

October 31, 1983

Note to Dick Clark

SUBJECT: BROWNS FERRY 1 - AUDIT OF SAFEGUARDS CONTINGENCY PLAN  
(OELD # 838 628)

The second reason in the no significant hazards finding doesn't make a lot of sense. Its not the addition of a new requirement - the requirement is already in the Regulation. All you're doing with this thing is putting in a tech spec provision to tell them to comply with the Regulation. That's certainly not a new requirement. You may use one of the other examples but not this one, this one does not apply.

*AMB*  
for Joe Scinto

8502090034 840518  
PDR FOIA  
ADAT084-166 PDR