

08 JUN 1990

MEMORANDUM FOR: John J. McOscar, Director, Division of Resource Management and Administration

FROM: James T. Wiggins, Deputy Director
Division of Reactor Projects

SUBJECT: REFERRAL OF ALLEGATIONS TO LICENSEES

Your memorandum dated May 21, 1990 requests correction of various allegation file deficiencies, including numerous cases of "No documentation of referral to licensee." This discrepancy is generic, as discussed in Mr. A. R. Blough's May 10, 1990 response to your previous memo. In general, all allegations listed with this discrepancy in your May 21 memo were verbally referred to the licensee shortly after the allegation panel decision on that course of action. Any exceptions or clarifications are listed below:

<u>RI Allegation #/Plant</u>	<u>Exception/Clarification</u>
------------------------------	--------------------------------

RI-89-A-0099/Millstone Unit 3	Letter to turnover to licensee, W. Kane to E. J. Mrocza in routing. ECD 6/30
-------------------------------	---

As stated in the May 10 memo, verbal referrals were common practice accepted by the Region 1 allegation panels in the past.

This memo constitutes after-the-fact documentation of referral to the licensee. You may wish to place a copy of this memo in the appropriate allegation files. Also as stated in the May 10 memo, in the future more timely and formal referral documentation will be provided to the allegation files.

(Signature)
 for James T. Wiggins, Deputy Director
 Division of Reactor Projects

K/32

RECORD OF ALLEGATION PANEL DECISIONS

SITE: MS-2

ALLEGATION NO.: RI-96-A-0043

DATE: 5/16/90 (Mtg. 1 2 3 4 5)

PRIORITY: High Medium Low

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: Yes No Unknown

CONCURRENCE TO CLOSEOUT: DD BC SC

CONFIDENTIALITY GRANTED: Yes No
(See Allegation Receipt Report)

IS THERE A DOL FINDING: Yes No

IS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER WARRANTED: Yes No

HAS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER BEEN SENT: Yes No

HAS LICENSEE RESPONDED TO CHILLING EFFECT LETTER: Yes No

PANEL ATTENDEES:

Chairman - R. BLOUGH

Branch Chief - E. Wenzinger

Section Chief (AOC) - _____

Others - R. MATAKUS
D. Holody
A. Vigil
K. Smith

ACTION:

- 1) Await appeal to ALJ, if no appeal
send modified C.E.L (C.E.L. to address licensee
- 2) actions specific to this case)
- 3) _____
- 4) _____
- 5) _____

NOTES: _____

K/33

RECORD OF ALLEGATION PANEL DECISIONS

SITE: MS 2

PANEL ATTENDEES:

ALLEGATION NO.: 90-A-044

Chairman - R. BLOUGH

DATE: 5/16/90 (Mtg. 1 3 4 5)

Branch Chief - E. Wenzinger

PRIORITY: High Medium Low

Section Chief (AOC) - _____

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: Yes No Unknown

Others - R. MATAIKUS

CONCURRENCE TO CLOSEOUT: DD BC SC

D. HOLODY

CONFIDENTIALITY GRANTED: Yes No
(See Allegation Receipt Report)

A. Vogel

IS THEIR A OOL FINDING: Yes No

K. Smith

IS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER WARRANTED: Yes No

HAS CHILLING EFFECT LETTER BEEN SENT: Yes No

HAS LICENSEE RESPONDED TO CHILLING EFFECT LETTER: Yes No

ACTION:

1) Await ALJ decision

2) _____

3) _____

4) _____

5) _____

NOTES: _____

K/34

RI-90-A-120

to: Gile -

- Discussed w/ Allega (by telecon 9/20 9 pm, 45 min) the following:

- (1) CY issue - we will inspect
- (2) SSFI - subject another investigation
- (3) IA - requested all his info & refer to ^{IRMI-} 90-12
- (4) RBICW - Team inspect next week
- (5) DFC - Woodard to inspect in Oct
- (6) UJR allegation - requested copy his document.

JSS Stewart

9/21/90

Note: Allega does not want to be contacted unless contact is critical to the investigation. He wants no periodic updates and such.

K/35