November 16, 1983

Note to: J. Scinto

R. Rawson From:

SUBJECT: SHOLLY NOTICE PROCEDURES

I had a meeting this morning with Eleanor Adensam concerning a McGuire package we sent back without concurrence (lower compartment temperature limits being affected by lake "turnover"). One of our comments related to the absence in the postnotice of any discussion of the 50.92 criteria other than a simple reference to the preliminary notice. She expressed considerable concern about a lack of consistency in our comments and and asked whether some unified guidance might not be possible on the question of what we expect to see in the (1) preliminary notice; (2) final notice and (3) SER in terms of the 50.92 criteria. She asked that I pass these concerns along to you.

a.a.

Richard J. Rawson

cc q. Cray