ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD

SUMMARY

Allegation Number NRR-89-A-0021

The ERR Allegation Review Board met on February 19, 1991 at 1.35 p.m.

Pres_nt at the meeting were: B. Grimes, NRR 2.

J. Lee, NRR by phone:

S. Varga, NRR J. Stolz, NRR

D. Haverkamp, RI S. Stewart, RI

B. Letts, OI

G. Vissing, NRR

Facilities/organizations involved: Milistone 2 3.

- Allegation title: Instrument Calibiation, I&H, Region I's Responsiveness to Issues Raised by Allegers
- This allegation has previously been assigned to PD 1-1 for resolution. 5.
- The ARB previously determined the allegation to be of potential safety 6. significance.
- The ARB previously assigned this allegation a Priority Level of 2 after consideration of its safety significance.
- The ARB previously made the following decision on OI notification or referral: No 8. (Peferral made by Region I in September 1989)
- (For OI referrals) The ARB recommends an investigation priority of 9. (high-medium-low). N/A
- The following resolution plan and schedule was approved by the ARB.

Since Reactor Projects has completed its review of all technical issues provided by RI related to the matters listed under Item 4 above, the ARB reconvened to discuss whether it was appropriate for NRR to close its file. Region I reported that it has frequent communication with the allegers, and the allegers continue to provide information. It was not clear whether all such information has been shared with NRR on a routine basis. The ARB determined that Reactor Projects (S. Varga) will discuss with RI (W. Kane) the process used by RI to provide information to NRR for its overview responsibility for this specific case. This discussion will be held by February 28, 1991. The ARB determined that the NRR allegation file will remain open at this time.

Region I also reported that it has forwarded to the Inspection General allegations concerning RI unresponsiveness to the allegers.

11. Prepared by:

Office Allegations Coordinator

12. Approved by:

Brian K. Grimes, Chairperson, ARB

9209110147 920218 PDR FOIA PDR GUILD91-162

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION

ALLEGATION PANEL DECISIONS

SITE: MILL STONE 2	PANEL ATTENDEES:
ALLEGATION NO .: 12-38-4-0003	Chairman - S. Collins
DATE: 7/26/89 (Mtg. 1 2 3 4 5)	Branch Chief -
PRIORITY: High Medium Low	Section Chief - 7. SHEDCOSKY
SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: Yes No Unknown	J. OURR, ONS
CONCURRENCE TO CLOSEOUT: DD BC SC	M. PERKINI, DAMA
CONFIDENTIALITY GRANTED: Yes No	
ACTION	
ACTION:	
1) ATTALIEN SUPLEMENTARY	CONCERNS TURNEDOVER
o Jack, Dr. AT PAR	7 OF TEAM ZUSPECTION REVIE
2)	
3)	
4)	
5)	
6)	

8/24/89: Attendees millstone 2 RI-88-A-0040 /88-A-0003

S. C. Mins

J. Durr

D. Havarkanp

S. Barr A. Shropshire

1. Send an acknowledgement letter to the alleger explaining that NRC has these concerns, has referred them to the licensee, and will track the licensees findings (ECD 9/2/89).

Note: The letter will tell the alleger to use the licensee's allegation system. If that system is not adequate, contact NRC as to where the system is breaking down or inadequate.

2. Provide the list of alleger's concerns to the licensee to ensure that they have the list that NRC has to followup on. Region I will moniter the utility's findings.

3. It is the interpretation of the NRC Manual Chapter (0517) on allegations by Region I that these is sues are not allegations, as defined by the Manual Chapter.

4. The fones will reconvene, as necessary, to resolve this case.

Kly