

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION I 475 ALLENDALE ROAD KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406

JUL 0 9 1991

Docket Nos. 50-336 File Number RI-91-A-0077

Northeast Nuclear Energy Compary ATTN: Mr. E. J. Mroczka Senior Vice President - Nuclear Engineering and Operations Group P.O. Box 270

Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Dear Mr. Mroczka:

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recently received information concerning activities at the Millstone Nuclear Power Facility, Unit 2. The details are enclosed for your review and followup.

We request that the results of your review and disposition of these matters be submitted to Region I within 30 days of the date of receipt of this letter. We request that your response contain no personal privacy, proprietary, or safeguards information, so it can be released to the public and placed in the NRC Public Document Room. If necessary, such information shall be contained in a separate attachment which will be withheld from public disclosure. The affidavit required by 10 CFR 2.790(b) must accompany your response if proprietary information is included. Please refer to file number RI-91-A-0077 when providing your response.

The enclosure to this letter should be controlled and distribution limited to personnel with a "need to know" until your investigation of the concern has been completed and reviewed by NRC Region I. The enclosure to this letter is considered Exempt from Public Disclosure in accordance with Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 2.790(a). However, a copy of this letter excluding the enclosure will be placed in the NRC Public Document room.

The response requested by this letter and the accompanying enclosure are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

9209140143 920218 PDR FDIA GUILD91-162 PDR

## Northeast Nuclear Energy Company

0

Your cooperation with us is appreciated. We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this information.

Sincerely.

Bulun Charles W. Hehl, Director Division of Reactor Projects

# Enclosure: (10 CFR : 790(a) Information)

ee w o encli Public Document Room (PDR) Local Public Document Room (LPDR) State of Connecticut

bee wienel. W. Raymond, SRI, Millstone Allegation File, RI-91-A-9405 CC 77 J. Stewart E. Kelly T. Shedlosky

#### ENCLOSURE

#### Issile

The wiring diagram for the High Pressure Safety Injection Pumps B and C Common Discharge Header Isolation Valve (2 \$1-654) was found not to reflect actual plant conditions. Specifically, contacts 12 and 13, designated "spare" on the drawing are energized with 120 VAC. The wiring diagram evidently had not been updated as part of a modification done under Project Assignment 84-63 which had used the contacts. An additional concern exists in the fact that preventive maintenance activities have been routinely performed in the past with no one reporting voltage at contacts 12 and 13.

#### Request

Please discuss the validity of the above assertions. If any deficiencies are identified in wiring diagrams and or drawings, or in the procedural control of preventive maintenance activities, please provide us with the corrective actions you have taken to prevent recurrence. Please provide us with an assessment of the significance with regard to safety of any identified deficiencies.

SITE: Millstone PANEL ATTENDEES: ALLEGATION NO .: RI-91-A-0079 Chairman - J. T. Wiggins DATE: 814491 (Mtg. () 2 3 4 5) Branch Chie, - f. C. Wenzinger PRIORITY: High Medium Section Chief (AOC) - E.M. Kell. Low Others - C. w. white R.L. Winitz SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: Yes No Unknown D.J. Holody J.S. Stewart CONCURRENCE TO CLOSEOUT: DD (BC) SC CONFIDENTIALITY GRANTED: Yes R.C. Brady R.L. Fulrmeister (NO) (See Allegation Receipt Report) IS THEIR A DOL FINDING: Yes (No) IS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER WARRANTED: Yes No HAS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER BEEN SENT: Yes No HAS LICENSEE RESPONDED TO CHILLING EFFECT LETTER: Yes No ACTION: 1) Write letter to utility asking it it is true that procedure was released for use and was unusable in the field 2) Letter to allegerstating that specific technical concern has been recolved we are looking into matter at procedure adequary, will good him 3) refermatter of EQ = tatus of thermo couple connections to utilit 4) reter inadequate dwngs in PDCR to u neter NI spiking to licensee NOTES:

SITE: hillstone	PANEL ATTENDEES:
ALLEGATION NO .: RI-91-A-0082	Chairman - JT. Wiggins
DATE: 8 May 91 (Mtg. 1 2 3 4 5)	Branch Chief - E. C. Wenzinger
PRIORITY: High Medium Low	Section Chief (ADC) - E.M. Kelly
SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: Yes No Unknown	Others - R. C. Brady
CONCURRENCE TO CLOSEOUT: DD 00 SC	R.G. Schazp
CONFIDENTIALITY GRANTED: Yes No (See Allegation Receipt Report)	R.L. Fyhrmeister
IS THEIR A DOL FINDING: Yes No	
IS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER WARRANTED:	Yes No
HAS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER BEEN SENT:	Yes No
HAS LICENSEE RESPONDED TO CHILLING EFFE	CT LETTER: Yes No
ACTION:	
1) close level detectors ba	esed on resident report
writeup	
2) refer discrepsny in drau	ing to licensee
	·
3)	
4)	
5)	
NOTES :	
	and where a supervise state with the state of the supervise state of the supervise state of the supervise state
	K-155

SITE: Millstone PANEL ATTENDEES: ALLEGATION NO .: RI-91-A-B3 Chairman - J.T. Wiggins DATE: P.MAY91 (Mtg. 1)2345) Branch Chief - E.C. Wenzinger PRIORITY: High Medium Low Section Chief (ADC) - E.M. Kelly Others - C.W. White R.C. Brady SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: Yes No Unknown J.A. Cullings J.S. Stewart CONCURRENCE TO CLOSEOUT : DD (BC) SC -R.G. Scharf F.L. Fuhrmester CONFIDENTIALITY GRANTED: Yes No IS THEIR A DOL FINDING: Yes No IS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER WARRANTED: Yes No. HAS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER REAL TENT: Yes No HAS 'ICENSEE RESPONDED TO CHILLING EFFECT LETTER: Yes No ACTION: 1) Respond in writing that event is being reviewed by residents, allegation of backfitting Awo unsubstantiated 2) Previous occurrence was at Millstone 2 3) 4) 5) NOTES :

SITE: Millstone PANEL ATTENDEES: Chairman - J. T. Wiggins ALLEGATION NO .: RI-91-A-0084 DATE: BMAY91 (Mtg. (1)2345) Branch Chief -Section Chief (ADC) - Z.M. Kell. PRIORITY: High Medium Low SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: Yes No Unknown Others - J.S. Stewart R.L. Fuhrmeister CONCURRENCE TO CLOSEOUT : DD BC SC CONFIDENTIALITY GRANTED: Yes (See Allegation Receipt Report) No IS THEIR A DOL FINDING: Yes No. IS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER WARRANTED: Yes No HAS CHILLIN'S EFFECT LETTER BEEN SENT: Yes No HAS LICENSEE RESPONDED TO CHILLING EFFECT LETTER: Yes No ACTION: 1) Refer to licensee issue of unsatisfactory response from management 2) 3) 4) 5) NOTES:

V158

0

SITE: Millstone PANEL ATTENDEES ALLEGATION NO .: RI-91-A-00B6 DATE: 8MA91 (Mtg. 1 2 3 4 5) PRIORITY: High Medium Low SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: Yes No Unknown CONCURRENCE TO CLOSEOUT: DD (BC) SC CONFIDENTIALITY GRANTED: Yes (No) (See Allegation Receipt Report) IS THEIR A DOL FINDING: Yes No. IS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER WARRANTED: Yes No.

Branch Chief -	
Section	Chief (AOC) - E.M. Kell
Others	- J. P. Durr
	J.S. Stewart
	RL. Fuhrmeister

66

HAS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER BEEN SENT: Yes No HAS LICENSEE RESPONDED TO CHILLING EFFECT LETTER: Yes No ACTION:

1) Send aspecialist (DRS) to read radiograph and look at UT information 2) 3) 4) -----5) NOTES :



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION I 475 ALLENDALE ROAD KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19408 JU., C 7 1991

Docket Nos 50-736 File Number FI-91-A-0082

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company ATTN: Mr. F. J. Mroczka Senior Vice President - Nuclear Engineering and Operations Group

P.O. Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Dear Mr. Mroczka:

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission recently received information concerning activities at the Millstone Nuclear Power Facility, Unit 2. The details are enclosed for your review and follow-up.

We request that the results of your review and disposition of these matters be submitted to Region 1 within 30 days of the date of receipt of this letter. We request that your response contain no personal privacy, proprietary, or safeguards information so it can be released to the public and placed in the NRC Public Document Room. If necessary, such information shall be contained in a separate attachment which will be withheld from public disclosure. The affidavit required by 10 CFR 2.790(b) must accompany your response if proprietary information is included. Please refer to file number RI-91-A-0082 when providing your response

he enclosure to this letter should be controlled and distribution limited to personnel with a "need to know," until your investigation of the concern has been completed and reviewed by NRC Region I. The enclosure to this letter is considered Exempt from Public Disclosure in accordance with Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 2.790(a). However, a copy of this letter excluding the enclosure will be placed in the NRC Public Document room.

The response requested by this letter and the accompanying enclosure are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

Your cooperation with us is appreciated. We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this information.

Charles W. Hehl, Director Division of Reactor Projects

9105140215 Enclosure: (10 CFR 2.790(a) Information)

#### ENCLOSURE

#### LIMITED DISTRIBUTION - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

#### Issue 1:

The wiring diagrams involving Reactor Coolant Pump RTD circuits have not been updated following modifications made under a PDCR to replace RTD circuit knife switches with Weidmuller Test Blocks. Drawing No. 25203-31069, Sheet 5, Rev. 3, dated August 29, 1989, does not reflect the change for at least 4 RTD circuits (TCD, TCC, TCA, TCB). The instrument loop diagrams (Drawing No. 25203-28500, Sheets 140 & 146) show the Weidmuller Test Blocks. Also, in Drawing number 25203-31069, Sheet 5, the jumpers shown between cable lead 1 and the cable shield ground on the loop diagrams are not shown. In addition, access to the GRITs system, to verify the latest drawing revisions, is restricted in that personal access codes are only valid for 30 days.

#### Request 1:

Please discuss the validity of the above assertions. If discrepancies are found, please assess the significance of the discrepancies with respect to plant operation and safety and discuss any actions taken or planned to correct these discrepancies.

#### Issue 2:

The Steam Generator No. 2 mid-loop instrumentation (L-122) was not "operable" during drain-down for tube inspections on May 2, 1991. GEM switches were found to be "frozen" on in place. In addition, L-112 had an electronic noise problem caused by an improperly installed jumper. Thus licensee commitment that two monitors be operable during drain down condition was not being met.

#### Request 2:

Please discuss the validity of the above assertions. If any discrepant conditions are identified, please discuss their significance with respect to plant operation and safety during the Steam Generator No. 2 drain-down evolution. Also please discuss any actions taken or planned to correct these deficiencies.

TO CA 2700 Homesing

#### issue 3:

Pressure indicating instrument (PI 6350 A/B and PI 6351 A/B) and mountings for service water (SW) supply to emergency diesel generators (EDG) are not seismically mounted. Any kind of shock would be sufficient to knock the gauge and valve off of the strainer. Additionally, the location of the taps as shown on the P&ID apparently does not coincide with the actual tap locations.

#### Request 3:

Please discuss the validity of the above assertions. If the assertions are valid, please discuss their effect on the safe operation of SW supply to the EDG. Please provide any actions taken or planned to ensure that seismic requirements for these instruments are being met.

#### Issue 4:

On May 3, 1991, the Unit 2 Stack Radiation Monitor (RM 8132) was inoperable as a result of being flooded with water. This monitor would have been inoperable anyway, as air flow had been isolated. Filling and pressure testing of Steam Generator (SG) #1 was underway during the same time period. Problems with valve line-ups for the rad monitor and the SG testing contributed to the flooding and monitor inoperability. Additionally, health physics (HP) controls during removal of the water from the monitor was inadequate resulting in contamination of personnel.

#### Request 4:

Please discuss the validity of the above assertions. If discrepancies are confirmed, please discuss actions that you have taken or will take to ensure that plant procedures regarding rad monitor operation, conduct of tests, and HP activities are being used properly.

#### Issue 5:

Procedure discrepancies exist between OP-2336E and SP-2617A for the restoration of the line-up of the radiation monitor (RE-245), and its associated sample pump. Operators routinely fail to perform OP-2336E, Section 5.1, Step 5.1.13 which is to immediately close AOV-244A/B and AOV-245 when securing from condensate polishing facility discharges. This failure to follow procedures results in the sample pump to radiation monitor (RM-245) continuing to operate when the tank discharge is secured.

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

#### Request 5:

Please discuss the validity of the above assertions. If any discrepancies are identified concerning procedure noncompliance, please discuss their significance on the operation of radiation monitor RM-245. Please discuss any corrective actions taken or planned, to ensure operators are meeting procedural and technical specification requirements.

#### Issue 6:

The following discrepancies have been identified during an evaluation of Work Order AWO-M2-91-04411. These discrepancies identify continued non-compliance with procedures and poor response of operations and management to recurring problems with radiation monitor RE-245.

- a. The sample pump continues to run when the tank discharge stops at 15% tank level (TK-11).
- b. The "Low Flow" switch does not always see a low flow condition when TK-10 and TK-11 discharge pumps stop. The head of water in the pipe and tidal conditions affect the flow of water.
- c. Operations normally rely on the 15% tank level pump trip to stop flow causing a low flow to trip shut RE-245 discharge valve, and AOV-245. If AOV-244A/B are shut and no low flow condition exists, RE-245 sample pump will continue to run until AOV-245 is shut.
- d. Changes to OP-2336E were identified in 1989 to prevent the problems identified by AWO-M2-91-04411. However continued identified procedure noncompliance by operations has caused repeated problems.

#### Request 6:

Please provide an assessment of the above discrepant conditions. If the assertions are valid, please discuss their safety significance and effect on operation of radiation monitor RE-245. Please discuss any corrective actions that are being used to correct the problems.

bcc w/encl: Allegation File, (6) RI-91-A-0082,0084,0085,0096,0099,0465 T. Shedlosky, SRI, Millstone J. Stewart E. Kelly W. Raymend

K156

1



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION I 475 ALLENDALE ROAD KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406 JUT. C T 1991

Docket Nos. 50-336 File Number RI-91-A-0082- 0084

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company ATTN: Mr. E. J. Mroczka Senior Vice President - Nuclear Engineering and Operations Group

P.O. Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Dear Mr. Mroczka:

The U.S. Nuclear Regulaty y Commission recently received information concerning activities at the Millstone Nuclear Power Facility, Unit 2. The details are enclosed for your review and follow-up.

We request that the results of your review and disposition of these matters be submitted to Region I within 30 days of the date of receipt of this letter. We request that your response contain no personal privacy, proprietary, or safeguards information so it can be released to the public and placed in the NRC Public Document Room. If necessary, such information shall be contained in a separate attachment which will be withheld from public disclosure. The affidavit required by 10 CFR 2.790(b) must accompany your response if proprietary information are included. Please refer to file number RI-91-A-0082 when providing your response.

The enclosure to this letter should be controlled and distribution limited to personnel with a "need to know" until your investigation of the concern has been completed and reviewed by NRC Region I. The enclosure to this letter is considered Exempt from Public Disclosure in accordance with Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 2.790(a). However, a copy of this letter excluding the enclosure will be placed in the NRC Public Document room.

The response requested by this letter and the accompanying enclosure are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

Your cooperation with us is appreciated. We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this information.

Sincerely

Charles W. Hehl, Director Division of Reactor Projects

9106140213

Enclosure: (10 CER 2,790(a) Information)

#### ENCLOSURE

## - LIMITED DISTRIBUTION - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

#### Issue 1:

The wiring diagrams involving Reactor Coolan: Pump RTD circuits have not been updated following modifications made under a PDCR to replace RTD circuit knife switches with Weidmuller Test Blocks. Drawing No. 25203-31069, Sheet 5, Rev. 3, dated August 29, 1989, does not reflect the change for at least 4 RTD circuits (TCD, TCC, TCA, TCB). The instrument loop diagrams (Drawing No. 25203-28500, Sheets 140 & 146) show the Weidmuller Test Blocks. Also, in Drawing number 25203-31069, Sheet 5, the jumpers shown between cable lead 1 and the cable shield ground on the loop diagrams are not shown. In addition, access to the GRITs system, to verify the latest drawing revisions, is restricted in that personal access codes are only valid for 30 days.

#### Request 1:

Please discuss the validity of the above assertions. If discrepancies are found, please assess the significance of the discrepancies with respect to plant operation and safety and discuss any actions taken or planned to correct these discrepancies.

#### Issue 2:

The Steam Generator No. 2 mid-loop instrumentation (L-122) was not "operable" during drain-down for tube inspections on May 2, 1991. GEM switches were found to be "frozen" on in place. In addition, L-112 had an electronic noise problem caused by an improperly installed jumper. Thus licensee commitment that two monitors be overable during drain down condition was not being met.

#### Request 2:

Please discuss the validity of the above assertions. If any discrepant conditions are identified, please discuss their significance with respect to plant operation and safety during the Steam Generator No. 2 drain-down evolution. Also please discuss any actions taken or planned to correct these deficiencies.

10 CA 2.769 10 montion

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

#### Issue 3:

Pressure indicating instrument (PI 6350 A/B and PI 6351 A/B) and mountings for service water (SW) supply to emergency dicsel generators (EDG) are not seismically mounted. Any kind of shock would be sufficient to knock the gauge and valve off of the strainer. Additionally, the location of the taps as shown on the P&ID apparently does not coincide with the actual tap locations.

#### Request 3:

Please discuss the validity of the above assertions. If the assertions are valid, please discuss their effect on the safe operation of SW supply to the EDG. Please provide any actions taken or planned to ensure that seismic requirements for these instruments are being met.

#### Issue 4:

On May 3, 1991, the Unit 2 Stack Radiation Monitor (RM 8132) was inoperable as a result of being flooded with water. This monitor would have been inoperable anyway, as air flow had been isolated. Filling and pressure testing of Steam Generator (SG) #1 was underway during the same time period. Problems with valve line-ups for the rad monitor and the SG testing contributed to the flooding and monitor inoperability. Additionally, health physics (HP) controls during removal of the water from the monitor was inadequate resulting in contamination of personnel.

#### Request 4:

Please discuss the validity of the above assertions. If discrepancies are confirmed, please discuss actions that you have taken or will take to ensure that plant procedures regarding rad monitor operation, conduct of tests, and HP activities are being used properly.

#### Issue 5:

Procedure discrepancies exist between OP-2336E and SP-2617A for the restoration of the line-up of the radiation monitor (RE-245), and its associated sample pump. Operators routinely fail to perform OP-2336E, Section 5.1, Step 5.1.13 which is to immediately close AOV-244A/B and AC -245 when securing from condensate polishing facility discharges. This failure to follow procedures results in the sample pump to radiation monitor (RM-245) continuing to operate when the tank discharge is secured.

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

#### Request 5:

Please discuss the validity of the above assertions. If any discrepancies are identified concerning procedure noncompliance, please discuss their significance on the operation of radiation monitor RM-245. Please discuss any corrective actions taken or planned, to ensure operators are meeting procedural and technical specification requirements.

#### Issue 6:

The following discrepancies have been identified during an evaluation of Work Order AWO-M2-91-04411. These discrepancies identify continued non-compliance with procedures and poor response of operations and management to recurring problems with radiation monitor RE-245.

- a. The sample pump continues to run when the tank discharge stops at 15% tank level (TK-11).
- b. The "Low Flow" switch does not always see a low flow condition when TK-10 and TK-11 discharge pumps stop. The head of water in the pipe and tidal conditions affect the flow of water.
- c. Operations normally rely on the 15% tank level pump trip to stop flow causing a low flow to trip shut RE-245 discharge valve, and AOV-245. If AOV-244A/B are shut and no low flow condition exists, RE-245 sample pump will continue to run until AOV-245 is shut.
- d. Changes to OP-2336E were identified in 1989 to prevent the problems identified by AWO-M2-91-04411. However continued identified procedure noncompliance by operations has caused repeated problems.

#### Request 6:

Please provide an assessment of the above discrepant conditions. If the assertions are valid, please discuss their safety significance and effect on operation of radiation monitor RE-245. Please discuss any corrective actions that are being used to correct the problems.

bcc w/encl: 5 Allegation File, (6) RI-91-A-0082,0084,0085,0096,0099,0465 T. Shedlosky, SRI, Millstone J. Stewart E. Kelly W. Raymano

6

63

K159