January 11, 1985

Docket Nos. 50-254/265

Mr. Dennis L. Farrar Director of Nuclear Licensing Commonwealth Edison Company Post Office Box 767 Chicago, Illinois 60690

Dear Mr. Farrar:

The enclosed Safety Evaluation addresses the environmental qualification of electric equipment important to safety for Quad Cities Units 1 and 2 for compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.49. It also addresses the resolution for the deficiencies identified in the SE dated January 18, 1983 and July 23, 1982 Franklin Research Center (FRC) Technical Evaluation Report (TER) enclosed with it, and justification for continued operation.

On January 26, 1984, a meeting was held with Commonwealth Edison Company to discuss your proposed method of resolution for each of the environmental qualification deficiencies identified. Discussions also included your general methodology for compliance with 10 CFR 50.49, "Environmental Qualification of Electric Equipment Important to Safety for Nuclear Power Plants," which became effective February 22, 1983, and justification for continued operation (JCO) for those equipment items for which environmental qualification is not yet completed. By letter dated March 30, 1984, you provided a submittal, addressing the above subjects and documenting the discussions held at the meeting.

Based on discussions during the meeting and the results of our review of your March 30, 1984 submittal, we have concluded that Commonwealth Edison Company's Equipment Qualification Program is in compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.49, that the proposed resolution for each of the environmental qualification deficiencies identified for Quad Cities Units 1 and 2 is acceptable and that continued operation of Quad Cities Units 1 and 2 will not present undue risk to the public health and safety.

8502010810 850 PDR ADOCK 0500

Mr. Dennis L. Farrar

A related issue currently under review is the pressure/temperature profiles that were used as the enveloping conditions for equipment qualification. Should the results of that review identify conditions that significantly differ from the environmental envelopes used for equipment qualification, you would be required to assess the impact of the profiles on your program.

- 2 -

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Domenic B. Vassallo, Chief Operating Reactors Franch #2 Division of Licensing

Enclosures: Safety Evaluations

cc w/enclosures: See next page

## DISTRIBUTION Docket File

NRC PDR Local PDR ORB#2 Reading DEisenhut OELD SNorris RBevan ELJordan PMcKee JPartlow ACRS (10) Gray File

DL:ORB#2 SNorris:ajs RBevan 01/10/85 01/0 /85 DL: ORB#2 DVassallo 01/9 /85 Mr. Dennis L. Farrar Commonwealth Edison Company Duad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2

## cc:

Mr. B. C. O'Brien President Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company 206 East Second Avenue Davenport, Iowa 52801

Robert G. Fitzgibbons, Jr. Isham, Lincoln & Beale Three First National Plaza Suite 5200 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Mr. Nick Kalivianakis Plant Superintendent Ouad Cities Nuclear Power Station 22710 - 206th Avenue - North Cordova, Illinois 61242

Resident Inspector U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 22712 206th Avenue North Cordova, Illinois 61242

Chairman Rock Island County Board of Supervisors Rock Island County Court House Rock Island, Illinois 61201 James G. Keppler Regional Administrator Region III Office U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Susan N. Sekuler Assistant Attorney General Environmental Control Division 188 W. Randolph Street Suite 2315 Chicago, Illinois 60601

The Honorable Tom Corcoran United States House of Representatives Washington, D. C. 20515

Mr. Gary N. Wright
Nuclear Facility Safety
Illinois Department of
Nuclear Safety
1035 Outer Park Drive, 5th Floor
Springfield, Illinois 62704