## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION -4 A1:36

before the Atomic 3	Salety and Licensing board
n the Matter of	)
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC	) Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441 )
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Nnits 1 and 2)	

SUNFLOWER'S MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO SUMMARY D.SPOSITION OF CONTENTION CC

By 10 CFR Section 2.749 (d), Applicant must show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that it is entitled to a decision as a matter of law. The record is to be viewed in the light most favorable to the party opposing the motion. <u>Poller v. Columbia Broadcasting System</u>, <u>Inc., 368 U.S. 464, 473 (1962); Pennsylvania Power & Light Co. and Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc.</u> (Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2), LBP-81-8, 13 NRC 335, 337 (1981).

Applicant refers to "letters" and other correspondence having been sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission clarifying changes to the PNPP plan. Applicant's "Statement of Material Facts," para. 3. To date, while ostensibly these items are purportedly to be included in a fourth revision of the PNPP plan, such has not appeared in any public fashion. Inasmuch as the modifications must be made to the <u>plan</u>, it would be quite premature to grant summary disposition until the changes claimed

B503050477 B50302 PDR ADOCK 05000440 G PDR have been verified.

WHEREFORE, Sunflower Alliance prays the Board to deny summary disposition of Contention CC.

Respectfully submitted,

By jour left

618 N. Michigan Street Suite 105 Toledo, Ohio 43624 Phone: (419) 255-7552

Counsel for Sunflower

Alliance