January 30, 1985

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of			
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ) ILLUMINATING COMPANY )	Docket	Nos.	50-440 50-441
(Perry Nuclear Power Plant, ) Units 1 and 2)			

## APPLICANTS' STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS AS TO WHICH THERE IS NO GENUINE ISSUE TO BE HEARD ON CONTENTION J

Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.749(a), Applicants state, in support of their Motion for Summary Disposition of Contention J in this proceeding, that there is no genuine issue to be heard with respect to the following material facts:

- 1. Emergency Action Levels ("EAL's") describe specific plant conditions at which one of the four Emergency Classifications is to be declared. Affidavit of Daniel D. Hulbert on Contention J ("Hulbert Affidavit"), ¶ 3.
- 2. Table 4-1 of the Perry Emergency Plan, Rev. 3 sets forth more than 200 individual EAL's. Hulbert Affidavit, ¶ 4.
- 3. In Revision 3 of the Perry Emergency Plan, 13 EAL's were "incomplete" because the information to be included was

not available at the time that Revision 3 was issued. Hulbert Affidavit, ¶ 4.

- 4. For each of the 13 EAL's in which a value was to be added later, a comparable value was specified. Hulbert Affidavit, ¶ 5.
- 5. In each of the 13 cases where the EAL's were "incomplete" in Revision 3 of the Plan, the values have now been developed based on additional detailed information which became available after Revision 3 was issued. Hulbert Affidavit, ¶ 6.
- 6. The values missing from the 13 EAL's will be included in Revision 4 of the Plan. Hulbert Affidavit, ¶ 6.

Respectfully submitted,

Jay F. Silberg, P.C. SHAW, FITTMAN, POTTS & TROWBRIDGE 1800 M Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 822-1000

Counsel for Applicants

DATED: January 30, 1985