From: Kyle Marksteiner < kmarksteiner@cityofcarlsbadnm.com>

**Sent:** Friday, April 10, 2020 4:10 PM

To: Kyle Marksteiner

Subject: [External\_Sender] Submitted Comments from ELEA Chair John Heaton
Attachments: ELEA-Holtec NRC DEIS Ltr 4-8-20.docx; ELEA-Holtec NRC DEIS Ltr 4-8-

20.pdf

Thank you for your consideration.

Mr. Heaton's contact information is: jaheaton1@gmail.com and (575) 302-6358

--

Visit us on Facebook at: <a href="https://www.facebook.com/CityofCarlsbadGov/">https://www.facebook.com/CityofCarlsbadGov/</a>

Kyle Marksteiner City of Carlsbad - Public Information Officer (575) 706-2324 Federal Register Notice: 85FR16150

Comment Number: 18

**Mail Envelope Properties** (CALyGmxRXQSxJDDZrdc6ZK=v63UezxkL5SRUFnByAxpcZ4ty86g)

**Subject:** [External Sender] Submitted Comments from ELEA Chair John Heaton

 Sent Date:
 4/10/2020 4:10:23 PM

 Received Date:
 4/10/2020 4:10:59 PM

 From:
 Kyle Marksteiner

Created By: kmarksteiner@cityofcarlsbadnm.com

Recipients:

Post Office: mail.gmail.com

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 317 4/10/2020 4:10:59 PM

ELEA-Holtec NRC DEIS Ltr 4-8-20.docx 48513

ELEA-Holtec NRC DEIS Ltr 4-8-20.pdf 650456

**Options** 

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received:





## **EDDY-LEA ENERGY ALLIANCE 101 N. HALAGUENO** CARLSBAD, NM 88220

April 8, 2020

Kristine L. Svinicki, Chairman Jeff Baran, Commissioner Annie Caputo, Commissioner David A. Wright, Commissioner U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O-4F00 Washington, DC 20555-0001 Via email only to Chairman@NRC.gov, CMRBARAN@nrc.gov, CMRCaputo@nrc.gov, CMRWright@nrc.gov

NRC Staff Contacts: Jill Caverly, Jill.Caverly@nrc.gov. Jennifer Borges, Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov.

NRC Comments Address: Holtec-CISFEIS@nrc.gov

Annette Vietti-Cook, Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Via email to annette.vietti-cook@nrc.gov

> SUBJECT: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052, Holtec International HI-STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project (Request a reasonable DEIS public comment period and convening of public hearings by video-conferencing at previous New Mexico sites)

## Dear NRC Commissioners:

The following Members of the Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance including; City of Carlsbad, City of Hobbs, Eddy County, Lea County all in New Mexico, their elected members of their councils and commissions as well as the eight board members of the Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance all want to be as transparent as possible. To be sure we, as well as the NRC and Holtec are anxious to be presented with any scientific, evidenced based information dispelling the findings of the DEIS.

So far, all that has been presented by those that oppose the project are non-technical emotional attitudes with no real basis for their opposition.

It is clear those opposing the project are doing it for no other reason than delay. Asking for extensions and using any motive they are able to conceive to delay the EIS process is a normal, old, tired tactic. We would submit to you the following points related to the hearing process:

- An extended period for comment for another 60 days up to 120 days seems reasonable provided the NRC does not believe this extension will cause delay.
- Public hearings via tele-communications or other such medium platforms are much more effective than public hearings in person for a number of reasons:
  - The same people are only able to speak once and they are not able to crowd out the locals where the hearing is proposed, which is to hear from the local population.
  - Those opposing the project move from hearing location to hearing location saying the same thing over and over again and then claim to have this large number opposing the project when there are only a few.
  - The in person public hearings are made into a circus by those opposing the project with blow-ups, tee shirts, songs, chants, booing, clapping and many other demonstrations that have nothing to do with the seriousness of the project hearings.
- And furthermore, electronic medium hearings:
  - Provide for a much broader possibility for comments from a much larger New Mexico platform.
  - The venue would mean people presenting would be serious and spend more time preparing their remarks.
  - The nature of the venue creates a serious attitude by those presenting to provide technical information, rather than embarrassing themselves with comments that have no basis for opposing the project.
  - The many complaints regarding transportation are not relevant to the DEIS other than the 4-mile rail spur.
  - Legal theory arguments can be made with proper citations submitted as appropriate presentations by e-mail.

We, therefore, strongly recommend the NRC establish dates for the public in person hearings that can be focused on the previous five specific areas of New Mexico to give those residents ample opportunity to express their support or concerns for the project though a telecommunication platform. Since transportation is not part of the application other than the 4-mile spur to the project location. Therefore, we would assert a national comment hearing would be inappropriate and uncalled for.

Those that are writing you in hopes of delaying the EIS process are very sophisticated political operational organizations, and, frankly, they have no basis for complaining that their members are unable to access an online hearing process. Arguments they have no internet access or can't afford it is specious, to say the least, when they seem to be able to attend meetings as far as 400 miles away from their home bases with no financial distress. We would ask that you move forward with your process expediously in order for you to receive comments and complete the EIS.

Best regards

John A. Heaton, Eddy-Lea Alliance Board Chairman

John a. Heaton





## EDDY-LEA ENERGY ALLIANCE 101 N. HALAGUENO CARLSBAD, NM 88220

April 8, 2020

Kristine L. Svinicki, Chairman
Jeff Baran, Commissioner
Annie Caputo, Commissioner
David A. Wright, Commissioner
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop O-4F00
Washington, DC 20555-0001
Via email only to Chairman@NRC.gov, CMRBARAN@nrc.gov, CMRCaputo@nrc.gov, CMRWright@nrc.gov

NRC Staff Contacts:
Jill Caverly, Jill.Caverly@nrc.gov.
Jennifer Borges, Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov.
NRC Comments Address: Holtec-CISFEIS@nrc.gov

Annette Vietti-Cook, Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Via email to annette.vietti-cook@nrc.gov

SUBJECT: Docket ID NRC-2018-0052, Holtec International HI–STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility Project (Request a reasonable DEIS public comment period and convening of public hearings by video-conferencing at previous New Mexico sites)

## Dear NRC Commissioners:

The following Members of the Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance including; City of Carlsbad, City of Hobbs, Eddy County, Lea County all in New Mexico, their elected members of their councils and commissions as well as the eight board members of the Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance all want to be as transparent as possible. To be sure we, as well as the NRC and Holtec are anxious to be presented with any scientific, evidenced based information dispelling the findings of the DEIS.

So far, all that has been presented by those that oppose the project are non-technical emotional attitudes with no real basis for their opposition.

It is clear those opposing the project are doing it for no other reason than delay. Asking for extensions and using any motive they are able to conceive to delay the EIS process is a normal, old, tired tactic. We would submit to you the following points related to the hearing process:

- An extended period for comment for another 60 days up to 120 days seems reasonable provided the NRC does not believe this extension will cause delay.
- Public hearings via tele-communications or other such medium platforms are much more effective than public hearings in person for a number of reasons:
  - The same people are only able to speak once and they are not able to crowd out the locals where the hearing is proposed, which is to hear from the local population.
  - Those opposing the project move from hearing location to hearing location saying the same thing over and over again and then claim to have this large number opposing the project when there are only a few.
  - The in person public hearings are made into a circus by those opposing the project with blow-ups, tee shirts, songs, chants, booing, clapping and many other demonstrations that have nothing to do with the seriousness of the project hearings.
- And furthermore, electronic medium hearings:
  - Provide for a much broader possibility for comments from a much larger New Mexico platform.
  - The venue would mean people presenting would be serious and spend more time preparing their remarks.
  - The nature of the venue creates a serious attitude by those presenting to provide technical information, rather than embarrassing themselves with comments that have no basis for opposing the project.
  - The many complaints regarding transportation are not relevant to the DEIS other than the 4-mile rail spur.
  - Legal theory arguments can be made with proper citations submitted as appropriate presentations by e-mail.

We, therefore, strongly recommend the NRC establish dates for the public in person hearings that can be focused on the previous five specific areas of New Mexico to give those residents ample opportunity to express their support or concerns for the project though a telecommunication platform. Since transportation is not part of the application other than the 4-mile spur to the project location. Therefore, we would assert a national comment hearing would be inappropriate and uncalled for.

Those that are writing you in hopes of delaying the EIS process are very sophisticated political operational organizations, and, frankly, they have no basis for complaining that their members are unable to access an online hearing process. Arguments they have no internet access or can't afford it is specious, to say the least, when they seem to be able to attend meetings as far as 400 miles away from their home bases with no financial distress. We would ask that you move forward with your process expediously in order for you to receive comments and complete the EIS.

Best regards

John A. Heaton, Eddy-Lea Alliance Board Chairman

John A. Heaton