Note to: D. Jaffe

From: J. Gray

SUBJECT: CALVERT CLIFFS AMENDMENT ON SHUTDOWN MARGIN AND MODERATOR

TEMPERATURE COEFFICIENT

OELD has been asked to concur in a license amendment for Calvert Cliffs-2 which would modify the shutdown margin and moderator temperature coefficient. The entire "substantive" evaluation of the amendment in the SER consists of one sentence which says the amendment is acceptable for Unit 2 based on an analysis previously done for a similar amendment for Unit 1 which is applicable to Unit 2. I don't believe this one sentence evaluation is sufficient to support the finding that there is reasonable assurance of adequate protection for the public health and safety.

It would seem that if, in fact, the MSLB analysis supporting the changes for Unit 1 were so directly applicable to Unit 2, licensee would have requested the amendment for Unit 2 at the same time as it requested the Unit 1 amendment. The fact that licensee did not raises a question as to whether the analysis is directly applicable without modification. In any event, this is a separate license amendment for Unit 2 and the SER in support of this amendment should, on its own, provide the basis for the required findings on this particular amendment. It may be sufficient in this SER to summarize the evaluation that was done for the Unit 1 amendment, if, in fact, that evaluation is directly and unequivocally applicable to the Unit 2 amendment, but the current SER, which does nothing more than reference the Unit 1 licensing action, is not sufficient to support the required findings for this Unit 2 license amendment.

J. R. Gray