| 3 | an arrestmantenationer | 876 |
| 4 | | 879 |
| 5 | | 879 |
| 6 | | 877 |
| 7 | | 872 |
| 8 | | 869 |
| 9 | | 869 |
| 10 | | 870 |
| 11 | | 876 |
| 12 | | 879 |
| 13 | | 881 |
| 14 | | 884 |
| 15 | | 887 |
| 16 | | 887 |
| 17 | | 884 |
| 18 | | 882 |
| 19 | | 880 |
| 20 |) | 878 |
| 21 | | 879 |
| 22 | | 880 |
| 23 | | 879 |
| 24 | L | 877 |
| 23 | | 877 |
| - 10 | | 877 |
| 2 | 7 | 882 |
| 21 | 8 | 886 |
| 21 | 9 | 883 |
| 3 | B | 881 |
| 3 | I | 876 |
| | | |

AVGS: 879

INSTRUCTION

On this format, list the average dai! unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in reporting month. Complete to the nearest whole megawatt

NRC MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT OPERATING SUMMARY

AUGUST 1992

UNIT TWO

The unit began the month of August operating at 100% power.

At 0002 hours on the thirty-first, the plant began a reactor coastdown due to fuel limitations at end of cost life.

The unit continued the core coastdown through the end of the month in preparation for 2R9 Refueling Outage.

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS REPORT FOR AUGUST, 1992

DOCKET NO. UNIT NAME

50-368 ANO Unit 2

DATE

September 1, 1992 -

COMPLETED BY

M. S. Whitt

TELEPHONE

501-964-5560

DURATION TYPE1

METHOD OF SHUTTING DOWN

REACTOR3

REASON2

(HOURS)

LICENSEE EVENT

REPORT#

CODE⁴

SYSTEM COMPENENT CODE⁵

CAUSE & CORRECTIVE ACTION TO PREVENT RECURRENCE

NONE

F: Forced S: Scheduled

A - Equipment Failure (Explain)

B - Maintenance of Test

C - Refueling

D- Regulatory Restriction

E - Operator Training & License Examination

F - Administration

G - Operational Error

H - Other (Explain)

Method:

1 - Manual

2 - Manyal Scram.

3 - Automatic Scram.

4 - Continuation

5 - Load Reduction

9 - Other

Exhibit I - Same Source

Exhibit G - Instructions

for Preparation of Data

Entry Sheets for Licersee

Event Report (LER) File (NUREG-0161)

DATE: August, 1992

REFUELING INFORMATION

| | Name of facility: Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit 2 | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown. September 4, 1992. | | | | | |
| 3. | Scheduled date for restart following refueling. October 17, 1992 | | | | | |
| 4. | Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment? If answer is yes, what, in general, will there be? If answer is no, has the reload furl design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload (Ref. 10 CFR Section 50.59)? | | | | | |
| | No Technical Specification changes or livense amendments are anticipated as a result of the reload. However, changes due to other issues such as RCS pressure reduction, containment pressure transmitter changeout, steam generator sleeving, and ECCS analysis assumptions have been submitted to the NRC. | | | | | |
| 5. | Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information. Previously submitted | | | | | |
| 6. | Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performan analysis methods, at an ificant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures. | | | | | |
| | None | | | | | |
| 7. | The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool. a) 177 b) 489 | | | | | |
| 8. | The present licensed spent fuel poo! storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies. | | | | | |
| | present 988 increase size y 0 | | | | | |
| 9. | The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity. | | | | | |
| | DATE: 1997 (f. as of fullcore offload c.pability) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |