

# Nebraska Public Power District

COOPER NUCLEAR STATION P.O. BOX 98, BROW<sup>ATT</sup>ILLE, NEBRASKA 68321 TELEPHC \_ (402) 825-3811

CNSS923702

July 21, 1992

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Cooper Nuclear Station Licensee Event Report 92-010, Revision 0, is being forwarded as an attachment to this letter.

Sincerely,

R. L. Gardner Plant Manager

RLG/bjs

Attachment

cc:

R. D. Martin G. R. Horn J. M. Meacham R. E. Wilbur V. L. Wolstenholm D. A. Whitman INPO Records Center NRC Resident Inspector R. J. Singer CNS Training CNS Quality Assurance

270063

1

9207280091	920721
PDR ADOCK	03000298
S	PDR

Star.

NRC Ferm ( 19-63)	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)											U.S. 4	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/86										
FACILITY N	NAME (1	2			-	****	-								DOCKET NUMBE	R (2)		PA	GE 731				
		Co	oper	Nuc	lea	r S	tat	ion							0 15 10 10	010	121918	1 0	FOIA				
TITLE (4)	Inc								Room	HVAC	Emer	PARCY	Bun	ARS S	ystem Du	0 7			1817				
	Of 1	The :	Inlet	Va	lve								-11		free ten er s								
	Y DATE					MBER	6)		RE	PORT DA	TÊ (7)			OTHER	FACILITIES INV	OLVER	0 (8)						
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAH			ENTIAL MBEN		NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		FAI	CILITA NA	NES	1000	DOCKET NUMPER(S)						
			1													2	151010	101	1.1.				
0 6 2	2 1	912	9	-	0	1 0		0 0	017	2 1	9 2					0	151010	101	11				
C.ICR.			7HIS R	EPORT	15 SUI	BMITTE	D PUP	REUANT	TO THE R	EQUIREM	ENTS OF 1	0 CFR & /	Check in	ill dr mar.	al the following? I	(1)	daren idaren der eta dare era era dare era era era era era era era era era	- de de					
MOD	モ (身)	N	20	402(6	1				20.405	e)			50.73	(ie)(2)(iv)		T	73.77(b)						
POWER						60.36(c	1(1)		X	60.73	(#)(2)(+)			73.71(e)									
(10) 1 0 0 20.436(a)(1)(0) 20.406(a)(1)(0)				50.36 (c	(2)			\$0,73	(g)(2)(vii)			OTHER ISpecify in Abstract balow and in Tast NRC Form											
			25	4051a	(1)(iii)				50.73(e	102100			50 73	141(21)v0010	A)		386A)	i cent, NH	C Form				
			20.406(a)(110v)					60.73(a	1(2)(0)			60.73	(a) (2) (v(i)) (	W2	1.								
			20	406 (a	1111(4)				50.73(a	(2)(iii)			60.73	(#)(2)(s)		L.							
								1	ICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)											
NAME																	EPHONE NUM	BER					
Taha		Maria													AREA CODE								
John	- C	riyei	- 25 			-									41012	8	2151-	1318	11 11				
	-			-	COM	PLETE	ONE L	INE FOR	EACH CO	DAIPONEN	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	DINT	S REPOR	(T ita)								
CAULE S	YSTEM	COMP	ONENT		ANUF/ TURE!			NPRDS					COM	PONENT	MANUFAC TURER		REPORTABLE TO NPRDS						
X	VII	IR	147	H	21	610		N						1.1	LILI								
	1	1	1.1		1	1			1				1	1.1	111								
					SUP	PLEME	NTAL	REPORT	EXPECTE	D (14)					EXPECT	FD	MONTH	DAY	VEAR				
	17	implete 8	XPECTED	SUBA	lission	DATE			X	NO					SUEMISS DATE	ion :		-					

On June 21, 1992, at approximately 1:10 a.m., during the performance of Surveillance •Procedure 6.3.17.1, Control Room Ventilation, HV-AOV-271AV, the Control Room HVAC Emergency Bypass System Inlet Valve, failed to actuate correctly. Operations personnel manually assisted the valve, and it correctly positioned. The valve operator was repaired on June 22, and, following post maintenance testing, the system vas declared operable at 6:00 p.m. Subsequently, a review of the effect of the failure was performed, resulting in the determination that this event was reportable per 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(v).

On July 14, at 2:10 a.m., during the performance of S.P. 6.4.6.3, Control Room Air Sampling System Functional and Logic test, the valve did not actuate during a radiation source check of the Control Room Ventilation Radiation Monitor. An attempt was made to open the valve with mechanical assistance, but it was unsuccessful. The source check was performed a second time several minutes later, and the valve opened correctly. The source check was performed several additional times, and the valve opened correctly each time. Based on the initial failure, the system was declared inoperable at 2:20 a.m. Investigation indicated the most likely cause of this failure, and the probable cause of the first event, was the failure of a pneumatic relay. Due to the age of this component, a qualified replacement is not available. Therefore, a temporary modification was approved to maincain the valve in the open position. The system was subsequently declared operable at 6:35 p.m. on July 17. A permanent design change is being processed to replace the control system.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIP INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F530). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHIN 3TON, DC 23655, AND TO THE FAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503

FACILITY NAME (1) -	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	FAG2 (3)
		YEAR SEDUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER	
Cooper Nuclear Station			
	0 5 0 0 2 9 5	8 9 2 - 0 1 0 - 0 0	0 2 OF 0 4

TEXT III more space is required, use additional NilC Form 3664's/(17) A. Event Description

NRC FORM 366A

18.80

On June 21, 1992, at approximately 1:10 a.m., during the performance of Surveillance Procedure 6.3.17.1, Control Room Ventilation, HV-AOV-271AV, the Control Room HVAC Emergency Bypass System Inlet Valve, failed to open. Operations personnel manually assisted the operator for the valve, and it correctly positioned. At 1:39 a.m., the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System was placed in service in the emergency mode of operation as a precautionary measure, since the reliability of HV-AOV-271AV was questionable. A caution tag was issued to ensure the system remained in operation until repairs were effected. Based on the failure of the valve to open without assistance when actuated, the system was subsequently declared inoperable as of the time of the surveillance test failure.

Troubleshooting of the valve operator was conducted on June 22. Maintenance personnel found the valve air operator shaft inadequately lubricated, apparetally preventing the valve from operating. The shaft was cleaned and lubricated, and, following post maintenance testing, the system was declared operable at 6:00 p.m. on 1 ne 22. Subsequently, a review of the functions of this system and effect of the failure was performed. The conclusion of this review was that system operation was required to maintain the radiation exposure to Control Room personnel within limits in the event of a Design Basis Accident, and, therefore, this event was reportable per 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(v).

On July 14, at 2:10 a.m., during the performance of S.P. 6.4.6.3, Control Room Air Sampling System Functional and Logic test, the valve failed to actuate upon initiating a radiation source check of the Control Room Ventilation Radiation Monitor. On this occasion, an attempt to manually assist the operator was not successful. Several minutes later, the source check was performed a second time, and the valve opened correctly. The source check was performed several additional times, and the valve opened correctly each time. Based on the initial failure, the system was declared inoperable at 2:20 a.m. Investigation indicated a pneumatic relay in the control circuit had apparently failed. Due to the age of this component, a qualified replacement was not available. A Temporary Modification was approved to maintain the valve in the open polition. The system was subsequently declared operable at 6:35 p.m. on July 17.

#### B. Plant Status

The plant was in normal power operation at approximately 100 percent power at the time of these events.

#### C. Basis for Report

These events are reportable per 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(v), a condition which alone could have prevented the fulfillment of a safety function required to mitigate the consequences of an accident. 10CFR50.72 notifications were made at 1:52 p.m. on June 24, 1992, and at 3:28 a.m. on July 14, 1992.

NRC 50RM 366A (6-89)		U.S. N	IUCL	EAR	REGU	LAT	ORY	COM	MISS	ION					APPR			18 NO. 3 5 4/30/1		104			
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION								ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST BOO HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMEN' BRANCH (PSBO). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20556, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (1550-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.															
FACILITY NAME (1)			DOC	KET	NUME	ER	(2)						L	R NI	MBER	(48)			T		AGE	(3)	
											1	EAR	[		UENTI			NUMBE			T	1	
Cooper Nucle	ar Station		0	5	0	0	0	21	9	8		12		0	11	0		010		013	OF	0	14

### TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

D. <u>Cause</u>

The cause of the first failure was initially believed to be the result of a lack of lubrication of the air operator shaft, resulting in the valve not opening. Following the application of lubricant, the valve operated properly during post maintenance testing. Both failures are presently considered to be associated with a pneumatic relay in the control circuitry.

## E. <u>Safety Significance</u>

The Control Room HVAC Emergency Bypass System, a single train safety system, is designed to protect personnel in the Control Room from excessive radiation exposure in the event of a Design Basis Accident. In the event airborne radiation is detected in the Control Room air intake, the Emergency Bypass Fan automatically starts and the system valves automatically position. An alarm is generated by the start of the fan. With the valve not open, pressurization of the Control Room would not occur and infiltration would be a concern. An Area Radiation Monitor is also located in the Control Room area. This monitor has a setpoint of 0.1 mrem, and would actuate if radiation levels in the Control Room became a concern. The response to this alarm would include surveying the Control Room, thus leading to detection of problems with the ventilation system.

#### F. <u>Safety Implications</u>

The effect of the loss of Control Room pressurization is most significant should a Design Basis Accident occur during power operation. As such, there are no safety implications beyond those discussed in Section E above.

#### C. <u>Corrective Action</u>

Following discovery of the initial problem, troubleshooting of the air operator was performed. The air cylinder was found to operate without leakage or blowby. However, the shaft was found without adequate lubrication, which appeared to prevent the valve from operating. The shaft was cleaned and lubricated, and post maintenance testing verified that the valve was opening.

Investigation of the second incident indicated the pneumatic control circuit was not functioning correctly. Due to the unavailability of replacement parts, a temporary modification was installed to maintain the valve in the open position, thus ensuring the availability of the air treatment system. A design change will be processed to replace the control system with equipment for which replacement parts are available. Further investigation into the failure of the control system is being conducted.

NRC FORM 366A (6-89)	U.S	NUCL	EARR	EGUL	ATOR	COMM	ISSION	APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92									
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION								INFOR COMM AND REGU THE I	AMAT IENTS REPOI LATO PAPER	ION CO REGAR RTS MA RT CON IWORK	LLECT IDING NAGEI MISSI REDU	ION BURD	REQUEST DEN EST IN BRANCH VASHINGT N PROJE	TO COMP 500 HI MATE TO ( (P-530), TON, DC 3 CT (3150- (NGTON, 1	RS FI THE F U.S. N 20866 0104)	ALCO	ARD RDS EAR D TO
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)							LE	R NUM	PAGE (3)						
		0 5 0 0 0 2 9						YEAR			NUMBER NI			1	T	T	
Cooper Nuclear Stat	ion							9 2		0   1	10	-	010	0 14	CF	0	14
TEXT (If more source is required, use additions $H$ . Si	W NRC Form 3684 w (17) milar Events							******				d	kk	deserie alberta	- adversion		
No	né.																

Supplemental Information

The relay is a Honeywell Model RP49A