

APPENDIX A
NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Nebraska Public Power District
Cooper Nuclear Station

Docket: 50-298/85-01
License: DPR-46

Based on the results of an NRC inspection conducted during the period of January 7-11, 1985, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy (10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C), 49 FR 8583, dated March 8, 1984, the following violations were identified:

1. Failure to Identify Discrepancies in Vendor Material Documentation

Criterion V of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50 requires that activities affecting quality be prescribed by and accomplished in accordance with appropriate documented instructions, procedures, or drawings. This requirement is addressed in Section 2.5 of the licensee's accepted quality assurance program which stipulates that activities that have nuclear safety significance will be prescribed by and accomplished in accordance with documented instructions, procedures, and drawings.

Cooper Nuclear Station Administrative Services Procedure No. 1.5, "Receiving," Revision 0, approved October 1, 1984, paragraph III C, subparagraph 2 states, in part, "The responsible Engineer shall review all documents such as MTRs, test reports, letter of certification, etc., that accompany the received material Review shall be for adequacy in meeting the requirements of the Purchase Order or Contract. . . . Any discrepancies or inadequacies shall be brought to the attention of the Engineering Supervisor and documented on the Receipt Inspection Report."

Contrary to the above, vendor documentation for replacement essential system components (i.e., recirculation discharge safe ends RL-N-2-4 and RL-N-2-5) was accepted, without identifying and documenting on the Receipt Inspection Report discrepancies in furnished ultrasonic examination and solution annealing heat treatment records. Specifically, these records referenced a different applicable material heat number to that noted for the parts on both the certified material test report and the receiving inspection reports.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation. (Supplement I.D) (298/8501-01)

2. Failure to Follow Procedure in Minor Design Changes

Criterion V of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50 requires that activities affecting quality be prescribed by and accomplished in accordance with appropriate documented instructions, procedures, or drawings. This requirement is addressed in Section 2.5 of the licensee's accepted quality assurance program which stipulates that activities that have nuclear

8503040352 850226
PDR ADOCK 05000298
G PDR

safety significance will be prescribed by and accomplished in accordance with documented instructions, procedures, and drawings.

Cooper Nuclear Station Administrative Procedure No. 1.13, "Station Design Changes," Revision 24, approved March 27, 1984, paragraph III B, subparagraph 6 states, in part, "the Design Engineer is responsible for assuring that all affected drawings. . . are changed in accordance with the Minor Design Change." This procedure was superceded by Cooper Nuclear Station Engineering Procedure No. 3.4, "Station Design Changes," Revision 0, approved on September 26, 1984. However, the aforementioned requirement, specified in paragraph III A, subparagraph 2.e of the new procedure, remained unchanged.

Contrary to the above, affected drawings for Minor Design Changes (MDCs) Nos. 83-30, "Agastat Relay Upgrade," and 84-100, "Monorail Hoist Control Cable Removable Extension," were not changed in accordance with their respective MDCs.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation. (298/8501-02)

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Nebraska Public Power District is hereby required to submit to this office, within 30 days of the date of this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including: (1) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

Dated: FEB 26 1985