


December 5, 1983

Note to Sam MacKay

SUBJECT: BRUNSWICK 2 INTEGRITY (OELD # 839 717)

In the last sentence of the second paragraph of the description of the amendment request, I think you would be better off referring to no significant hazards considerations rather than an unreviewed safety question. I think the sentence should be changed to read "The Licensees has neither stated nor demonstrated that this action does not involve significant hazards considerations". You can leave it the way it is, but its much weaker that way. Unreviewed safety question is not the important issue. The important issue is whether it involves significant hazards considerations.

You can state it either way Sam, but I'm just making a suggestion as to what would make it better but whichever way it is, make sure a copy of the significant hazards consideration determination paper, is made available in the PDR and in the LPDR.

  
Joe Scinto

8502090056 840518  
PDR FOIA  
ADAT084-166 PDR